

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

www.elsevier.com/locate/jes

JES
 JOURNAL OF
 ENVIRONMENTAL
 SCIENCES
www.jesc.ac.cn

Review

Approach of molecular methods for the detection and monitoring of microbial communities in bioaerosols: A review

Keunje Yoo^{1,5,*}, Tae Kwon Lee², Eun Joo Choi³, Jihoon Yang¹, Sudheer Kumar Shukla⁴, Sang-il Hwang¹, Joonhong Park⁵

1. Division of Natural Resources Conservation, Korea Environment Institute, Sejong-si 30147, South Korea

2. Department of Environmental Engineering, Yonsei University, Wonju 26493, South Korea

3. Department of Systems Biology, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, South Korea

4. Department of Built and Natural Environment, Caledonian College of Engineering, Sultanate of Oman

5. Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, South Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 27 April 2016

Revised 4 July 2016

Accepted 8 July 2016

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Bioaerosol

Airborne microbial community

Molecular methods

Metagenomics

ABSTRACT

Bioaerosols significantly affect atmospheric processes while they undergo long-range vertical and horizontal transport and influence atmospheric chemistry and physics and climate change. Accumulating evidence suggests that exposure to bioaerosols may cause adverse health effects, including severe disease. Studies of bioaerosols have primarily focused on their chemical composition and largely neglected their biological composition and the negative effects of biological composition on ecosystems and human health. Here, current molecular methods for the identification, quantification, and distribution of bioaerosol agents are reviewed. Modern developments in environmental microbiology technology would be favorable in elucidation of microbial temporal and spatial distribution in the atmosphere at high resolution. In addition, these provide additional supports for growing evidence that microbial diversity or composition in the bioaerosol is an indispensable environmental aspect linking with public health.

© 2016 The Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Published by Elsevier B.V.

Contents

Introduction	0
1. Adverse effects of bioaerosols on human health.	0
2. Bioaerosol detection and monitoring	0
2.1. Sampling methods	0
2.2. Culture-dependent methods to identify airborne microorganism.	0
2.3. Culture-independent methods to identify airborne microorganisms	0
2.4. Real-time quantitative PCR	0

* Corresponding author. E-mail: kjyoo@kei.re.kr (Keunje Yoo).

53	2.5. Microarrays	0
54	2.6. High-throughput sequencing technologies (454 pyrosequencing and Illumina MiSeq, HiSeq)	0
55	2.7. Metagenomics approaches (16S rRNA amplicon sequencing and whole-genome shotgun sequencing)	0
56	3. Conclusions	0
57	Uncited references	0
58	Acknowledgments	0
59	References	0

60
62

Introduction

63 Microorganisms are ubiquitous in the environment and play
64 key functional roles in nearly all ecosystems (Jaenicke, 2005).
65 Bioaerosols originate from all types of environments, includ-
66 ing the atmosphere, soil, freshwater, and oceans, and their
67 dispersal into air is temporally and spatially variable. Airborne
68 dissemination is likely a natural and necessary part of the
69 life cycle of many microorganisms (Morris et al., 2008).
70 Bioaerosols are generally defined as aerosols or particulate
71 matter of microbial, plant or animal origin and includes a
72 wide range of antigenic compounds, microbial toxins, and
73 viruses; the term bioaerosol is often used synonymously with
74 organic dust (Douwes et al., 2003; Peccia and Hernandez,
75 2006). Bioaerosols are emitted from terrestrial, soil, forest, and
76 desert dust, agricultural and composting activities, urban
77 areas, wetlands, coastal, and marine environments (Gandolfi
78 et al., 2013; Jaenicke, 2005). Modern industrial activities (e.g.,
79 waste sorting, organic waste collection, composting, agricul-
80 tural production, food processing, livestock raising, and
81 wastewater treatment systems) also emit large amounts of
82 bioaerosols, resulting in abundant exposure to biological
83 agents (Brodie et al., 2007; Douwes et al., 2003). According to
84 Matthias-Maser et al. (2000), the proportion by volume of
85 biological material among total airborne particulates is 28%,
86 22%, and 10% in remote continental, populated continental
87 and remote maritime environments, respectively. It has been
88 estimated that 16% to 80% of the mass of primary atmospheric
89 aerosols is from biological sources (Jaenicke, 2005).

