ARTICLE IN PRESS

JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES XX (2017) XXX-XXX



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect



www.jesc.ac.cn

www.elsevier.com/locate/jes

Formation and control of disinfection byproducts and toxicity during reclaimed water chlorination: A review

Ve $Du^{1,2}$, Xiao-Tong $Lv^{1,2}$, Qian-Yuan $Wu^{2,*}$, Da-Yin Zhang^{1,2}, Yu-Ting Zhou^{1,2}, U Peng^{1,2}, Hong-Ying $Hu^{1,3,*}$

Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control State Key Joint Laboratory, State Environmental Protection Key Laboratory of Microorganism
Application and Risk Control (SMARC), School of Environment, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, PR China

7 2. Key Laboratory of Microorganism Application and Risk Control of Shenzhen, Graduate School at Shenzhen, Tsinghua University, Shenzhen

8 518055, PR China

Shenzhen Environmental Science and New Energy Technology Engineering Laboratory, Tsinghua-Berkeley Shenzhen Institute, Shenzhen
518055, PR China

11 ____

13 ARTICLEINFO

15 Article history:

- 16 Received 14 November 2016
- 17 Revised 26 January 2017
- 18 Accepted 30 January 2017
- 19 Available online xxxx
- 42 Keywords:
- 43 Reclaimed water
- 44 Chlorination
- 45 Disinfection byproducts
- 46 Toxicity
- 47 Precursor
- 48

ABSTRACT

Chlorination is essential to the safety of reclaimed water; however, this process leads to 20 concern regarding the formation of disinfection byproducts (DBPs) and toxicity. This study 21 reviewed the formation and control strategies for DBPs and toxicity in reclaimed water 22 during chlorination. Both regulated and emerging DBPs have been frequently detected 23 in reclaimed water during chlorination at a higher level than those in drinking water, 24 indicating they pose a greater risk to humans. Luminescent bacteria and Daphnia magna 25 acute toxicity, anti-estrogenic activity and cytotoxicity generally increased after chlorina- 26 tion because of the formation of DBPs. Genotoxicity by umu-test and estrogenic activity 27 were decreased after chlorination because of destruction of toxic chemicals. During 28 chlorination, water quality significantly impacted changes in toxicity. Ammonium tended 29 to attenuate toxicity changes by reacting with chlorine to form chloramine, while bromide 30 tended to aggravate toxicity changes by forming hypobromous acid. During pretreatment 31 by ozonation and coagulation, disinfection byproduct formation potential (DBPFP) and 32 toxicity formation potential (TFP) occasionally increase, which is accompanied by DOC 33 removal; thus, the decrease of DOC was limited to indicate the decrease of DBPFP and TFP. 34 It is more important to eliminate the key fraction of precursors such as hydrophobic acid 35 and hydrophilic neutrals. During chlorination, toxicities can increase with the increasing 36 chlorine dose and contact time. To control the excessive toxicity formation, a relatively low 37

Abbreviations: AEAFP, anti-estrogenic activity formation potential; ATP, adenosine triphosphate; BAC, biological activated carbon; BCAA, bromochloroacetic acid; BCAN, bromochloroacetonitrile; BDCAA, bromodichloroacetic acid; BDCACAm, bromodichloroacetamide; BDCM, bromodichloroacetic acid; BDCA, dibromoacetic acid; DBAA, dibromoacetic acid; DBACAM, dibromoacetamide; DBCA, dibromoacetamide; DBCA, dibromochloroacetamide; DBCA, dibromochloroacetic acid; DCAAA, dibromoacetamide; DBCAA, dibromochloroacetamide; DBCA, dibromochloroacetamide; DBPFP, disinfection byproduct formation potential; DBPs, disinfection byproducts; DCAA, dichloroacetic acid; DCACAM, dichloroacetamide; DCP, dichloropropanone; DCAN, dichloroacetonitrile; DOM, dissolved organic matter; EDCs, endocrine disrupting chemicals; FP, formation potential; HAAs, haloacetic acids; MBAA, bromoacetic acid; MBAN, bromoacetic acid; MIAA, Iodoacetic acid; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; NDMA, N-nitrosodimethylamine; RO, reverse osmosis; TBACAM, tribromoacetamide; TBAN, tribromoacetonitrile; TBM, bromoform; TCA, chlorohydrate; TCAA, trichloroacetic acid; TCAcAM, trichloroacetamide; TCP, trichloropropanone; TCM, chloroform; TFP, toxicity formation potential; THMs, Trihalomethanes; TOBr, total organic bromine; TOCl, total organic chlorine; TOX, total organic halogen

* Corresponding authors. E-mails: wuqianyuan@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn (Qian-Yuan Wu), hyhu@tsinghua.edu.cn (Hong-Ying Hu).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2017.01.013

1001-0742/© 2017 The Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V.

