[JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES XX \(2016\) XXX](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2016.02.003) – XXX

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

www.elsevier.com/locate/jes

www.jesc.ac.cn

The application of iron mesh double layer as anode for the ² electrochemical treatment of Reactive Black 5 dye

α 6 Wei Tze Mook¹, Mohammed A. Ajeel¹, $_4$ $\,$ Mohamed Kheireddine Aroua 1,* , Małgorzata Szlachta 2

5 1. Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. E-mail: mookweitze@yahoo.com.my 6 2. Department of Environmental Engineering, Wrocław University of Technology, Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland

9 ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT 10

- 11 Article history:
- 12 Received 30 October 2015
- 13 Revised 10 February 2016
- 14 Accepted 15 February 2016
- 15 Available online xxxx
- 30 Keywords:
- 31 Iron mesh double layer electrode
- 32 Reactive Black 5 dye
- 33 Electrochemical
- 34 Process mode
- 35 Response Surface Methodology
- 36

7

Chemical treatment of Reactive Black 5 dye
 **Cook¹, Mohammed A. Ajeel¹,

Rheireddine Aroua^{3,** *r***}, Madgorzata Szlachta²

formical Engineering, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Madaysia. E-mail: mookweitz** In this work a novel anode configuration consisting of an iron mesh double layer is 16 proposed for the electrochemical treatment of wastewater. The removal of Reactive Black 5 17 dye (RB5) from synthetic contaminated water was used as a model system. At a constant 18 anode surface area, identical process operating parameters and batch process mode, the 19 iron mesh double layer electrode showed better performance compared to the conventional 20 single layer iron mesh. The double layer electrode was characterized by RB5 and chemical 21 oxygen demand (COD) removal efficiency of 98.2% and 97.7%, respectively, kinetic rate 22 constant of 0.0385/min, diffusion coefficient of 4.9×10^{-5} cm²/sec and electrical energy 23 consumption of 20.53 kWh/kg_{dye removed}. In the continuous flow system, the optimum 24 conditions suggested by Response Surface Methodology (RSM) are: initial solution pH of 6.29, 25 current density of 1.6 mA/cm², electrolyte dose of 0.15 g/L and flow rate of 11.47 mL/min 26 which resulted in an RB5 removal efficiency of 81.62%. 27

© 2016 The Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. 28 Published by Elsevier B.V. 29

4142 Introduction

 Wastewater discharge from dye manufacturing and textile industries has become a global concern and is one of the major causes of environmental pollution. According to the Malaysian Department of Environment (DOE), approximately 160,000 tons of textile industrial wastewater were discharged within the years 2011 to 2012 and the amount continues to increase annually (DOE, 2012). Thus, the treatment of textile wastewater to a permissible concentration is essential before discharge to the aquatic environment.

 Reactive dyes have complex chemical structures, which consist of organic ring, vinyl sulfonic groups and chloride atoms ([Klemola et al., 2007\)](#page--1-0). These dyes are widely used in the textile industry as their vivid colors and reactive groups easily

attach to the textile fibers [\(Hassan et al., 2009\)](#page--1-0). However, the 56 relatively low fixation of reactive dyes on cellulose fibers 57 during the dyeing process, resulted in the high amount of 58 dyes released into dyebath effluent. Excessive amounts of 59 reactive dyes discharged into receiving waters are dangerous 60 and may pose a serious threat to the aquatic ecosystem. 61 Moreover, these dyes are mutagenic and carcinogenic, and 62 thus may cause a severe damage to liver, digestive and central 63 nervous system of human beings (El-Zawahry et al., 2016). $Q7$ Reactive dyes in dyeing wastewater are known as recalcitrant 65 compounds as they have high alkalinity, high concentration 66 of organic compounds and strong color compared with other 67 dyes ([Barka et al., 2010](#page--1-0)). 68

Many methods have been developed and investigated 69 for the removal of dyes from effluents such as membrane 70

Corresponding author. E-mail: mk_aroua@um.edu.my (Mohamed Kheireddine Aroua).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2016.02.003>

1001-0742/© 2016 The Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V.

Please cite this article as: Mook, W.T., et al., The application of iron mesh double layer as anode for the electrochemical treatment of Reactive Black 5 dye, J. Environ. Sci. (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2016.02.003>

 filtration, adsorption, photocatalytic oxidation and biological treatment [\(Mook et al., 2016; Zeng et al., 2014; Szlachta and](#page--1-0) [Wójtowicz, 2013; Rivera et al., 2011](#page--1-0)). The chemical coagulation is a conventional (and one of the most popular) technique used for the treatment of textile dyeing wastewaters. However, the costs of required coagulants (aluminum(III) or iron (III) salts) and generation of the considerable amount of sludge cause that in some cases, the employment of chemical coagulation for decolorizing wastewater is not economically justified.

 An alternative method to the chemical coagulation is electrocoagulation, which based on the electrochemical tech- nique. During the electrocoagulation process, the coagulant is produced in situ by electrolytic oxidation of sacrificial anode ([Merzouk et al., 2011](#page--1-0)). Thus, the advantage of application of the electrochemical treatment, apart from the high efficiency of the process and its versatility, includes low cost and minimal sludge generation [\(Mook et al., 2012\)](#page--1-0). The potential of electrocoagulation in dye removal from wastewater has already been proven by other researchers (Nandi and Patel, 2013; Zodi et al., 2013). The process is characterized by low sludge production, easy floc separation, low level of total dissolved solids (TDS) and no secondary pollution caused by external added coagulant (Mollah [et al., 2001](#page--1-0)).

 However, the sacrificial electrode used in the process needs to be monitored and replaced frequently as it participates in the anodic oxidation. Moreover, the electrocoagulation requires the energy input, thus the operating costs related with the price of electric current may limit its application. Hence, the optimum of electric current needs to be investigated as it is one of the important parameters that affect the lifetime of the electrodes and operating cost.

