Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences (2017) 24, 737–740

King Saud University

Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences

www.ksu.edu.sa
www.sciencedirect.com

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Periodicity computation of generalized mathematical biology problems involving delay differential equations

M. Jasim Mohammed [a], Rabha W. Ibrahim [b,*], M.Z. Ahmad [a]

[a] *Institute of Engineering Mathematics, Universiti Malaysia Perlis, 02600 Arau Perlis, Malaysia*
[b] *Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology, University, Malaya 50603, Malaysia*

Received 21 September 2016; revised 29 December 2016; accepted 7 January 2017
Available online 26 January 2017

KEYWORDS

Fractional calculus;
Fractional differential equation;
Fractional differential operator;
Population model

Abstract In this paper, we consider a low initial population model. Our aim is to study the periodicity computation of this model by using neutral differential equations, which are recognized in various studies including biology. We generalize the neutral Rayleigh equation for the third-order by exploiting the model of fractional calculus, in particular the Riemann–Liouville differential operator. We establish the existence and uniqueness of a periodic computational outcome. The technique depends on the continuation theorem of the coincidence degree theory. Besides, an example is presented to demonstrate the finding.

1. Introduction

Biocomputing is proposed as the procedure of constructing models that use biological materials. The class of neutral differential delay equations is the most popular model in Biocomputing. It was introduced by the famous British mathematical biologist, Lord Rayleigh, as follows:

$$x''(t) + f(x'(t)) + ax(t) = 0. \tag{1}$$

Eq. (1) is extended into a third order by various authors. Abou-El-Ela et al. (2012) discussed a criterion for the existence of periodicity to third order neutral delay differential equation with one deviating argument as below:

$$x'''(t) + ax''(t) + g(x'(t - \tau(t))) + f(x(t - \tau(t))) = p(t). \tag{2}$$

Using the idea of the fractional calculus (see Podlubny, 1999), Eq. (1) is developed (see Ibrahim et al., 2016a,b,c). Recently, Rakkiyappan et al. (2016) presented the periodicity by applying fractional neural network model.

The objective of this work is to give new appropriate conditions for guaranteeing the existence and uniqueness of a periodic solution of fractional differential equation of order 3μ $(0 < \mu < 1)$ with two deviating arguments, taking the form

* Corresponding author.
E-mail addresses: mohdmath87@gmail.com (M. Jasim Mohammed), rabhaibrahim@um.edu.my (R.W. Ibrahim), mzaini@unimap.edu.my (M.Z. Ahmad).
Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.

ELSEVIER Production and hosting by Elsevier

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2017.01.050
1319-562X

$$D^{3\mu}u(t)+\Psi(u'(t))u''(t)+\varphi(u(t))u'(t)+\vartheta_1(t,u(t-\varepsilon_1(t))) + \vartheta_2(t,u(t-\varepsilon_2(t)))=p(t), \tag{3}$$

where $D^{3\mu}$ is the Riemann–Liouville fractional differential operator of order 3μ, $\Psi,\varphi,\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2,p:\mathcal{R}\to\mathcal{R}$ and $\vartheta_1,\vartheta_2:\mathcal{R}\times\mathcal{R}\to\mathcal{R}$ are continuous functions ε_1, ε_2 and p are periodic, ϑ_1 and ϑ_2 are periodic in their first argument and $T>0$.

2. Material and methods

For convenience, we let

$$|u|_\kappa=\left(\int_0^T|u(t)|^\kappa dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\kappa}},\ \kappa\geqslant 1,\ |u|_\infty=max_{t\in[0,T]}|u(t)|,$$

$$|p|_\infty=max_{t\in[0,T]}|p(t)| \text{ and } \bar{p}=\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T p(t)dt.$$

Let the following sets

$$X=\{u|u\in C^2(\mathcal{R},\mathcal{R}),u(t+T)=u(t),\quad \text{for all } t\in\mathcal{R}\}$$

and

$$\Upsilon=\{y|y\in C(\mathcal{R},\mathcal{R}),y(t+T)=y(t),\quad \text{for all } t\in\mathcal{R}\}$$

are be two Banach spaces with the norms

$||u_X||=max\{|u|_\infty,|u'|_\infty,|u''|_\infty\}$ and $||y||_\Upsilon=|y|_\infty$.

Outline a linear operator $L:Dom(L)\subset X\to\Upsilon$ by setting

$$Dom(L)=\{u|u\in X,D^{3\mu}u(t)\in C(\mathcal{R},\mathcal{R})\},$$

and for $u\in Dom(L)$,

$$L_u=D^{3\mu}u(t). \tag{4}$$

We as well term a nonlinear operator $\mathcal{N}:X\to\Upsilon$ by setting

$$\mathcal{N}_u=-\Psi(u'(t))u''(t)-\varphi(u(t))u'(t)-\vartheta_1(t,u(t-\varepsilon_1(t))) -\vartheta_2(t,u(t-\varepsilon_2(t)))+p(t). \tag{5}$$

Therefore, we have seen that $KerL=\mathcal{R}$, $dim(KerL)=1$; $Im\ L=\{y|y\in\Upsilon,\int_0^T y(\varsigma)d\varsigma=0\}$ is a subset of Υ and $dim(\Upsilon/ImL)=1$, which implies $diom(Im\ L)=\dim(KerL)$.

