



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Environment International

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/envint](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/envint)

Review article

## Measuring biomarkers in wastewater as a new source of epidemiological information: Current state and future perspectives

Emma Gracia-Lor<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Sara Castiglioni<sup>b</sup>, Richard Bade<sup>a</sup>, Frederic Been<sup>c</sup>, Erika Castrignanò<sup>d</sup>, Adrian Covaci<sup>c</sup>, Iria González-Mariño<sup>b</sup>, Evroula Hapeshi<sup>e</sup>, Barbara Kasprzyk-Hordern<sup>d</sup>, Juliet Kinyua<sup>c</sup>, Foon Yin Lai<sup>c</sup>, Thomas Letzel<sup>f</sup>, Luigi Lopardo<sup>d</sup>, Markus R. Meyer<sup>g</sup>, Jake O'Brien<sup>h</sup>, Pedram Ramin<sup>i</sup>, Nikolaos I. Rousis<sup>b</sup>, Axel Rydevik<sup>d</sup>, Yeonsuk Ryu<sup>j</sup>, Miguel M. Santos<sup>k,l</sup>, Ivan Senta<sup>m</sup>, Nikolaos S. Thomaidis<sup>n</sup>, Sofia Veloutsou<sup>f</sup>, Zhugen Yang<sup>o</sup>, Ettore Zuccato<sup>b</sup>, Lubertus Bijlsma<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Research Institute for Pesticides and Water, Universitat Jaume I, Castellon, Spain

<sup>b</sup> IRCCS-Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche "Mario Negri", Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Milan, Italy

<sup>c</sup> Toxicological Center, University of Antwerp, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium

<sup>d</sup> Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, UK

<sup>e</sup> NIREAS-International Water Research Center, University of Cyprus, P.O. Box 20537, 1678 Nicosia, Cyprus

<sup>f</sup> Analytical Group, Chair of Urban Water Systems Engineering, Technical University of Munich, Germany

<sup>g</sup> Department of Experimental and Clinical Toxicology, Institute of Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, Saarland University, 66421 Homburg, Germany

<sup>h</sup> National Research Center for Environmental Toxicology, The University of Queensland, Coopers Plains, QLD 4108, Australia

<sup>i</sup> Dept. of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Denmark

<sup>j</sup> Ecotoxicology and Risk Assessment, Norwegian Institute for Water Research, Oslo, Norway

<sup>k</sup> CIMAR/CIIMAR, LA-Interdisciplinary Centre for marine and Environmental Research, University of Porto, Portugal

<sup>l</sup> FCUP-Dept of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal

<sup>m</sup> Rudjer Boskovic Institute, Zagreb, Croatia

<sup>n</sup> Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis Zografou, 15771 Athens, Greece

<sup>o</sup> Division of Biomedical Engineering, School of Engineering, University of Glasgow, G128LT Glasgow, United Kingdom

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 28 October 2016

Received in revised form 12 December 2016

Accepted 16 December 2016

Available online xxxx

#### Keywords:

Wastewater

Epidemiology

Biomarker

Consumption

Exposure

Population

### ABSTRACT

The information obtained from the chemical analysis of specific human excretion products (biomarkers) in urban wastewater can be used to estimate the exposure or consumption of the population under investigation to a defined substance. A proper biomarker can provide relevant information about lifestyle habits, health and wellbeing, but its selection is not an easy task as it should fulfil several specific requirements in order to be successfully employed. This paper aims to summarize the current knowledge related to the most relevant biomarkers used so far. In addition, some potential wastewater biomarkers that could be used for future applications were evaluated. For this purpose, representative chemical classes have been chosen and grouped in four main categories: (i) those that provide estimates of lifestyle factors and substance use, (ii) those used to estimate the exposure to toxicants present in the environment and food, (iii) those that have the potential to provide information about public health and illness and (iv) those used to estimate the population size. To facilitate the evaluation of the eligibility of a compound as a biomarker, information, when available, on stability in urine and wastewater and pharmacokinetic data (i.e. metabolism and urinary excretion profile) has been reviewed. Finally, several needs and recommendations for future research are proposed.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

\* Corresponding author at: Research Institute for Pesticides and Water, Universitat Jaume I, Castellon, Spain.