In, which uses on the electrocompulsation process, the cosynthetic technical single layer design.
The electrocosyalistic oxidation of secondical and the comparing performenced effecterly was subspect to by electrocosyalis The configuration of the electrode used in the process is a crucial feature to increase anodic oxidation and hence, induce higher dye elimination. Several studies have been conducted for dye removal that used different anode shapes such as a plane [\(Mohan et al., 2007; Nandi and Patel, 2013; Pajootan et](#page--1-0) [al., 2012; Steter et al., 2014; Thiam et al., 2015](#page--1-0)) and mesh (del [Río et al., 2012; Méndez-Martínez et al., 2012\)](#page--1-0). A mesh type electrode produces a higher discharge current than a plane type due to a higher electric field intensity at the edge of the mesh holes ([Kuroda et al., 2003\)](#page--1-0). Moreover, the mesh produces a larger specific surface area than a flat sheet which could boost the formation of iron hydroxides (Ambler and Logan, [2011; Zhang et al., 2010](#page--1-0)). Therefore, in this work, a mesh shape was selected as the anode configuration.

 In typical multifactor experiments, one-factor-at-a-time (OFAT) is used, i.e. varying one parameter while others are fixed. This approach is slow, requiring large numbers of experiments and it is difficult to estimate the interaction between the parameters. Response Surface Methodology (RSM), on the other hand, is a valuable tool for designing experiments. This tool can assist researchers in building models, reducing the number of experiments, exploring the interactions between parameters and obtaining optimum conditions for desirable responses ([Mook et al., 2013\)](#page--1-0). To date, no literature is available on Reactive Black 5 (RB5) treatment using electrocoagulation with RSM design. Hence, Central Compos- ite Response Surface Design (CCD) was utilized to investigate the interaction between parameters and optimize the treat-ment process.

RB5 dye is a diazoacidic reactive dye, which consists of 131 two sulfonates and two sulfato-ethylsulfon groups. It is 132 typically used in textile industries for dyeing of cotton, 133 woolen and nylon fabrics [\(Elwakeel et al., 2016\)](#page--1-0). In this 134 study, RB5 has been utilized as a model dye because of its 135 recalcitrant nature and the fact that it contributes to 50% 136 of the total world demand for reactive dyes ([Schumacher,](#page--1-0) 137 [2012](#page--1-0)).The first part of this work is to investigate the 138 performance of new anode configuration (iron mesh 139 double layer electrode) on RB5 removal, by comparing it 140 to the conventional single layer design. The configuration 141 with superior removal efficiency was subsequently used in 142 comparing performance of the system mode and RSM 143 studies. 144

1. Mechanism of electrocoagulation 146

Electrocoagulation (EC) is an electrochemical process using 147 iron or aluminum as the anode in which Fe^{2+} or Al^{3+} ions are 148 released into the solution through anodic dissolution and 149 their hydroxides then react with pollutants [\(Körbahti et al.,](#page--1-0) 150 2011). Iron has been proven by several researchers to be a 151 more effective electrode material than aluminum ([Akanksha](#page--1-0) 152 et al., 2013; Chafi et al., 2011). EC has been successfully applied 153 for the removal of different types of dye from textile industry 154 wastewater (Khandegar and Saroha, 2013). 155

Iron hydroxides can be produced through two mecha- 156 nisms (Eqs. (1)-(8)) and they remove the pollutant molecules 157 via surface complexation or electrostatic attraction. In 158 surface complexation, the pollutant acts as ligand to bind 159 with hydrous iron through precipitation and adsorption 160 mechanisms (Eqs. (9)–(12)) [\(Körbahti et al., 2011](#page--1-0)). 161 Mechanism I: 162

Anode : $Fe_{(s)} \rightarrow Fe^{2+}{}_{(aa)} + 2e^{-}$ (1)

1634 Chemical : Fe^{2+} _(aq) +2OH⁻_(aq) → Fe(OH)_{2 (s)} (2)

1656 $Cathode : 2H_2O_{(1)}+2e^- \rightarrow H_{2(g)}+2OH^-_{(aq)}$ (aq) (3)

168 Overall reaction : Fe $_{(s)}+2H_2O_{(l)} \rightarrow Fe(OH)_{2(s)}+H_{2(s)}$. (4)

Mechanism II: 1699

$$
Anode: 4Fe_{(s)} \rightarrow 4Fe^{2+}{}_{(aq)} + 8e^-
$$
\n⁽⁵⁾

$$
Chemical: 4Fe^{2+}{}_{(aq)}+10H_2O_{(l)}+O_{2(g)} \rightarrow 4Fe(OH)_{3(s)}+8H^+{}_{(aq)}\quad (6)
$$

1723

1745

1767

$$
Cathode:8H^{+}_{(aq)}+8e^{-}\rightarrow4H_{2(g)}\tag{7}
$$

$$
Overall reaction: 4Fe_{(s)}+10H_2O_{(l)}+O_{2(g)}\rightarrow 4Fe(OH)_{3(s)}+4H_{2(g)}\eqno(8)
$$

Precipitation : dye + monomeric Fe → [dye-monomeric Fe] (9)
$$
^{178}
$$

\ndye + polymeric Fe → [dye-polymeric Fe]c (10) 180

\nAdsorption : dye + Fe(OH)_n → [particle] (11) 183

\n[dye-polymeric Fe] + Fe(OH)_n → [particle]. (12) 188

Please cite this article as: Mook, W.T., et al., The application of iron mesh double layer as anode for the electrochemical treatment of Reactive Black 5 dye, J. Environ. Sci. (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2016.02.003>

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5754332>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5754332>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)