So the operator L is a Fredholm operator with index zero. Now we define a nonlinear operator as follows:

$$L_u=\alpha\mathcal{N}_u,\ \alpha\in(0,1);$$

$$D^{3\mu}u(t)+\alpha\{\Psi(u'(t))u''(t)+\varphi(u(t))u'(t) +\vartheta_1(t,u(t-\varepsilon_1(t)))+\vartheta_2(t,u(t-\varepsilon_2(t)))\}=\alpha p(t), \tag{6}$$

where the Riemann–Liouville fractional differential operator is defined as follows:

$$D^\mu u(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\mu)}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^t(t-s)^{-\mu}u(s)ds,\quad 0<t<\infty.$$

We need the following outcome:

Method 2.1 *(Continuation method)* Assume that X and Υ be two Banach spaces. Supposing that $L:Dom(L)\subset X\to\Upsilon$ is a Fredholm operator with index zero and $\mathcal{N}:X\to\Upsilon$ is L-compact on $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is an open bounded subset in X. Furthermore, let the next conditions are satisfied:

(a) $Lu\neq\alpha\mathcal{N}u$, for all $u\in\omega\mathcal{F}\cap Dom(L)$, $\alpha\in(0,1)$;
(b) $\mathcal{N}u\notin ImL$, for all $u\in\omega\mathcal{F}\cap KerL$;
(c) The Brower degree $deg\{Q\mathcal{N},\mathcal{F}\cap KerL,0\}\neq 0$.

Then $Lu=\mathcal{N}u$ has at least one solution on $\bar{\mathcal{F}}\cap Dom(L)$. Moreover, we need the following assumptions in the sequel:

(i) Suppose that there exist non-negative constants A_1; A_2; B_1; B_2; C_1 and C_2 such as

$|\Psi(y)|\leqslant A_1,\ |\Psi(y_1)-\Psi(y_2)|\leqslant A_2|y_1-y_2|$

For all $y,y_1,y_2\in\mathcal{R}$,

$|\varphi(u)|\leqslant C_1,\ |\varphi(u_1)-\varphi(u_2)|\leqslant C_2|u_1-u_2|$

For all $u,u_1,u_2\in\mathcal{R}$ and

$|\vartheta_\imath(t,\upsilon)-\vartheta_\imath(t,v)|\leqslant B_\imath|\upsilon-v|$

For all $y,\upsilon,v\in\mathcal{R},\ \imath=1,2$.

(ii) Assume that the subsequent conditions are satisfied:

(H_1) One of the next conditions holds

(1) $(\vartheta_\imath(t,\upsilon)-\vartheta_\imath(t,v))(\upsilon-v)>0$ for all $t,\upsilon,v\in\mathcal{R},\ \upsilon\neq v$, $\imath=1,2$,
(2) $(\vartheta_\imath(t,\upsilon)-\vartheta_\imath(t,v))(\upsilon-v)<0$ for all $t,\upsilon,v\in\mathcal{R},\ \upsilon\neq v$, $\imath=1,2$;

(H_2) There exists d > 0 like one of the following conditions holds

(1) $u\{\vartheta_1(t,u)+\vartheta_2(t,u)-\bar{p}\}>0$ for all $t\in\mathcal{R},\ |u|>d$,
(2) $u\{\vartheta_1(t,u)+\vartheta_2(t,u)-\bar{p}\}<0$ for all $t\in\mathcal{R},|u|>d$;

If $u(t)$ is a periodic solution of (6), then

$$|u|_\infty\leqslant d+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{T}|u'|_2. \tag{7}$$

(iii) Assume that (i) and (ii) hold such that
(iv)

$$\Gamma(3\mu+1)\left[A_1\frac{T}{2}+C_1\frac{T^2}{4}+(B_1+B_2)\frac{T^3}{8}\right]<1. \tag{8}$$

If $u(t)$ is a periodic solution of (3), then

$$|u''|_\infty\leqslant\frac{[(B_1+B_2)d+M+|p|_\infty]T}{2\left\{\frac{1}{\Gamma(3\mu+1)}-A_1\frac{T}{2}-C_1\frac{T^2}{4}-(B_1+B_2)\frac{T^3}{8}\right\}}=\kappa,$$

$M:=max\{|\vartheta_1(t,0)|+|\vartheta_2(t,0)|:0\leqslant t\leqslant T\}$.

(v) Assume that (i)–(iii) hold. Also let the next condition holds

$$\Gamma(3\mu+1)\left[A_1\frac{T}{2}+(A_1\kappa+C_1)\frac{T^2}{4}(B_1+B_2)+C_2\kappa\frac{T}{8}\right]<1. \tag{9}$$

3. Results

We impose the periodicity computation of the generalized neutral equation (3) in the following result:

Result 3.1: Assume that (i) - (iv) hold. Then (3) has a unique periodic solution.

Demonstration: Condition (iv) implies that (3) has at most one periodic solution. Therefore, it is enough to prove that