Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

One Health

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/onehlt

An evaluation of the virulence and adherence properties of avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli*



Kyle LeStrange^a, Sarah M. Markland^a, Dallas G. Hoover^a, Manan Sharma^b, Kalmia E. Kniel^{a,*}

 ^a Department of Animal and Food Sciences, University of Delaware, 531 South College Avenue, 044 Townsend Hall, Newark, DE 19716, United States
^b United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Beltsville Area Research Center, Environmental Microbial and Food Safety Laboratory, 10,300 Baltimore Ave, Beltsville, MD 20705, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: APEC EHEC ExPEC Zoonotic Chicken

ABSTRACT

Avian pathogenic *E. coli* (APEC) cause disease primarly in poultry; however, the link between APEC and infections in humans is questionable. In this current study, a total of 100 APEC strains isolated from chickens in Delmarva were evaluated for the presence of virulence genes to investigate their zoonotic potential in humans. A total of 28 isolates possessed one Enterohaemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC) virulence factor each and 87 isolates possessed up to 5 extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* (EXPEC) virulence factors. Five APEC isolates exhibited stronger attachment to chicken breast than both human *E. coli* outbreak strains tested. Ten APEC isolates exhibited stronger attachment to human epithelial cells (HCT-8) than both *E. coli* outbreak strains. While the APEC isolates in this study were not found to possess all the virulence genes necessary to cause clinical illness in humans, their potential to acquire these genes in the environment as well as their ability to attach to food surfaces and human cells warrants further attention.

1. Introduction

Avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (APEC) cause severe colibacillosis and respiratory illness in poultry resulting in large economic losses [1]. APEC strains are classified into the extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* subgroup (ExPEC), which is phylogenetically distinct from commensal and intestinal pathogenic *E. coli* groups [2]. APEC are considered atypical *E. coli* because they are designated as single, heterogeneous population within the ExPEC group, whereas human ExPEC are further categorized into different subpathypes based on their ability to cause different diseases [2]. Members of the human ExPEC subgroup include uropathogenic *E. coli* (UPEC), the leading cause of urinary tract infections in humans. Establishment of extraintestinal disease in humans by ExPEC is complex. Similarities in virulence profiles continue to be studied given the importance and relative ease of transmission in our global society and the ability of these bacteria to cross host species barriers [3].

Because poultry serve as a reservoir for APEC, certain food commodities may serve as vehicles for human *E.coli* infections [4–6]. APEC has been linked to extraintestinal diseases in humans due to the fact that APEC share common virulence factors with UPEC [3,7]. The acronym FUTI (foodborne urinary tract infection) describes urinary tract infections associated with contaminated food [8]. In cross-species studies, APEC caused disease in rats [9] and human ExPEC strains were virulent to chicks [10]. The specific *E. coli* pathotypes responsible for FUTIs are not well defined [4]. Similarly to other foodborne organisms. evaluation of transmission and attribution is complex due to the variety of ExPEC sources in the environment and food supply, including the human gastrointestinal tract, food animals, retail meat products, companion animals, manure, and sewage [3,11]. APEC have been isolated from retail foods including chicken, turkey, pork, and produce [12]. Produce may become contaminated in the pre-harvest environment by fecal dissemination from wild birds or through the presence of contaminated poultry litter as a soil amendment [13]. While APEC are known to be subset of ExPEC, little is known if these APEC strains carry any virulence factors of enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC). E. coli O157:H7, an EHEC, has been associated with multiple outbreaks associated with ground beef, leafy greens, and apple cider [14]. EHEC virulence factors can be located in genome, within pathogenicity islands, or on plasmids.

In the work presented here, APEC strains isolated from poultry flocks on the Delmarva Penninsula were assayed for ExPEC and EHEC virulence genes APEC isolates containing these VFs were also assayed for their attachment to foods and human epithelial cells to determine their ability to persist and potentially cause human illness.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt.2017.08.001

Received 8 December 2016; Received in revised form 22 June 2017; Accepted 16 August 2017 Available online 21 August 2017

2352-7714/ © 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY-NC-ND/4.0/).



^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Animal and Food Sciences, University of Delaware, 531 S. College Ave., 044 Townsend Hall, Newark, DE 19716, United States. *E-mail address:* kniel@udel.edu (K.E. Kniel).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Isolation of APEC isolates from commercial broilers

APEC isolates (n = 100) were collected from lesions (liver, hock joint, pericardium, yolk, crop, air sac, ceca, intestine, and cellulitis infection) of diseased chickens from commercial broiler houses in Delmarva [15] and serotyped (O-typed) by University of Pennsylvania New Bolton Center (Kennett Square, PA). Several non-APEC strains were used as positive controls and reference strains: E. coli O157:H7 strain 4407 (clinical isolate from 2006 spinach outbreak) and E. coli O157:H12 [16] served as positive and negative EHEC controls, respectively. Three E. coli strains originally isolated from cabbage in California (MW 416, MW 423, and MW 425) were also evaluated [17] to serve as reference strains. Two clinical strains of uropathogenic E. coli provided by Don Lehman of the Department of Medical Laboratory Science at the University of Delaware (Newark, DE), and a typical uropathogenic E. coli (ATCC 700928) served as ExPEC controls. A clinical isolate of E. coli O104:H4 (ATCC # BAA-2326) from the German sprout outbreak served as an enteroaggregative E. coli (EAEC) strain. Pure bacterial isolates were grown on MacConkey Agar (MAC) with or without Sorbitol (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ). A suspension of a single colony from each culture was placed in 50 µL of nuclease water, which was then used for subsequent PCR assays.