E-mail addresses: [lor@uji.es](mailto:lor@uji.es), [emma.gracialor@marionegri.it](mailto:emma.gracialor@marionegri.it) (E. Gracia-Lor), [sara.castiglioni@marionegri.it](mailto:sara.castiglioni@marionegri.it) (S. Castiglioni), [bade@uji.es](mailto:bade@uji.es) (R. Bade), [frederic.been@uantwerpen.be](mailto:frederic.been@uantwerpen.be) (F. Been), [E.Castrignanob@bath.ac.uk](mailto:E.Castrignanob@bath.ac.uk) (E. Castrignanò), [adrian.covaci@uantwerpen.be](mailto:adrian.covaci@uantwerpen.be) (A. Covaci), [iria.gonzalez@usc.es](mailto:iria.gonzalez@usc.es) (I. González-Mariño), [hapeshi.evroula@ucy.ac.cy](mailto:hapeshi.evroula@ucy.ac.cy) (E. Hapeshi), [B.Kasprzyk-Hordern@bath.ac.uk](mailto:B.Kasprzyk-Hordern@bath.ac.uk) (B. Kasprzyk-Hordern), [juliet.kinyua@uantwerpen.be](mailto:juliet.kinyua@uantwerpen.be) (J. Kinyua), [FoonYin.Lai@uantwerpen.be](mailto:FoonYin.Lai@uantwerpen.be) (F.Y. Lai), [t.letzel@tum.de](mailto:t.letzel@tum.de) (T. Letzel), [l.loparto@bath.ac.uk](mailto:l.loparto@bath.ac.uk) (L. Lopardo), [markus.meyer@med.uni-heidelberg.de](mailto:markus.meyer@med.uni-heidelberg.de) (M.R. Meyer), [j.obrien2@uq.edu.au](mailto:j.obrien2@uq.edu.au) (J. O'Brien), [pear@env.dtu.dk](mailto:pear@env.dtu.dk) (P. Ramin), [nikolaos.rousis@marionegri.it](mailto:nikolaos.rousis@marionegri.it) (N.I. Rousis), [a.rydevik@bath.ac.uk](mailto:a.rydevik@bath.ac.uk) (A. Rydevik), [Yeonsuk.Ryu@niva.no](mailto:Yeonsuk.Ryu@niva.no) (Y. Ryu), [santos@ciimar.up.pt](mailto:santos@ciimar.up.pt) (M.M. Santos), [isenta@irb.hr](mailto:isenta@irb.hr) (I. Senta), [ntho@chem.uoa.gr](mailto:ntho@chem.uoa.gr) (N.S. Thomaidis), [sofia.veloutsou@tum.de](mailto:sofia.veloutsou@tum.de) (S. Veloutsou), [Zhugen.Yang@glasgow.ac.uk](mailto:Zhugen.Yang@glasgow.ac.uk) (Z. Yang), [ettore.zuccato@marionegri.it](mailto:ettore.zuccato@marionegri.it) (E. Zuccato), [bijlsma@uji.es](mailto:bijlsma@uji.es) (L. Bijlsma).

## Contents

1.	Introduction . . . . .	0
2.	Lifestyle and substance use biomarkers . . . . .	0
2.1.	Illicit drugs. . . . .	0
2.2.	Alcohol . . . . .	0
2.3.	Tobacco . . . . .	0
2.4.	Caffeine . . . . .	0
2.5.	New psychoactive substances . . . . .	0
3.	Exposure biomarkers from environment and food . . . . .	0
3.1.	Pesticides . . . . .	0
3.2.	Mycotoxins . . . . .	0
3.3.	Parabens. . . . .	0
3.4.	UV-filters . . . . .	0
3.5.	Plasticizers. . . . .	0
3.6.	Flame retardants . . . . .	0
4.	Health biomarkers . . . . .	0
4.1.	Pharmaceuticals . . . . .	0
4.1.1.	Antibiotics. . . . .	0
4.1.2.	Benzodiazepines . . . . .	0
4.1.3.	Other pharmaceuticals . . . . .	0
4.1.4.	Chiral pharmaceuticals . . . . .	0
4.2.	Endogenous compounds . . . . .	0
4.3.	DNA. . . . .	0
5.	Population biomarkers. . . . .	0
5.1.	Artificial sweeteners . . . . .	0
5.2.	Nicotine . . . . .	0
5.3.	Caffeine . . . . .	0
5.4.	Pharmaceuticals . . . . .	0
5.5.	Endogenous compounds . . . . .	0
5.6.	DNA. . . . .	0
6.	Conclusions and future perspectives. . . . .	0
	Acknowledgements . . . . .	0
	Appendix A. Supplementary data . . . . .	0
	References. . . . .	0

