Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Ecological Engineering**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ecoleng

## Municipal wastewater treatment using sequential activated sludge reactor and vegetated submerged bed constructed wetland planted with *Vetiveria zizanioides*

### Adedayo A. Badejo<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, David O. Omole<sup>a,c</sup>, Julius M. Ndambuki<sup>a</sup>, Williams K. Kupolati<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Tshwane University of Technology, Private Bag X680, Pretoria, 0001, South Africa

<sup>b</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, P.M.B 2240, Ogun State, Nigeria

<sup>c</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Covenant University, P.M.B. 1023, Ota, Nigeria

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 December 2015 Received in revised form 21 September 2016 Accepted 13 November 2016 Available online 2 December 2016

Keywords: Biological nutrient removal activated sludge Vegetated submerged bed constructed wetland Municipal wastewater Vetiveria zizanioides

#### ABSTRACT

This study investigated a sequential system consisting of Biological Nutrient Removal Activated Sludge (BNRAS) and Vegetated Submerged Bed Constructed Wetlands (VSBCW). The BNRAS/VSBCW combination removing pollutants from municipal wastewater in a developing country was examined. Wastewater from the anaerobic, anoxic and aerobic zones of the BNRAS was fed into 12 pilot VSBCW consisting of 1000 L plastic tanks having 500 mm deep 10–15 mm diameter granite substrate planted with *Vetiveria Zizanioides*. Irrigation of macrophytes using effluent from the BNRAS was done after 3 months of planting and the VSBCW effluent analyzed. Wastewater samples were collected and analyzed using standard procedures. Percentage removal of 96.6, 96.93, and 97.21% of COD; 33.33, 85.71, and 92.48% of Nitrate/Nitrite; 53.51, 46.45, and 88.78% of Sulphate; and 98.34, 99.72, and 99.6% of TSS were obtained from the anaerobic, anoxic and aerobic zones respectively. Removal efficiency from the anaerobic zone effluent was highest during the study period. VSBCW using locally available macrophytes *V. Zizanioides* in combination with BNRAS was found efficient in municipal wastewater treatment.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

The Biological Nutrient Removal Activated Sludge (BNRAS) process comprising of biological reactor and a secondary settler is used widely as secondary treatment for both municipal and industrial wastewaters. There are problems associated with BNRAS which makes it difficult to recycle its effluents directly. According to Martins et al. (2004); Graham and Smith (2004), unsuccessful sludge settlement and failure of wastewater treatment due to loss or inactivation of key populations are some of the problems associated with BNRAS effluents. Wastewater treatment using conventional BNRAS requires long sludge age for nitrification and there is always difficulty in near complete nitrogen removal (Muller et al., 2004). To achieve higher effluent quality, membrane systems such as microfiltration, ultra filtration and reverse osmosis are used (Bai and Leow, 2002). This treatment processes are expensive and requires high level of skill workers. External Nitrification

\* Corresponding author at: Department of Civil Engineering, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, P.M.B. 2240, Ogun State, Nigeria.

E-mail address: badejoaa@funaab.edu.ng (A.A. Badejo).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2016.11.012 0925-8574/© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. is employed in some cases as observed in Muller et al. (2004). However, there is need for low cost alternative tertiary wastewater treatment systems which Constructed Wetlands provide.

Constructed Wetland (CW) is a cost-effective wastewater treatment alternative with promising performance to treat domestic, agricultural and industrial wastewater (Badejo et al., 2015: Kouawa et al., 2015). CWs act as bio-filters to remove nutrients, pathogenic microorganisms, persistent organic pollutants and trace elements from industrial and domestic wastewater (Kadlec and Wallace, 2009; Brix, 1997). They are engineered ecosystems that use natural processes involving wetland vegetation, soils and microbial assemblages in improving the quality of water usually in a controlled environment (Maine et al., 2007; Vymazal, 2011).

CWs are complex matrix of distinct aerobic and anaerobic treatment zones. They are wastewater treatment facilities duplicating the processes occurring in natural wetlands. Constructed wetlands can be classified based on the type of macrophytic growth (emergent, submerged, free floating and rooted with floating leaves) or the water flow regime (surface flow, sub-surface, vertical or horizontal flow). They are classified broadly as Free water surface (FWS) wetlands or surface flow (SF) constructed wetlands, and





CrossMark

Vegetated submerged bed (VSB) systems or subsurface- flow (SSF) constructed wetlands (USEPA, 2004; Arias and Brown, 2009).

CWs technology has been reported to offer lower construction and maintenance cost for domestic wastewater treatment and is suitable for developing countries (Ayaz and Akca, 2000; Badejo et al., 2012). For a long time researchers have pointed out the high pollution removal capacity of natural environment (soils, wetlands, etc) and studies have been ongoing on the possibility of using natural environment in order to purify or complete wastewater purification (Chen et al., 2008; Chung et al., 2008). CWs have been used to remove a wide variety of pollutants ranging from organic compounds, suspended solids, pathogens, metals and nutrients (Kadlec and Wallace, 2009).