2.2. Multiplex PCR screening for E. coli genes of interest

Two multiplex PCR assays were developed in order to determine the presence of EHEC virulence factors in APEC isolates (Table 1). Multiplex PCR # 1 was developed to screen isolates for virulence factors *stx1*, *stx2*, *eae*, and *espA* from various mobile genetic elements including the LEE pathogenicity island and phage-based genes [18]. Multiplex PCR #2 assay was designed to screen APEC isolates for EHEC virulence factors located on the plasmid pO157 [19]. *E. coli* O157:H7 strain 4407 contained all eight virulence factors tested in Multiplex PCR #1 and #2 and was used as a positive control, while *E. coli* O157:H12 did not contain of these eight genes and was used a negative control. The enteroaggregative *E. coli* (EAEC) strain O104:H4 from the 2011 outbreak in Germany was shown to possess *stx2* and *espP* genes in order to verify the accuracy of multiplex PCR #1 and #2 assays [20].

Multiplex PCR # 3 was designed in order to characterize the APEC isolates based on extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* virulence genes [21]. PCR assays for all three multiplex PCR trials in 25 m were set up as follows: 12.5 μ l of GoTaq Green Master Mix (Fisher Scientific, Nazareth, PA), 2 ×, 0.6 μ l of 10 μ M Forward primer (Sigma-Genosys, Woodlands, TX), 0.6 μ l of 10uM Reverse primer, 1 μ l of the bacterial suspension in nuclease free water, and an addition 6.7 μ l of nuclease-free water. The

Table 1

List of primers used in multiplex PCI	R assays to characterize APEC isolates.
---------------------------------------	---

Amplicon size	Gene category
384 bp	LEE pathogenicity island
180 bp	Shiga toxin
255 bp	Shiga toxin
100 bp	LEE pathogenicity island
914 bp	Virulence plasmid (pO157)
774 bp	Virulence plasmid (pO157)
399 bp	Virulence plasmid (pO157)
262 bp	Virulence plasmid (pO157)
714 bp	ExPEC
981 bp	ExPEC
116 bp	ExPEC
309 bp	ExPEC
501 bp	ExPEC
824 bp	ExPEC
1181 bp	ExPEC

samples were dispensed into 0.2 ml PCR tube strips and loaded into an Eppendorf thermocycler. A 5-minute initial denaturation step at 95 °C was initiated, followed by 35 cycles of: 95 °C for 45 s; 58 °C for 45 s; and 72 °C for 1 min. A final extension step at 72 °C for 5 min was executed. Amplified products from PCR reactions were identified by gel electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide using AlphaImager software for observation and data collection.

2.3. Evaluation of APEC attachment to retail chicken breast

Whole chicken breast tenderloins were purchased from a local grocery (Newark, DE) and aseptically cut into 2.5 cm \times 2.5 cm squares in a biosafety cabinet. APEC isolates (n = 28) were assayed for their attachment to the chicken breast.

Bacterial cultures were grown overnight in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ) at 37 °C. Cultures were centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min and resuspended in 1 ml LB broth for an inoculum of 10^8 cfu/ml. Each chicken piece was spot inoculated with $10 \,\mu$ l of a culture suspension and allowed to dry for 30 min in the biosafety cabinet. Samples were then placed in 25 ml of Buffered peptone water (BPW) (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ) in a sterile 50 ml conical tube, and the tube was inverted 25 times. Serial dilutions were prepared and 0.1 ml, in duplicate, were distributed on to sorbitol MacConkey agar (SMAC) to enumerate loosely attached bacteria (CFU/ml). Chicken pieces were then aseptically transferred to Whirlpack® bags with 25 ml of BPW and hand-massaged for 1 min. Serial dilutions were plated and E. coli recovered on SMAC media from this step were referred to as strongly attached bacteria (CFU/ml). Based on a previously published formula [22], the percentage of the total bacterial population that was strongly attached (S_R) was calculated as (strongly attached bacteria) / (loosely attached bacteria + strongly attached bacteria). All experiments were performed in duplicate.

2.4. HCT-8 cell attachment assay

HCT-8 human ileocecal colorectal adenocarcinoma cells (ATCC # CCL-244) were grown in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI 1640) (Mediatech Inc., Manassas, VA) with L-gluatamine and 25 mM HEPES plus 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and grown to confluency at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. The APEC cell association assay was based on previously published procedures [23]. Confluent cells in 75 cm² flasks in 10% media were trypsonized, washed with Hanks Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS), and 6-well plates seeded at ~10⁶ cells/ well.

Cell monolayers were challenged with a multiplicity of infection of ~100:1 (*E. coli*: HCT-8) with each of the 28 APEC isolates. Overnight cultures of APEC were centrifuged at 581 × g for 10 min and resuspended in RPMI. Cell monolayers were washed with HBSS and challenged with 500 µl (~10⁸ CFU/ml) bacterial cells in RPMI or RPMI alone (control) and incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ for 1 h. After incubation, media was removed and cells were washed twice with HBSS. Fresh media was added monlayers were incubated for an additional 30 min at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Media was again removed and the monolayers lysed by addition of 1 ml of 1% Triton-X-100 prepared in HBSS. Serial dilutions were prepared in BPW to determine the population of attached *E. coli* to HTC-8 cells by enumeration to SMAC agar. Samples were analyzed in duplicate.

2.5. Statistical analysis

For the chicken breast attachment work, data was recorded, and S_R values were reported as mean \pm the standard deviation. A student's *t*-test was used to determine the significant differences between S_R means of selected APEC isolates (CI = 95%; *p*-value < 0.05). For the HCT-8 attachment study, mean CFU/ml values were log transformed and reported as log CFU/ml \pm standard deviation. Significant differences in

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5739977

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5739977

Daneshyari.com