Author's Accepted Manuscript

Partial differential equation techniques for analysing animal movement: a comparison of different methods

Yi-Shan Wang, Jonathan R. Potts



vww.elsevier.com/locate/yjtbi

PII:S0022-5193(17)30002-4DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2017.01.002Reference:YJTBI8912

To appear in: Journal of Theoretical Biology

Received date: 25 May 2016 Revised date: 21 November 2016 Accepted date: 3 January 2017

Cite this article as: Yi-Shan Wang and Jonathan R. Potts, Partial differential equation techniques for analysing animal movement: a comparison of differen m e t h o d s , *Journal of Theoretical Biology* http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2017.01.002

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted fo publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version o the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Partial differential equation techniques for analysing animal movement: a comparison of different methods

³ Yi-Shan Wang^{*} and Jonathan R. Potts[†]

⁴ ^{*†} School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sheffield, Hicks Building, Hounsfield Road,

5 Sheffield S3 7RH, UK

⁶ * Corresponding author; e-mail: ywang244@sheffield.ac.uk

7 [†] E-mail: j.potts@sheffield.ac.uk

8

9

Abstract

Recent advances in animal tracking have allowed us to uncover the drivers of move-10 ment in unprecedented detail. This has enabled modellers to construct ever more realistic 11 models of animal movement, which aid in uncovering detailed patterns of space use in 12 animal populations. Partial differential equations (PDEs) provide a popular tool for 13 mathematically analysing such models. However, their construction often relies on sim-14 plifying assumptions which may greatly affect the model outcomes. Here, we analyse the 15 effect of various PDE approximations on the analysis of some simple movement mod-16 els, including a biased random walk, central-place foraging processes and movement in 17 heterogeneous landscapes. Perhaps the most commonly-used PDE method dates back 18 to a seminal paper of Patlak from 1953. However, our results show that this can be a 19 very poor approximation in even quite simple models. On the other hand, more recent 20 methods, based on transport equation formalisms, can provide more accurate results, as 21 long as the kernel describing the animal's movement is sufficiently smooth. When the 22 movement kernel is not smooth, we show that both the older and newer methods can lead 23 to quantitatively misleading results. Our detailed analysis will aid future researchers in 24 the appropriate choice of PDE approximation for analysing models of animal movement. 25 Keywords: transport equation; theoretical ecology; movement ecology; central-place 26 foraging; home range 27

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5760164

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5760164

Daneshyari.com