



Ecological responses to environmental change in marine systems



1. Background to volume

Worldwide, the structure of marine communities is being transformed by threats as diverse as climate change, ocean acidification, human introductions of invasive species, and habitat damage, loss or disturbance. Evidence of ecological responses to these threats are increasingly common, manifested in a variety of ways from changes in the behaviour of organisms, to increasing prevalence of disease, changes in the structure, functioning and distribution of species and communities, and efforts to mitigate threats and conserve biodiversity. These responses are occurring across a range of geographic scales, from local, to regional and global, and efforts to understand changes in marine ecosystems are at the forefront of science today. These questions were the focus of the 2015 Aquatic Biodiversity and Ecosystems Conference (ABEC) and this Special Issue presents some of the on-going challenges, solutions and evidence of these responses that emerged from this conference.

2. Threats to natural systems

There are numerous threats to marine ecosystems originating from a complex web of human activities, occurring at a range of spatial and temporal scales (Halpern et al., 2008; Knights et al., 2015). Whilst many of these threats are largely manageable, perhaps some of the greatest challenges – habitat loss, climate change, invasive species and ocean acidification – are less so, and the impacts of those threats continue to be at the forefront of scientific research today.

Global temperatures continue to increase at unprecedented rates (IPCC, 2014) due to historic and continued greenhouse gas emissions, but steps to mitigate impacts are expected to take at least 50 years before effects are seen (Firth and Hawkins, 2011). The impacts of climate change on marine ecosystems are wide and varied (Harley et al., 2006; Rosenzweig et al., 2008): reductions in sea ice (Stocker et al., 2013), increased frequency and intensity of storms (Kossin and Vimont, 2007; Smale et al., 2015), extreme weather events (Crisp, 1964; Firth et al., 2011, 2015; Wethey et al., 2011; Wernberg et al., 2016) and drought (Diffenbaugh et al., 2015) are particularly obvious examples that have led to catastrophic whole ecosystem changes (Johnson et al., 2011; Russell et al., 2011; Filbee-Dexter and Scheibling, 2014). The implications of global climate change for marine habitats and species are great (Hawkins et al., 2009) but the extent and severity of impacts are yet to be fully revealed (Swart et al., 2015).

Ocean acidification also continues to pose a significant threat to marine ecosystems. In recent years, there has been a burgeoning literature in this field (Gaylord et al., 2015), many of which focused on impacts on

early life-history stages (Byrne, 2011; Findlay et al., 2010a, 2010b) and to a lesser degree, adults (but see Findlay et al., 2009; Gooding et al., 2009; Rodolfo-Metalpa et al., 2011) of plants and animals. Recent studies have suggested that historic ocean acidification linked to the Permian-Triassic mass extinction led to the disappearance of ~90% of marine species (Clarkson et al., 2015). Today, surface ocean hydrogen ion (H^+) concentrations are predicted to increase a further 150% by 2100 (Stocker et al., 2013). Numerous taxa at first glance appear robust to OA, but when coupled with increased temperature predictions (i.e. ocean acidification-warming scenarios), the persistence of many marine species and associated ecosystem services is less certain (Kroeker et al., 2013).

The introduction of non-native species into new areas, either through intentional (e.g. aquaculture; Knights et al., 2016; Wethey et al., 2011; Woodin et al., 2014) or unintentional means (ballast water or hull fouling; Wonham et al., 2005; Drake and Lodge, 2007; Mineur et al., 2007) is of continuing concern, especially given the costs associated with the successful establishment of invasive species to ecosystem services (Costanza et al., 1997; Pimentel et al., 2005). It has been estimated that ~10,000 species are transported globally by these methods alone (Carlton, 1999). The mechanisms of invasion success remain unclear, although evidence suggests that the likelihood of establishment may be limited by the existence of intact native species communities (Elton, 1958; Stachowicz et al., 2002; Levine et al., 2004; Arenas et al., 2006). Recently, studies have suggested that the traits and functions of invasives are more similar to those of native species than previously suggested (Wilkie et al., 2012; Zwierschke et al., 2016, but see Borsje et al., 2011 for an example of where the non-native outperforms the native), such that the competitiveness (and likelihood of establishment) of invading species is high (Freestone et al., 2011, 2013). This competitiveness is also likely to increase into the future, at least in part, because invasive species often have broad tolerance for a range of environmental conditions, such as temperature, and so may have a competitive advantage over local species which are better adapted to the local environment (Zerebecki and Sorte, 2011).