90 The components of bioaerosols range in size. Pollens from
91 anemophilous plants have typical diameters of 17–58 μm ,
92 fungal spores are typically 1–30 μm in diameter, bacteria are
93 typically 0.25–8 μm in diameter, and viruses are typically less
94 than 0.3 μm in diameter. Fragments of plants and animals
95 may vary in size. Biological material does not necessarily
96 occur in the air as independent particles. Shaffer and
97 Lighthart (1997) determined that the majority of bacteria at
98 inland sites are associated with particles of aerodynamic
99 diameter greater than 3 μm . Bacteria may occur as agglomera-
100 tions of cells or may be dispersed into the air on plants or
101 animal fragments, on soil particles, on pollen, or on spores
102 that have become airborne. Bioaerosols are a ubiquitous
103 component of the atmosphere; a large number of these
104 particles are small-sized microorganisms. Airborne bacterial
105 and fungal cells can reach concentrations of $\sim 10^3$ and
106 $\sim 10^5$ cells/ m^3 , respectively. Aerosolized bacteria and fungi
107 are present in at altitudes of up to 10–20 km in the
108 troposphere and even altitudes of 20 to 40 km above sea
109 level in the stratosphere (Fahlgren et al., 2011).

110 Accumulating evidence indicates an important role of
111 bioaerosols in the atmospheric environment (Brodie et al.,

2007; Douwes et al., 2003; Georgakopoulos et al., 2009; Peccia
et al., 2008). Bioaerosols contribute to atmospheric physical
and chemical processes (Fig. 1) (Deguillaume et al., 2008;
Jaenicke, 2005). Strong correlations between the variations in
atmospheric bacterial community structures over time and
the physical and chemical characteristics of air masses have
been observed (Fierer et al., 2008; Maron et al., 2005). Ariya and
Amyot (2004) suggested that bioaerosols play significant roles
in atmospheric chemistry and physics by altering the chem-
istry of the atmosphere via microbiological degradation, thus
modifying the chemical composition of other organic com-
pounds upon collision or contact and driving chemistry at
environmental interfaces, such as the air-particle interface.
Recent studies have demonstrated that bioaerosols can
become attached to ambient particles and have significant
climatic effects, acting as cloud condensation nuclei and ice
nuclei that can initiate precipitation (Amato et al., 2005; Bauer
et al., 2002; Christner et al., 2008; Morris et al., 2008; Sattler
et al., 2001). One study determined that approximately 33% of
the ice-crystal residues in cloud-condensation nuclei and ice
nuclei were biological particles (Pratt et al., 2009).

132 However, little is known about the composition of atmo-
133 spheric bioaerosols and how it varies by location or meteoro-
134 logical conditions. Airborne microorganisms are very difficult
135 to assess accurately under field conditions due to factors
136 such as the collection efficiency of the selected sampler
137 (Henningson and Ahlberg, 1994), variations in the robustness
138 of different species of microorganisms, and the difficulty of
139 differentiating strains of the same species (Griffin et al., 2001).
140 The relationship between environmental conditions and
141 bacterial aerial dispersal indicates that microbial composi-
142 tions could increase the health risk due to pathogens or
143 allergenic components of unclassified environmental bacte-
144 ria. Bioaerosols may also cause climate change (Brodie et al.,
145 2007). Bioaerosols likely do not survive for long durations due
146 to atmospheric conditions, including wind, moisture, and UV
147 exposure. However, concerns about bioaerosol exposures
148 have increased in recent years because exposure to biological
149 agents in both indoor and outdoor environments has been
150 associated with a wide range of adverse health effects, 151
152 including respiratory diseases, allergies and even cancer
(Douwes et al., 2003; Shelton et al., 2002).

153 Although modern developments in the fields of microbiol-
154 ogy, meteorology, and environmental science have opened up
155 new possibilities for the study of bioaerosols, the field is
156 dominated by a remarkable lack of knowledge and an
157 abundance of speculation. Very few observations have been
158 published comparing the aerial environment with other
159 environments, such as water and soil, and the lack of
160 standard methods, environmental guidelines, and databases
161 complicates the interpretation and comparison of results. 162

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5754108>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5754108>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)