Please cite this article as: Du, Y., et al., Formation and control of disinfection byproducts and toxicity during reclaimed water chlorination: A review, J. Environ. Sci. (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2017.01.013

2

38

39

40

41 50

ARTICLE IN PRESS

JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES XX (2017) XXX-XXX

chlorine dose and short contact time were required. Quenching chlorine residual with reductive reagents also effectively abated the formation of toxic compounds. © 2017 The Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Published by Elsevier B.V.

53 Contents

55	Int	roductic	m	
56	1.	Data c	ollection and quality control	
57	2.		tion of DBPs during reclaimed water chlorination	
58	3.	Chang	es of toxicity during chlorination	
59		3.1.	Changes in toxicity	
60		3.2.	Influence of water quality on toxicity	
61	4.	Contro	ol of DBPs and toxicities	
62		4.1.	Control of precursors by pretreatment	
63			4.1.1. Relationship between DOC removal and DBPs control	
64			4.1.2. Key precursor fractions associated with DBPs and toxicities formation	
65		4.2.	Optimization of chlorination	
66			4.2.1. Effect of chlorine dose on toxicity changes	
67			4.2.2. Effect of contact time on toxicity changes	
68		4.3.	Quenching residual chlorine	
69	5.		isions	
70		ncited references		
71		Acknowledgments		
72	Refe	erences		

73

74 Introduction

The multiple pressures of climate change, population growth, 76 urbanization and industrialization have led to declining avail-77ability of fresh water resources (Bagatin et al., 2014; Sun et al., 78 2016). According to the WRG (2009), the world is likely to be 06 confronted with a 40% water deficit if current trends continue. 80 Accordingly, reclaimed water has become an essential alter-81 native water resource to address the ever-increasing demand 82 for water resources worldwide (Tortajada and Nam Ong, 2016; 83 Fatta-Kassinos et al., 2016) and is now extensively used for 84 industry, agriculture, landscaping, and even potable reuse 85 (Asano et al., 2007). Given its stable quantity, developed 86 wastewater treatment technologies, and economic and social 87 benefits, reclaimed water is likely to play a critical role in 88 89 future water resources.

90 Because it contains a variety of pathogens, reclaimed water needs to be disinfected to minimize the health risk it poses 91 to humans (Li et al., 2013). Despite the development of many 92alternative disinfectants, including chloramines, chlorine 93 dioxide, ozone, and UV, disinfection with chlorine is still 94 the technology most extensively utilized to ensure the safety 95of reclaimed water (USEPA, 2004). In addition to being applied 96 at the end of treatment to inactivate pathogens, chlorination 97 98 is widely used to provide residual chlorine in distribution 99 systems to control the regrowth of microorganisms, as well as to destroy biofilms during backflushing of biological activated 100 carbon and reverse osmosis system. 101

Although it inactivates pathogens, the extensive use of chlorination has led to concern regarding the formation of disinfection byproducts (DBPs) (Chu et al., 2016a). During chlorination, chlorine reacts with precursors, primarily dis- 105 solved organic matter (DOM), to form various DBPs (Richardson, 106 2011). Most identified individual DBPs and the mixture of DBPs 107 (such as the total organic halogen; TOX) have been shown to be 108 cytotoxic, genotoxic and carcinogenic (Richardson et al., 2007). 109 Given the potential ecological and health risks that might 110 be posed by DBPs, researchers have focused on the precursors, 111 formation, speciation, toxicity, and identification of DBPs for 112 years (Chu et al., 2016b, 2016c; Krasner et al., 2006; Plewa et al., 113 2004a; Wu et al., 2016). DBPs in drinking water have been 114 studied and reviewed in detail because of their potential for 115 direct contact and ingestion by humans; however, it is harder 116 to study DBPs in chlorinated reclaimed water because of the 117 diversity and complexity of water quality and precursors. The 118 concentration, reactivity, and composition of DOM, which 119 are precursors of DBPs, in reclaimed water are significantly 120 different from those in drinking water (Chang et al., 2001; Hu 121 et al., 2016; Hudson et al., 2007), which inevitably leads to the 122 formation of different DBPs in varying concentrations and 123 subsequent harmful effects (Sirivedhin and Gray, 2005). How- Q7 ever, the formation of DBPs in chlorinated reclaimed water 125 needs to be further explored. 126

Toxicity studies have been conducted to understand the 127 risk posed by chlorinated water, but because most of these 128 have investigated individual DBPs, our understanding is 129 limited regarding real-world mixtures of DBPs in chlorinated 130 water. Thus, many investigators have evaluated comprehen-131 sive bio-toxicity (Bayo et al., 2009; Patterson et al., 1995; Rice 132 et al., 2008; Watson et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2014). For years, 133 different bioassay methods with subject organisms of differ-134 ent levels have been developed to evaluate toxicity (Jeong 135

Please cite this article as: Du, Y., et al., Formation and control of disinfection byproducts and toxicity during reclaimed water chlorination: A review, J. Environ. Sci. (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2017.01.013

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5754051

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5754051

Daneshyari.com