IOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES XX (2016) XXX-XXX



www.elsevier.com/locate/jes

ScienceDirect

Study of cyanide removal from contaminated water using zinc peroxide nanomaterial

Himani Uppal^{1,3}, S. Swarupa Ttripathy¹, Sneha Chawla¹, Bharti Sharma², M.K. Dalai^{1,3}, 03 02 S.P. Singh¹, Sukhvir Singh¹, Nahar Singh^{1,3,*} Q4

1. CSIR-National Physical Laboratory, New Delhi 110012, India 5

6 2. Electronic Science, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra, Haryana 136119, India

7 3. Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), India

8

ARTICLE INFO 10

Article history: 12

- Received 29 April 2016 18
- 19 Revised 25 July 2016
- Accepted 26 July 2016 20
- Available online xxxx 26
- 22Keywords:
- $\frac{33}{23}$ Cyanide $\frac{34}{24}$
- $\overline{35}$ Zinc peroxide
- Adsorption 36
- $\overline{27}$ Ion chromatograph
- $\frac{38}{28}$

29

- 30 31
- 32
- <u>49</u>

ABSTRACT

The present study highlights the potential application of zinc peroxide (ZnO₂) nanomaterial as an efficient material for the decontamination of cyanide from contaminated water. A process patent for ZnO₂ synthesis has been granted in United States of America (US Patent number 8,715,612; May 2014), South Africa, Bangladesh, and India. The ZnO₂ nanomaterial was capped with polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) to control the particle size. The PVP capped ZnO₂ nanomaterial (PVP-ZnO₂) before and after adsorption of cyanide was characterized by scanning electron microscope, transmission electron microscope, X-ray diffractometer, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and time of flight-secondary ion mass spectrometry. The remaining concentration of cyanide after adsorption by PVP-ZnO₂ was determined using ion chromatograph. The adsorption of cyanide over PVP-ZnO₂ was also studied as a function of pH, adsorbent dose, time and concentration of cyanide. The maximum removal of cyanide was observed in pH range 5.8-7.8 within 15 min. The adsorption data was fitted to Langmuir and Fruendlich isotherm and it has been observed that data follows both the isotherms and also follows second order kinetics.

© 2016 The Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V.

Introduction 43

45Cyanide is one of the anthropogenic contaminant in natural 46 water through several industrial effluents and considered as 47highly toxic for aquatic life and human beings. It enters human 48 body through inhalation, ingestion, absorption through skin, eyes, and mucous membranes. Industrial exposure even for 49short term can cause tremors and neurological disorder, while 50long term exposure causes weight loss, thyroid dysfunction and 51nerve damage and hypoxia. It stimulates the chemoreceptor of 52the carotid and aortic bodies, causing hyperphoea (Tiwari et al., 532007). Approximately 14 million kg per year of cyanide from 54industries worldwide is released into environment (Ebbs, 2004). 55

Out of this, 13% cyanide is used for the extraction of gold and 56 silver. However 87% of cyanide is used in production of paint, 57 adhesives, electroplating (Gallerani and Drake, 1993), computer 58 electronics, metal refining (Akcil, 2003), chemical manufactur- 59 ing, steel tempering (Saxena et al., 2001), dyes, nylon, petro- 60 chemical applications, photography industry, rocket propellant, 61 pharmaceuticals, coal carbonization (Dash et al., 2009) etc. 62 Sodium cyanide form is mainly used in mining industry for 63 extraction of precious metals like gold and silver (Sharma et al., 64 1998). The mining, electroplating, coal, and pharmaceutical 65 related industries generate maximum cyanide (Parga et al., 66 2009; Shirzad Siboni et al., 2011). Cyanide is naturally produced 67 by both fauna and flora. It is also found in -SCN form in human Q5

* Corresponding author. E-mail: naharsingh@mail.nplindia.org (Nahar Singh).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2016.07.011

1001-0742/© 2016 The Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V.

Please cite this article as: Uppal, H., et al., Study of cyanide removal from contaminated water using zinc peroxide nanomaterial, J. Environ. Sci. (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2016.07.011

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

urine (<0.007 g/L) and gastric juice (<0.006 g/L). Since 1930 it is 69 being used for various applications in medicine, esthetics, 70 firework, and surgical infections (Meleney, 1941). It is also one 71 of the parts of codeine, cocaine, morphine, and caffeine drugs. 72Worldwide various countries have set their threshold limits for 73 cyanide, like 0.1 mg/L in Argentina, South Africa and Germany, 74 1.5 mg/L in Mexico, 50 mg/L in Australia. World Health 75 Organization (WHO) has set a limit of 0.07 mg/L of hydrogen 76 77 cyanide (WHO, 2011), whereas United State-Environmental 78 Protection Agency (US-EPA) has set 0.2 mg/L as maximum contaminant limits (MCL) of cyanide for safe level of drinking 79 water. So in order to protect wildlife, nature and human beings 80 it is absolutely essential to remove or neutralize prior to 81 discharge. In literature several methods are reported for 82 removal of cyanide from water such as alkaline chlorination 83 (Mapstone and Thorn, 1978), oxidation with ozone, hydrogen 84 peroxide, sulfur dioxide (Kepa et al., 2008), ion exchange (Song 85 et al., 2005), photocatalytic degradation (Karunakaran et al., 86 87 2011), adsorption (ROSHAN et al., 2006), biodegradation (White et al., 2000) and acidification volatilization recovery (AVR). 88 Photocatalytic degradations of cyanide have been done using 89 different materials like ZnO, TiO2, and CeO2. In addition to 90 these, several organic and inorganic adsorbents like pure and 91 92metal impregnated activated carbon, coal, charcoal, zeolites, alumina and agricultural by products have also been reported 93 94 for removal of cyanide.

