Author's Accepted Manuscript

Hamilton's rule

Matthijs van Veelen, Benjamin Allen, Moshe Hoffman, Burton Simon, Carl Veller



www.elsevier.com/locate/yjtbi

PII:S0022-5193(16)30253-3DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2016.08.019Reference:YJTBI8787

To appear in: Journal of Theoretical Biology

Received date:9 November 2015Revised date:20 July 2016Accepted date:13 August 2016

Cite this article as: Matthijs van Veelen, Benjamin Allen, Moshe Hoffman, Burton Simon and Carl Veller, Hamilton's rule, *Journal of Theoretical Biology* http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2016.08.019

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted fo publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version o the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain

Hamilton's rule

Matthijs van Veelen^{1,2,*}, Benjamin Allen^{2,3,4,*}, Moshe Hoffman^{2,5,6}, Burton Simon⁷, and Carl Veller^{2,8}.

This paper reviews and addresses a variety of issues relating to inclusive fitness. The main question is: are there limits to the generality of inclusive fitness, and if so, what are the perimeters of the domain within which inclusive fitness works? This question is addressed using two well known tools from evolutionary theory: the replicator dynamics, and adaptive dynamics. Both are combined with population structure. How generally Hamilton's rule applies depends on how costs and benefits are defined. We therefore consider costs and benefits following from Karlin & Matessi's (1983) "counterfactual method", and costs and benefits as defined by the "regression method" (Gardner et al., 2011). With the latter definition of costs and benefits, Hamilton's rule always indicates the direction of selection correctly, and with the former it does not. How these two definitions can meaningfully be interpreted is also discussed. We also consider cases where the qualitative claim that relatedness fosters cooperation holds, even if Hamilton's rule as a quantitative prediction does not.

We furthermore find out what the relation is between Hamilton's rule and Fisher's Fundamental Theorem of Natural Selection. We also consider cancellation effects – which is the most important deepening of our understanding of when altruism is selected for. Finally we also explore the remarkable (im)possibilities for empirical testing with either definition of costs and benefits in Hamilton's rule.

¹Department of Economics and Business, University of Amsterdam, Roetersstraat 11, 1018 WB Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ²Program for Evolutionary Dynamics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA. ³Department of Mathematics, Emmanuel College, MA 02115, USA. ⁴Center for Mathematical Sciences and Applications, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA. ⁵Rady School of Management, UC San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA. ⁶Department of Computer Science and Engineering, UC San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093. ⁷Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Colorado Denver, Denver, CO 80202, USA. ⁸Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA. *Corresponding author: c.m.vanveelen@uva.nl. Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5760223

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5760223

Daneshyari.com