



Wire-mesh honeycomb catalyst for selective catalytic reduction of NO_x under lean-burn conditions

Hong Sun, Yaobin Zhang, Xie Quan^{*}, Shuo Chen, Zhenping Qu, Yunlong Zhou

Key Laboratory of Industrial Ecology and Environmental Engineering, Ministry of Education, School of Environmental and Biological Science and Technology, Dalian University of Technology, Linggong Road 2, Dalian 116024, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Available online 11 September 2008

Keywords:

Wire-mesh honeycomb
Selective catalytic reduction
Thermal response
Light-off

ABSTRACT

The selective catalytic reduction of NO_x by propene under lean-burn conditions was investigated over Pd/Ce_{0.66}Zr_{0.34}O₂/TiO₂/Al₂O₃ catalysts supported on wire-mesh honeycomb and was compared with pellet catalysts and ceramic monolithic catalysts. The wire-mesh honeycomb possessed high geometric surface area (GSA) of 43.0 in.² in.⁻³ and large open frontal area (OFA) of 86.6%. The wire-mesh honeycomb catalyst exhibited high NO_x conversion at lower temperatures and could be stabilized in shorter time after temperature elevation than that of ceramic monolithic catalyst in the unsteady-state experiment. The wire-mesh honeycomb catalyst also exhibited characteristics of fast light-off.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Selective catalytic reduction of NO_x by hydrocarbon (HC-SCR) is a promising method to control emission from lean-burn engines. This technology uses unburned hydrocarbons present in the engine exhaust as reductants, which does not need to supply the storage or transportation devices. So, extensive investigations performed on this issue and a large number of catalysts had been evaluated in HC-SCR [1–5]. However, most of researches were made by testing the catalysts deposited over powders or pellets, which could not meet the demand of the practical application. The popular design for the automotive exhaust catalysts is the monolith catalysts now. A monolith has a honeycomb structure with parallel and usually straight channels or cells, and the catalysts are dispersed on the channel's wall. Compared with packed-bed reactors loaded with pellet catalysts, the monolith has the low pressure-drop (up to two orders of magnitude) [6]. Ceramic monoliths are the common monolith substrate which had been one of the most significant and promising developments in the fields of heterogeneous catalysis and chemical engineering, especially in environmental protection. However, there are some disadvantages in honeycomb reactors, such as the absence of radial mixing [7], the low interphase mass- and heat-transfer rate [8,9].

In recent years, metal wire had received much interest as catalytic carriers [10]. Wire-mesh catalysts combined the excellent mass- and heat-transfer characteristics of pellets catalysts with a relatively low pressure-drop of monolith, which was mainly attributed to the high porosity of the wire-mesh structure [8,11]. Ahlström-Silversand and Odenbrand compared the performances of wire-mesh, monolith and pellet catalysts and found that wire-mesh catalysts showed high mass- and heat-transfer numbers, moderate pressure-drop, insignificant effects of pore diffusion and axial dispersion [8,12]. Nevertheless, little attention has been paid to the configuration of wire-mesh catalysts.

Wire-mesh honeycomb was manufactured by stacking alternatively corrugated and plain wire-mesh sheets, which combined the characteristics of wire-mesh catalyst and the monolith. This structure not only enhanced the external mass-transfer rate and gave a more uniform distribution of fluids across the entire bed diameter, which offered better efficiencies of gas–solid containing, but also was easily installed. Furthermore, wire-mesh honeycomb, like other metallic monoliths, had high thermal conductivity and mechanical strength, which had been applied in catalytic oxidation of 1,2-dichlorobenzene [13], ethyl acetate [14,15] and volatile organic compounds in air [11]. However, there were few literatures on using wire-mesh honeycomb as catalytic substrate for selective catalytic reduction of NO_x under lean-burn conditions.

Supported precious metal catalysts had received much interest in the SCR of NO_x due to the high catalytic activity at low temperature and excellent stability [16,17]. In this paper, the Pd/

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 411 84706140; fax: +86 411 84706263.
E-mail address: quanxie@dlut.edu.cn (X. Quan).

$\text{Ce}_{0.66}\text{Zr}_{0.34}\text{O}_2/\text{TiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ wire-mesh honeycomb catalyst was prepared using sol–gel and impregnation method, and the selective catalytic reduction of NO_x by propene under lean-burn conditions was evaluated. The catalytic activities of different catalysts, ceramic monolith catalysts and pellets catalysts, were also investigated and compared experimentally.

2. Experimental

2.1. Preparation of alumina washcoat over wire-meshes and ceramic monolith

Because the surface areas of metal wire were very low and the catalysts could not be dispersed directly, it was necessary to deposit the ceramic oxide washcoat with a high surface area over the wire-mesh substrate. Electrophoretic deposition (EPD) method had been employed to deposit alumina washcoat on the wire-mesh substrates. The alumina suspension was prepared with γ -alumina ($\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$) powders grinded from γ -alumina pellets (Shanghai Chemical Laboratory). Polysilicic acid and aluminum isopropoxide were used as additives.

Alumina-coated plain sheets and corrugated sheets ($3.5\text{ cm} \times 2.0\text{ cm}$ in size) were adopted for the formation of the wire-mesh honeycomb. The two types of sheets were stacked alternately to prepare the wire-mesh honeycomb having a cuboid shape with a size of $3.5\text{ cm} \times 3.5\text{ cm} \times 2.0\text{ cm}$.

