FISEVIER

#### Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Water Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/watres



#### Review

# Fouling in membrane bioreactors: An updated review



Fangang Meng <sup>a, b, \*</sup>, Shaoqing Zhang <sup>a, b</sup>, Yoontaek Oh <sup>c</sup>, Zhongbo Zhou <sup>a, b, \*\*</sup>, Hang-Sik Shin <sup>c</sup>, So-Ryong Chae <sup>d</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, PR China
- <sup>b</sup> Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Environmental Pollution Control and Remediation Technology, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, PR China
- <sup>c</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST), Daejeon 305-701, South Korea
- <sup>d</sup> Department of Biomedical, Chemical, and Environmental Engineering, 701 Engineering Research Center, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221, United States

#### ARTICLE INFO

# Article history: Received 18 October 2016 Received in revised form 10 January 2017 Accepted 2 February 2017 Available online 4 February 2017

Keywords: Extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) Membrane bioreactor (MBR) Membrane fouling In-situ fouling control

Wastewater treatment

#### ABSTRACT

The goal of the current article is to update new findings in membrane fouling and emerging fouling mitigation strategies reported in recent years (post 2010) as a follow-up to our previous review published in Water Research (2009). According to a systematic review of the literature, membrane bioreactors (MBRs) are still actively investigated in the field of wastewater treatment. Notably, membrane fouling remains the most challenging issue in MBR operation and attracts considerable attention in MBR studies. In this review, we summarized the updated information on foulants composition and characteristics in MBRs, which greatly improves our understanding of fouling mechanisms. Furthermore, the emerging fouling control strategies (e.g., mechanically assisted aeration scouring, in-situ chemical cleaning, enzymatic and bacterial degradation of foulants, electrically assisted fouling mitigation, and nanomaterial-based membranes) are comprehensively reviewed. As a result, it is found that the fundamental understanding of dynamic changes in membrane foulants during a long-term operation is essential for the development and implementation of fouling control methods, Recently developed strategies for membrane fouling control denoted that the improvement of membrane performance is not our ultimate and only goal, less energy consumption and more green/sustainable fouling control ways are more promising to be developed and thus applied in the future. Overall, such a literature review not only demonstrates current challenges and research needs for scientists working in the area of MBR technologies, but also can provide more useful recommendations for industrial communities based on the related application cases.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Abbreviations: AAL, Aleuria aurantia lectin; AFM, atomic force microscopy; AHL, N-acyl homoserine lactones; AI-2, autoinducer-2; ATR-FTIR, attenuated total reflection Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy; C<sub>60</sub>, fullerenes; CA, cellulose acetate; CEB, chemically enhanced backflush; CFD, computational fluid dynamics; CIP, cleaning in place; CLSM, confocal laser scanning microscopy; CNMs, carbon nanomaterial; CNTs, carbon nano-tubes; COD, chemical oxygen demand; Con A, Concanavalin A; DGGE, denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis; DLVO, Derjaguin-Landau-Verwey-Overbeek theory; DO, dissolved oxygen; DSA, Datura stramonium agglutinin; ENMs, engineered nanomaterials; EP, electrophoresis; EPS, extracellular polymeric substances; FNA, free nitrous acid; GAC, granular activated carbon; Gal, galactose; GC, gas chromatography; Glc, glucose; GO, graphene oxide; Gt, graphite; GtO, graphite oxide; HA, humic acid; HRT, hydraulic retention time; LCA, Lens culinaris agglutinin; MBRs, membrane bioreactors; MEC, magnetic enzyme carrier; MF, microfiltration; MFCs, microbial fuel cells; MLSS, mixed liquor suspended solids; MS, mass spectrometry; MW, molecular-weight; MWCNTs, multi-walled carbon nanotubes; NF, nanofiltration; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; NOM, natural organic matter; NPs, nanoparticles; PA, polyamide; PCoA, principal coordinates analysis; PE, polyethylene; PEG, polyethylene glycol; PES, polyethersulfone; PET, polyethylene terephthalate; PP, polypropylene; PSf, polysulfone; PT, polyester; PVDF, polyvinylidene fluoride; QCM-D, quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation monitoring; QQ, quorum quenching; QS, quorum sensing; rGO, reduced graphene oxide; Rha, rhamnose; RO, reverse osmosis; ROS, reactive oxygen species; RCA, Ricinus communis agglutinin; SAD<sub>m</sub>, specific aeration demand per membrane area; SAD<sub>p</sub>, specific aeration demand per permeate volume; SAPO, silico-aluminophosphate; SEM, scanning electron microscopy; SMP, soluble microbial products; SRT, sludge retention time; SWCNTs, single-walled carbon nan

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, PR China.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Corresponding author. School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, PR China. E-mail addresses: mengfg@mail.sysu.edu.cn (F. Meng), zhouzhongbo-1986@163.com (Z. Zhou).