As the human population continues to expand (Gerland et al., 2014) and given the propensity to live by the coast, there has been a significant expansion in the use of artificial structures to protect coastal habitats from climate change impacts such as increased erosion. The introduction of artificial structures has had a number of impacts including the loss or replacement of natural habitats (Bulleri and Chapman, 2010; Dugan et al., 2011), change in environmental conditions (Airoldi et al., 2005; Wilson and Elliott, 2009), breaking down of biogeographic barriers (Dong et al., 2016), and change in community composition including facilitating the establishment and spread of invasive species (Fig. 1, Mineur et al., 2012; Airoldi et al., 2015; Firth et al., 2016).



Fig. 1. Proliferation of non-native barnacle (*Austrominius modestus*) and non-native oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) species interspersed with native barnacles, *Semibalanus balanoides*, on a rocky shore in Plymouth, England. Recruitment has been facilitated by the introduction of artificial substrate on previously open and exposed bedrock. Photo credit: A.M. Knights (unpublished).

3. Ecological responses

The impact of these threats to marine habitats is great, especially as marine habitats from the intertidal zone out to the continental shelf break (and those under greatest threat) are estimated to provide ~43% of global ecosystem goods (e.g. food, raw materials) and services (e.g. nutrient cycling, carbon sequestration) per year (Costanza et al., 1997). Amelioration of these impacts, in some instances, can be achieved through active management or conservation efforts, or by adaptation by species themselves.

The ecological responses of marine organisms to environmental change are highly variable both within and between species depending on the relationship between the organism and its environment (Chevin et al., 2010; Harley et al., 2006). Moritz and Agudo (2013 and references therein) refer to a combination of exposure (at regional or mesoscales) and intrinsic sensitivity (tolerance) as drivers of species vulnerability. They argue that mediation of vulnerability can be achieved by a range of biological responses, including: reductions in body size (Sheridan and Bickford, 2011), changes in abundance, phenological and range shifts (Parmesan, 2006; Parmesan and Yohe, 2003; Mieszkowska et al., 2006, 2014), altered animal behaviour (Sih, 2013), localised adaption (Hansen et al., 2013; Hof et al., 2011; Muir et al., 2016), but may also be characterised by increased incidence of disease and parasites (Hari et al., 2006; Lindgren and Gustafson, 2001) and local extinction (e.g. Pounds et al., 2005; Poloczanska et al., 2008; Wethey et al., 2011). Variability in species performance, community composition or general response to environmental change at local and regional scales (Muir et al., 2014) is increasingly being shown to affect ecosystem functioning, stability, and ecosystem service provision (McCann, 2000; Naem, 1998; Tilman et al., 2006) and was an important focus of many talks and posters presented at the ABEC conference.

4. Structure of the volume

This Special Issue volume of the *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* is a compilation of articles emerging from the Aquatic Biodiversity and Ecosystems Conference (ABEC) held at the University

of Liverpool in September 2015. Originally conceived as a follow-up and review of the 1990 conference *Plant-Animal Interactions in the Marine Benthos*, ABEC addressed eight themes falling under the broad banner of “Evolution, Interactions and Global change”. The themes were: (i) Evolutionary Biology, (ii) Fisheries and Aquaculture, (iii) Dispersal and Connectivity, (iv) General Aquatic Biology, (v) Global Environmental Change, (vi) Food Webs and Trophic Dynamics, (vii) Conservation, Management and Policy, and (viii) Biodiversity, Ecosystem Functioning and Services.

This Special Issue is a compilation of reviews and research articles, each of which, fall under one of these themes. Reviews include the effect of ocean sprawl on ecological connectivity (Bishop et al., 2017-in this issue) and soft sediment habitats (Heery et al., 2017-in this issue), ocean acidification impacts on ecosystem service provision in oysters (Lemasson et al., 2017-in this issue), nutrient flux across the land-sea interface (Moss, 2017-in this issue) and a global analysis of the role of kelp forests as biogenic habitat formers (Teagle et al., 2017-in this issue). Research articles include environmental factors affecting host-parasite interactions (Firth et al., 2017-in this issue), habitat complexity of artificial structures affecting biodiversity (Lavender et al., 2017-in this issue, Loke et al., 2017-in this issue), impacts of climate change on intertidal ectotherm behaviour (Ng et al., 2017-in this issue) and larval metamorphosis in response to biofilm cues (Simith et al., 2017-in this issue).

Finally, we dedicate and open this volume with a tribute to Professor Roger Hughes, formerly Editor-in-Chief of the *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*. Thanks to Professor Bob Elner for agreeing to write this fitting tribute and especially to Helen Hughes for providing much of the information and long-time Roger’s right-hand ‘man’ — she is deserving of tributes of her own!

Acknowledgments

Compiling this special edition has been a rewarding challenge that couldn’t have been achieved without the efforts of all of those who were involved in its preparation and production. We must firstly thank Professor Sandra Shumway, Editor-in-Chief of the *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, for giving us the opportunity to produce

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5744518>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5744518>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)