For the comparison of catalytic activity, the two ceramic monolith catalysts were prepared. The two ceramic monoliths made of cordierite had different channels structure and cell density: one with 50 cpsi had channels of square crosssection and another with 400 cpsi had triangle channels. The cordierite monoliths with the size of $3.5\text{ cm} \times 3.5\text{ cm}$ and length of 2.0 cm were cleaned with 1.6 mol L^{-1} oxalic acid. The slurry of alumina washcoat consisted of 20.0 g alumina in 100 mL of water and pH of slurry was adjusted to 3–4. The washcoating was carried out by immersing the cordierite monoliths in this slurry for 5 min, and then the samples were dried at 110°C for 5 h. This procedure was repeated in order to increase the amount of washcoat. Finally, the ceramic monoliths were calcined at 500°C for 3 h.

2.2. Preparation of different catalysts

The $\text{Pd}/\text{Ce}_{0.66}\text{Zr}_{0.34}\text{O}_2/\text{TiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalysts over different catalytic substrates were prepared. Firstly, the TiO_2 coat was prepared by sol–gel method. The Al_2O_3 -coated wire-mesh honeycomb, ceramic monoliths and alumina pellets were impregnated in titania sol which was prepared by hydrolysis of tetra-*n*-butyl titanate $[\text{Ti}(\text{OC}_4\text{H}_9)_4]$ (Shanghai Reagent Company), and then the impregnated samples were dried at 110°C for 3 h and calcined at 500°C for 2 h in air. Subsequently, the $\text{Ce}_{0.66}\text{Zr}_{0.34}\text{O}_2$ washcoat was introduced by sol–gel method. Polyethylene glycol and citric acid (Guangzhou Reagent Company) were added into the mixture of $\text{Ce}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Zr}(\text{NO}_3)_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Shanghai Reagent Company) at 80°C under vigorous stirring to prepare the CeZr sol with a mole Ce/Zr ratio of 2/1. The samples were dried at 110°C for 3 h and calcined at 500°C for 2 h in air. $\text{Pd}/\text{Ce}_{0.66}\text{Zr}_{0.34}\text{O}_2/\text{TiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalysts were obtained by impregnation with an aqueous solution of PdCl_2 (99%, Shenyang Reagent Company), and the Pd loading was determined by atomic absorption spectrometer (AAS) with graphite furnace (AAnalyst 700, PerkinElmer). The catalysts were then dried at 110°C for 12 h and calcined at 500°C for 2 h in air. These catalysts were not reduced before the catalytic activity test.

In the case of pellet catalysts, the catalysts were loaded into a reactor made of stainless steel mesh with the size of $3.5\text{ cm} \times 3.5\text{ cm} \times 2.0\text{ cm}$ also.

2.3. Catalytic activity

Catalyst performance was evaluated under steady-state conditions using a square-shape reactor ($4.0\text{ cm} \times 4.0\text{ cm}$ in width) made of stainless steel with a total bead length of 100 cm . The catalysts were placed inside the reactor at a distance of 10 cm from the top. The temperature was controlled with a temperature controller. The reactant gases flowed into the reactor and the activity measurements were performed in the temperature range from room temperature to 260°C . Typically, the composition of the reactant gas was 2000 ppm NO , $2000\text{ ppm C}_3\text{H}_6$ and $6\% \text{ O}_2$ in N_2 . The total flow rate was 100 L h^{-1} which corresponded an hour space velocity (GHSV) of 4325 h^{-1} . NO and propylene (purity 99%) used for the experiments were provided by Guangming Research and Design Institute of Chemical Industry, Dalian. Before the selective catalytic reduction of NO_x , the catalysts had been exposed to the reactant gas for 2 h in order to eliminate the influence of the adsorption on the catalysts and in the pipe-lines.

The inlet and outlet gases were analyzed with a gas chromatograph (Shimadzu GC-14C) equipped with a switched dual columns system and with two columns (Porapak Q, for the separation of CO_2 , N_2O , H_2O and C_3H_6 , and Molecular Sieve 5A for O_2 , N_2 , and CO). The activities were evaluated in terms of NO_x conversion determined according to the following equation:

$$\text{NO}_x \text{ conversion (\%)} = \frac{[\text{NO}_x]_{\text{inlet}} - [\text{NO}_x]_{\text{outlet}}}{[\text{NO}_x]_{\text{inlet}}}$$

where $[\text{NO}_x]_{\text{inlet}}$ and $[\text{NO}_x]_{\text{outlet}}$ were the concentrations of NO_x at the inlet and outlet of the reactor, respectively.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characteristics of catalysts

The substrate was an integral part of the catalysts. Its primary function was to bring the active catalyst into maximal effective exposure with the exhaust gases. However, it was interestingly noted that many parameters affecting the performance of a catalytic converter were influenced by the substrate [17]. Cell density, geometric surface area (GSA), open frontal area (OFA), hydraulic diameter (D_h), mechanical integrity factor and bulk heat transfer of the substrate affected both the performance and durability of the catalytic converter.

Wire-mesh honeycomb, cordierite monoliths and pellets catalysts were prepared (Fig. 1), and their characteristics were shown in Table 1. The palladium loadings were kept at the similar value. To facilitate the comparison of wire-mesh honeycomb substrate and cordierite honeycomb substrate, their geometric properties should be first addressed. From Table 1, wire-mesh honeycomb substrate offered 88% higher GSA and 33% greater OFA than ceramic substrate of 50 cell density. Furthermore, GSA of wire-mesh honeycomb was only 25% lower than that of ceramic honeycomb with 400 cpsi. The high GSA of the ideal substrate was necessary in order to obtain the high conversion efficiency under steady-state [18].

The pressure-drop characteristic of monolith was one of the most important factors to take into consideration in most applications. The high OFA and large D_h could help to minimize the backpressure. The wire-mesh honeycomb had a larger D_h of 0.0201 in and a greater OFA of 86.6% than ceramic honeycomb. It was evident that wire-mesh honeycomb had a good pressure-drop

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/57418>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/57418>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)