#### Contents

1.	Introd	luction	152
2.	Status	s of MBR fouling research	153
3.	Chara	cterization of membrane fouling	155
	3.1.	Polysaccharides — the primary membrane foulant in MBRs	155
		3.1.1. Wide-size spectrum of polysaccharides	155
		3.1.2. Gelation behavior of polysaccharides	
		3.1.3. Chemical fingerprints of polysaccharide material	155
	3.2.	Involvement of proteins and humic substances — making MBR fouling more complex	155
	3.3.	Microbial ecology of bio-cake layers	156
		3.3.1. Bacterial adhesion to membranes	156
		3.3.2. Microbial community structures of the bio-cake	156
	3.4.	Dynamics of foulant development history during TMP increase	157
	3.5.	Challenges and recommendations for future investigation of MBR fouling	
		3.5.1. Tracing the potential sources of foulants	158
		3.5.2. Study of bio-cake microbiota	
		3.5.3. Identifying the membrane fouling of pure-cultured stains from MBR sludge	158
4.	Contro	ol of MBR fouling	158
	4.1.	Optimization and enhancement of aeration scouring	158
		4.1.1. Development of energy-saving aeration modes	159
		4.1.2. Mechanically-assisted aeration scouring of membranes	
		4.1.3. Summary and research needs	161
	4.2.	Chemical cleaning of severely fouled membranes	163
		4.2.1. Adverse effects of chemical cleaning	163
		4.2.2. Emerging chemical cleaning technologies	165
		4.2.3. Summary and research needs	165
	4.3.	Biological control of fouled membranes	166
		4.3.1. Inhibition and structure optimization of bio-cake	166
		4.3.2. Enzymatic and bacterial degradation of SMP and EPS	167
		4.3.3. Summary and research needs	169
	4.4.	Electrically-assisted fouling mitigation in MBRs	169
		4.4.1. Electrocoagulation-integrated hybrid MBR processes	
		4.4.2. Electrophoresis-integrated hybrid MBR processes	170
		4.4.3. In situ utilization of biologically generated electricity	
		4.4.4. Summary and research needs	170
	4.5.	Potential fouling mitigation using engineered nanomaterials-based membranes for MBRs	
		4.5.1. Ag NP membranes	171
		4.5.2. ZnO NP membranes	171
		4.5.3. TiO <sub>2</sub> NP membranes	172
		4.5.4. Carbon nanomaterials (CNMs) membranes	172
		4.5.5. GO nanosheet membranes	
		4.5.6. Future prospects	173
5.	Concl	usions	173
	Ackno	owledgments	173
	Refere	ences	173

## 1. Introduction

MBRs are a compact technology that combines an activated sludge process and membrane filtration for wastewater treatment and recycling. MBRs could achieve high nutrient removal efficiency and complete biomass retention without a secondary clarifier. In the past decades, such unique advantages of MBRs have caused this technology to be of increasing interest for practitioners (Xiao et al., 2014). The MBR market in China has been increasing significantly. For instance, the total treatment capacity of large-scale MBRs (>10,000  $\rm m^3~d^{-1}$ ) increased from 1.0 to 7.5 million  $\rm m^3~d^{-1}$  between 2010 and 2015 in China (Xiao et al., 2014), and this number is expected to increase to ca. 10.0 million  $\rm m^3~d^{-1}$  by 2017. In the coming years, a number of large-scale MBR plants will be installed soon in China. For example, two MBR plants with a treatment capacity of 0.23 and 0.6 million  $\rm m^3~d^{-1}$  will be installed in Beijing. In addition, four conventional wastewater treatment plants in Chengdu will be

retrofitted using MBR processes, with a total treatment capacity of 0.75 million  $\rm m^3~d^{-1}$ . In recent years, Beijing Origin Water has been the largest membrane supplier in China, with a market share of about 70%. The rapid increasing rate of MBR market in China is mainly promoted by the following: the improved MBR technology, the accumulation of operating experience on MBR plants and particularly the requirement of high-quality treated wastewater by the local government.

In fact, the annual growth rate of MBRs in the global market was assessed to be ca. 15% by a number of research reports (Judd, 2016). In addition to the wide application in China, large-scale MBR plants are also adopted in U.S.A. and Europe Union, as indicted in Fig. 1 (data from http://www.thembrsite.com/). In addition, we noted that the treatment capacity of most MBR plants constructed before 2010 were smaller than 0.1 million m³ d $^{-1}$ ; however, much larger MBR plants were or will be constructed in the world since 2010. Two MBR plants with treatment capacity exceeding 0.2 million

# Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5759103

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5759103

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>