95 The above processes and materials have their own 96 limitations for cyanide removal like oxidation causes formation of toxic hypochlorite and other chlorinated byproducts. 97 Alkaline chlorination process forms cyanogen chloride, which 98 is highly toxic and create additional environmental problem. 99 AVR technique requires optimization of pH and generates 100 acutely toxic gas hydrogen cyanide and also required sealed 101 vessels and packed columns. Ion exchange process is expen-102 sive, time consuming and produce harmful byproducts. 103 The photocatalytic degradations require ultraviolet light. Acti-104vated carbon compounds are comparatively expensive and 105difficult to regenerate, while zeolites and agricultural by products 106 are relatively less expensive but having less capacity to remove 107 cyanide. However adsorption is most preferable being a simple, 108 efficient and economical process. Still there is a growing need for 109 110 alternative method for the removal of cyanides. In this context, we have synthesized polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) capped ZnO2 111 nanomaterial (PVP-ZnO₂), which is nontoxic, efficient, easy to 112synthesize and does not require expensive chemicals and 113sophisticated instrumentation. The PVP-ZnO2 removes cyanide 114 from contaminated water through combined effect of electro-115 philic addition mechanism and electrostatic interaction and 116 makes water usable for several household applications. 117

119 **1. Experimental**

120 1.1. Equipment and chemicals

The chemicals used for the synthesis of $PVP-ZnO_2$ and cyanide determination like zinc acetate, ammonia, sodium hydroxide, hydrogen peroxide, hydrochloric acid, PVP, and methanol were of analytical grade and procured from E. Merck India. High purity de-ionized water (18.2 M Ω cm resistivity; 0.22 μ m filtered, metallic impurities at ng/kg level) was 126 obtained from Millipore milli-Q element water purification 127 system procured from USA. The cyanide removal experiments 128 were carried out using cyanide reference standard solution of 129 1000 \pm 10 mg/L (traceable to NIST SRM 1.19533, K₂[Zn(CN)₄] in 130 H₂O) procured from Merck Germany after subsequent dilutions 131 in de-ionized water. The remaining concentrations of cyanide 132 after adsorption were determined by ion chromatograph (IC 850 133 Metrohm using SUP-100 detector and 4% sodium hydroxide 134 solution as carrier). The pipettes, beakers, volumetric flasks used 135 were of Borosil Glass Works India Limited and were calibrated 136 prior to analysis by CSIR-National Physical Laboratory-India 137 (National Metrology Institute of India) following international 138 standard procedure and protocol. 139

1.2. Synthesis of PVP-ZnO₂ 140

For the synthesis of PVP-ZnO₂, 10 g of zinc acetate was 141 dissolved in 200 mL of 10% ammonium hydroxide solution 142 (pH 10–11). The solution was diluted to 400 mL by methanol 143 water mixture (water:solvent, 4:1, V/V). To this solution, 1 g 144 PVP was added and mixed thoroughly on magnetic stirrer 145 at constant temperature (50–55°C) and speed (around 146 500 rounds/min). Further 50 mL of 25% hydrogen peroxide 147 solution was added to each solution with continuous 148 stirring. White precipitate formed which was then centrifuged 149 at 10,000 rounds/min and washed thoroughly with de-ionized 150 water and finally dried at 105°C. The details of synthesis process 151 are given elsewhere, in which we have claimed synthesis 152 process of nanosize ZnO_2 particles using different capping 153 agents (Singh Nahar et al., 2014).

155

172

1.3. Determination of point of zero charge

The point of zero charge (pH_{pzc}) corresponds to pH where net 156charge over surface of adsorbent is zero. The surface of 157 adsorbent is considered as positively charged when it adsorbs 158 at pH below its pHpzc and negatively charged when it adsorbs 159 above pH_{pzc} . The pH_{pzc} of PVP-ZnO₂ was determined by mass 160 potentiometric titration method (Bourikas et al., 2003; Mahmood 161 et al., 2011) in which two similar solutions of sample and blank 162 were prepared in 0.03 mol/L KNO3 and allowed to stir for 24 hr to 163 stabilize pH. Then 1 mol/L KOH was added to deprotonate the 164 surface sites and 0.05 mL of 0.1 mol/L HNO_3 was added 165 subsequently using a micropipette and pH was noted after 166 each addition of HNO3. The obtained pH values for both sample 167 and blank were plotted against volume of HNO3. The common 168 point of intersection between sample and blank was appeared at 169 pH 7.9 as shown in Fig. 1 which has been considered as pH_{pzc} of 170 PVP-ZnO₂. 171

1.4. Characterization

The synthesized PVP-ZnO₂ was characterized for its phases by 173 an X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Bruker, model AXS D8 Advance 174 Diffractometer). The diffractogram was recorded using CuK α 175 radiation source with graphite monochromator in the diffracted 176 beam. The shape and size of PVP-ZnO₂ before and after cyanide 177 adsorption were characterized using a Transmission Electron 178 Microscope (TEM, FEI, model F-30 G2 STWIN, Netherland) 179

Please cite this article as: Uppal, H., et al., Study of cyanide removal from contaminated water using zinc peroxide nanomaterial, J. Environ. Sci. (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2016.07.011

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5754280

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5754280

Daneshyari.com