Natural processes involving wetland vegetation, soils and their associated microbial assemblages are employed in CWs to improve water guality. Kadlec and Wallace (2009) described the wetland processes that contributes to the removal/reduction of pollutant in CW as microbial mediated processes (removal as a result of the activity of bacteria or other microorganisms); chemical networks (creating products that are themselves contaminants of interest); sorption (either linear, fruendlich or Langmuir); volatilization (resulting in the creation of gases that are released to the atmosphere); sedimentation (mostly involving suspended solids); photodegradation (whose effectiveness is determined by the concentration of organisms and the radiation dose rate); plant uptakes (in which plants take up nutrients and trace chemicals to sustain their metabolism and for storage respectively); transpiration flux; vertical diffusion in soils and sediments; seasonal cycle, and accretions (creation of new soils and sediments).

Li et al. (2015) summarised the process of pollution removal in subsurface wetlands as involving multi-functioning substrate, microorganisms, and macrophytes as the wastewater flows through the Wetland Bed. Vegetation plays an important role in CWs, especially on nitrogen and phosphorus removal (Bachand and Horne, 2000). Macrophytes perform notable metabolic and absorption functions, their transport system take up nutrients and contaminants from the substrates or water. Vegetation have been shown to have a significant positive effect on wastewater pollution removal (Brisson and Chazarenc, 2009; Akratos and Tsihrintzis, 2007). Macrophytes provide a large surface area for attached microbial growth and supply reduced carbon and oxygen, the macrophytes used in CWs are usually tolerant to high organic and nutrient loadings from the wastewater; they have rich root and rhizomes that would provide substrates for the attached bacteria (Vymazal and Kropfelova, 2005). The use of vetiver in the treatment of wastewater started in Australia in 1996 where the secondary treated effluent was used for lawn irrigation (Truong, 2000). Research also showed vetiver's tolerance to elevated and sometimes toxic levels of salinity, acidity, and alkalinity as well as a whole range of heavy metals and agrochemicals (Truong, 2000; Wagner et al., 2004). Vetiveria Zizanioides is locally available in South Africa at commercial rate and easily accessible.

A valuable source of water in a semi-arid country such as South Africa is the reuse of wastewater. The city of Tshwane, Gauteng province, South Africa has ten wastewater treatment plants (Babelegi, Temba, Rietgat, Klipgat, Sandspruit, Rooiwal, Zeekoegat, Baviaanspoort, Sunderland Ridge and Daspoort). In the Daspoort Wastewater Treatment Works (DWWTW) a certain degree of indirect reuse of treated effluent is currently taking place. The treated wastewater effluent is discharged into Apies River and it flows into dams or reservoirs, from where it is withdrawn to water treatment plants. The quality of the treated effluent deteriorates in the dams due to some algal growth.

Wastewater treatment systems that employ a combination of conventional and less resource intensive ecologically sustainable system is therefore required in resolving the water supply and san-

Fig. 1. Experimental setup showing a section of the pilot VSBCW with V. Zizanioides.

itation issues in developing country like South Africa. This research therefore, investigated the possibility of integrating a VSBCW using *Vetiveria Zizanioides* into a BNRAS for municipal wastewater treatment. The specific objective of the study was to determine the most appropriate placement of VSBCW when integrated into BNRAS in treating municipal wastewater in Guateng Province, South Africa. Effluents from the three different compartments of the BNRAS were subjected to treatment in a VSBCW planted with *Vetiveria Zizanioides*.

#### 2. Materials methods

#### 2.1. Study area

The study area of this research work is the Daspoort Waste Water Treatment Works, Pretoria, South Africa. It was built between 1913 and 1920 to the design of Town Engineer F. Waltone Jameson. The DWWTW and Rooiwal Waste Water Treatment Works treat wastewater collected from Central Pretoria. The DWWTW abstract raw water from the main sewer at two points linked to its Eastern and Western Works. The influent wastewater undergoes mechanical screening, grit removal and primary settling at the abstraction points. The Eastern and Western works consist of trickling filter plant (modules 1-6) and BNRAS system (Modules 9-11) respectively. The BNRAS has three modules with 9 compartments of 750 m<sup>3</sup> each. The modules are operated in a 3 stage configuration, anaerobic  $(1500 \text{ m}^3)$  anoxic  $(2250 \text{ m}^3)$  and aerobic (3000 m<sup>3</sup>). The aerobic compartments are fitted with surface aerators and nitrogen rich mixed luquor are taken from it to the anoxic compartment. Effluent from the primary sedimentation tank and return activated sludge from the secondary sedimentation tank enter the anaerobic compartment (Muller et al., 2004).

Wastewater from the 3 different compartments of the BNRAS was pumped batch-wise into a green house consisting of 12 pilot Vegetated Submerged Bed Constructed Wetlands (VSBCW) built at the DWWTW, Pretoria, South Africa. The pilot VSBCW bed consisted of 1000 L plastic tanks (Fig. 1) with a surface area of 1.2 m<sup>2</sup> each. The substrate was 500 mm deep 10–15 mm diameter granite with 100 mm thick overlay of manure from the DWWTW sludge, to ensure adequate growth of the macrophytes before wastewater irrigation. Each bed was equipped with inlet and outlet pipes to ensure regular irrigation and effluent collection. The twelve VSBCW pilot beds were planted with transplanted rhizomes of *Vetiveria Zizanioides* from Hydromulch (PTY), South Africa.The macrophyte (*V. Zizanioides*) was planted at a distance of 200 mm apart to produce a high density bed. Irrigation was initially with tap water and irrigation with wastewater began three months after planting.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5744022

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5744022

Daneshyari.com