

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Investigation of alternative metrics to quantify PM mass emissions from light duty vehicles

Jian Xue, Yang Li, David Quiros, Shaohua Hu, Tao Huai, Alberto Ayala, Heejung S. Jung



PII: S0021-8502(17)30056-3
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2017.07.021>
Reference: AS5161

To appear in: *Journal of Aerosol Science*

Received date: 11 February 2017
Revised date: 18 July 2017
Accepted date: 31 July 2017

Cite this article as: Jian Xue, Yang Li, David Quiros, Shaohua Hu, Tao Huai, Alberto Ayala and Heejung S. Jung, Investigation of alternative metrics to quantify PM mass emissions from light duty vehicles, *Journal of Aerosol Science*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2017.07.021>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and a review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Investigation of alternative metrics to quantify PM mass emissions from light duty vehicles

Jian Xue^{a,b}, Yang Li^{a,b}, David Quiros^c, Shaohua Hu^c, Tao Huai^c, Alberto Ayala^c, Heejung S. Jung^{a,b*}

^aCenter for Environmental Research and Technology (CE-CERT), Bourns College of Engineering, University of California, Riverside, 1084 Columbia Ave, Riverside, California, USA

^bUniversity of California Riverside, Department of Mechanical Engineering, 900 University Ave, Riverside, California, USA

^cCalifornia Air Resources Board (CARB), 1900 14th Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, USA

*Corresponding author. heejung@engr.ucr.edu

Abstract

We evaluated the performance of six alternative particulate matter (PM) metrics relative to the regulatory gravimetric method during chassis dynamometer testing of five light-duty vehicles over the Federal Test Procedure (FTP) and Supplemental FTP (US06) cycles. Alternative metrics included three mass-based metrics including black carbon (BC), two suspended PM mass using integrated particle size distribution (IPSD) method, and three number/surface-based metrics including total particle number (PN), solid particle number (SPN), and particle active surface area (PS). The results showed PM emissions over the FTP cycle were dominated by solid particles on a mass basis (BC to PM ratio = 0.6 ± 0.5), whereas over the US06 cycle were dominated by semi-volatile particles (BC to PM ratio = 0.2 ± 0.2). Moderate to strong correlations were reported between the gravimetric method and the six alternative metrics for FTP and US06 cycles separately ($R^2=0.69-0.91$), i.e. the best-fit lines were strongly dependent upon the cycle. On the other hand, the six alternative PM mass and number metrics exhibited moderate to strong correlations regardless of the test cycle ($R^2=0.66-0.98$). We attribute the observed cycle dependency between alternative metrics and the gravimetric mass method to the greater gravimetric sensitivity to organic and semi-volatile PM than for most alternative metrics.

Keywords: Solid particle number, total particle number, particle active surface area, aerosol surface area, black carbon, suspended particle mass, diffusion charger, and photoacoustic

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5753911>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5753911>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)