## 1. Introduction

Relevant epidemiological information about lifestyle habits, public health and wellbeing can be obtained from the chemical analysis of urban wastewater. This approach, called *wastewater-based epidemiology* (WBE), is based on the analysis of specific human metabolic excretion products (biomarkers) in wastewater as indicators of consumption or exposure of the population served by the sewer network under investigation to different substances. WBE has been successfully applied as a suitable approach for the estimation of illicit drugs consumption (Ort et al., 2014; Thomaidis et al., 2016; Thomas et al., 2012; van Nuijs et al., 2011a; Zuccato et al., 2008), but it has also recently been employed to assess other lifestyle-related factors such as alcohol (Rodríguez-Álvarez et al., 2015; Ryu et al., 2016), nicotine (Castiglioni et al., 2015b; Lopes et al., 2014; Rodríguez-Álvarez et al., 2014b), caffeine (Senta et al., 2015a) and new psychoactive substances (NPS) (Kinyua et al., 2015; Reid et al., 2014a; van Nuijs et al., 2014). WBE has also been applied to verify community-wide exposure to endocrine disruptors and antimicrobial agents in personal care and household products (O'Brien et al., 2015; Rydevik et al., 2015). The broad range of information that can be gathered from wastewater opens up the possibility of expanding WBE to other human biomarkers providing clues about diet, health, diseases and exposure to contaminants. For example by linking exposure to environmental or food contaminants with health outcomes such as diabetes or cancer.

In general, a human biomarker can be an endogenous compound (produced naturally in the body) or a metabolite of a xenobiotic/exogenous substance (produced through metabolic processes after intentional consumption of a substance, accidental exposure to environmental contaminants, as well as through diet or ingestion of a substance).

Biomarkers can be classified on the basis of their function as biomarkers of exposure (compounds that give information about substances consumed or ingested) and biomarkers of effect (indicators of measurable changes or alterations in an organism that can be associated with health problems or wellbeing) and on the basis of biological nature (e.g. metabolites, hormones), or of the disease they can indicate (e.g. cardiovascular biomarkers, obesity biomarkers) (Pischoon, 2009).

The selection of a specific biomarker is not an easy task, as it needs to satisfy different criteria (Fig. 1) (Castiglioni and Gracia-Lor, 2015; Gracia-Lor et al., 2016). From a WBE perspective, a suitable biomarker must be excreted mainly via urine and concentration levels in urine should be at least in the µg/L range to ensure its detection in raw wastewater after dilution (Chen et al., 2014).

A biomarker should also be sufficiently stable in wastewater during the transport (in-sewer stability) from the input (i.e. toilet) to the sampling point and during sampling, storage and analysis (in-sample stability) (McCall et al., 2016a). In wastewater biomarkers can undergo further transformation due to microbial activity (Mardal and Meyer, 2014) and/or sorption to particulate matter (Baker and Kasprzyk-Hordern, 2011; Daughton, 2012a; McCall et al., 2016a). The fate of biomarkers in the sewer can be also predicted by using mathematical models to simulate physicochemical and microbial processes (Bisceglia and Lippa, 2014; McCall et al., 2016b; Ramin et al., 2016). It is important to note that biomarker transformation pathways in the sewer might be different from human metabolic pathways.

Furthermore, a biomarker should preferably be specific to the compound under investigation and unique to human metabolism, thus ensuring that its presence only derives from human excretion and not from exogenous sources (Daughton, 2012b). Therefore, pharmacokinetic data on human metabolism are necessary but unfortunately this

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5748403>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5748403>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)