



ELSEVIER

ScienceDirect

Current Opinion in  
Insect Science

# Effects of global change on insect pollinators: multiple drivers lead to novel communities

Nicole E Rafferty<sup>1,2</sup>

Global change drivers, in particular climate change, exotic species introduction, and habitat alteration, affect insect pollinators in numerous ways. In response, insect pollinators show shifts in range and phenology, interactions with plants and other taxa are altered, and in some cases pollination services have diminished. Recent studies show some pollinators are tracking climate change by moving latitudinally and elevationally, while others are not. Shifts in insect pollinator phenology generally keep pace with advances in flowering, although there are exceptions. Recent data demonstrate competition between exotic and native bees, along with rapid positive effects of exotic plant removal on pollinator richness. Genetic analyses tie bee fitness to habitat quality. Across drivers, novel communities are a common outcome that deserves more study.

## Addresses

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biology, University of California, 900 University Ave., Riverside, CA 92521, USA

<sup>2</sup> Rocky Mountain Biological Lab, P.O. Box 519, Crested Butte, CO 81224, USA

Corresponding author: Rafferty, Nicole E ([nicole.rafferty@ucr.edu](mailto:nicole.rafferty@ucr.edu))

**Current Opinion in Insect Science** 2017, **23**:xx–yy

This review comes from a themed issue on **Global change biology**

Edited by **Brandon Barton** and **Jason Harmon**

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2017.06.009>

2214-5745/© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

Global change is affecting insect pollinators in profound ways. Climate change, exotic species introduction, and habitat loss are affecting all major aspects of the biology of insects that pollinate plants in both natural and agricultural communities, altering their distribution, phenology, abundance, physiology, and morphology [1–5]. The consequences of these effects are complex, perturbing plant–pollinator interactions in subtle but important ways and in some cases resulting in local extinction [2]. Despite the complexity, understanding these consequences is critical: just as the vast majority of flowering plants depend on insects for pollination [6], we rely in large part on insects to pollinate our crops, a valuable ecosystem service [7].

Among the many insect taxa that serve as pollinators, bees, flies, butterflies, and moths have received the most study in the context of global change. Within these taxa, bees are key pollinators of both crop plants and wild plants [8], and studies on bees have dominated the literature on plant–pollinator interactions under global change. Because bees rely heavily on floral resources both for their own sustenance and to provision their offspring, their fitness is strongly determined not only by the direct effects of global change but also by the influence of global change drivers on flowering plants.

Here, I consider the effects of several global change drivers on insect pollinators, with an emphasis on what we know about the effects on native bees. First, I discuss how climate change is affecting insect pollinators, as this is a topic of active research that illustrates a suite of responses. Second, I review the effects of exotic species, both insect and plant taxa, on insect pollinators. Third, I consider another global change factor, habitat alteration and loss, and its effects on insect pollinators. Throughout, I consider both direct effects on pollinators and effects that are mediated via plants and other interspecific interactions. Given biotic pollination is by definition a multitrophic interaction, greater consideration of how global change alters species interactions is needed to improve conservation and management of pollination services.

## Effects of climate change

The responses of insect pollinators to climate change have been relatively well-studied, although much remains to be resolved. For the most part, experimental studies of climate change factors on insect pollinators have focused on temperature [9–12], an important determinant of developmental rate [13]. Manipulations of other factors, such as carbon dioxide [14] or precipitation [15], have been applied to plants with subsequent measures of pollinator responses to altered floral traits. Complementing experimental approaches are long-term data, historical observations, and museum specimen records that can be correlated with ambient temperatures and other climate variables to describe insect responses [1,16].

Among the most striking consequences of climate change have been shifts in the spatial distributions of insect pollinators. Given the rapid life cycles and high mobility of most insect pollinators, are they able to keep pace with anthropogenic climate change by tracking environmental

## 2 Global change biology

90 conditions over space? Evidence is mixed. On the one  
 91 hand, Kerr *et al.* [4\*\*] discovered bumble bees (*Bombus*  
 92 spp.) across two continents have not tracked warming  
 93 temperatures, as evidenced by a failure to expand their  
 94 northern latitudinal range limits. On the other hand,  
 95 several studies have shown that bumble bees have moved  
 96 upward in elevation in montane ecosystems [4\*\*,17,18],  
 97 and some butterflies have shifted up in altitude [19]. Both  
 98 a nymphalid butterfly (*Polygonia c-album*) and a lycaenid  
 99 butterfly (*Aricia agestis*) in Britain have greatly expanded  
 100 their ranges northward in association with warming  
 101 [20,21]. A key question that has been not been considered  
 102 for most taxa is how these spatial shifts affect interactions  
 103 with floral resources and thereby influence both pollinator  
 104 fitness and patterns of pollen flow and reproductive  
 105 output of plants. Differential shifts among taxa will  
 106 almost certainly translate into modified communities,  
 107 especially as perennial plants are likely to lag behind  
 108 their pollinators. In addition, it remains largely unknown  
 109 whether traits or phylogenetic relationships can explain  
 110 variable spatial responses among taxa (but see [4\*\*,22]).  
 111 To understand constraints on the distributions of insect  
 112 pollinator populations and predict how distributions will  
 113 be affected by climate change directly and via effects on  
 114 host plants and other species with which pollinators  
 115 interact, species distribution models can be a useful tool  
 116 [23,24].

117 Shifts in the phenologies of insect pollinators are another  
 118 conspicuous signal of climate change. Multiple species of  
 119 bees have significantly advanced their phenologies [1], as  
 120 have many butterflies and moths [25,26]. Among lepi-  
 121 dopterans, variable responses can be partially explained  
 122 by traits such as diet breadth [26]. In contrast to spatial  
 123 shifts, the consequences of climate change-induced tem-  
 124 poral shifts for plant–pollinator interactions have received  
 125 much attention. Community-level analyses indicate bees  
 126 and the plants they pollinate are advancing at similar rates  
 127 [1], whereas butterflies and their nectar sources show  
 128 different sensitivities to temperature [27\*]. In general,  
 129 experimental studies suggest phenological mismatches  
 130 are unlikely to lead to complete decoupling of interac-  
 131 tions among insect pollinators and plants [28,29]. In part  
 132 this outcome is not surprising: plant–pollinator interac-  
 133 tions tend to be generalized [30] and nested, with  
 134 specialists interacting with generalists [31], and high  
 135 rates of interaction turnover [32]. However, there are  
 136 examples of specialized plant–bee interactions that are  
 137 likely becoming disrupted as phenologies shift [33,34].  
 138 Even subtle phenological mismatches are likely to have  
 139 consequences for interaction strengths, fitness, and the  
 140 evolution of life histories [35]. Whereas the conse-  
 141 quences of mismatches for plants have been commonly  
 142 measured in terms of seed production [29,36], the conse-  
 143 quences for pollinators have gone unquantified [37].  
 144 Also in contrast to the situation for insect pollinator  
 145 phenology, where few studies have linked responses

146 to traits or phylogenies, flowering phenology responses  
 147 to climate change have been associated with traits such  
 148 as flowering season, life history, and pollination mode  
 149 [38,39] and exhibit phylogenetic signal across continents  
 150 [40]. Together, these gaps in understanding point to a  
 151 need for more studies at the community level; a com-  
 152 munity approach should simultaneously create opportu-  
 153 nities for trait-based analyses and enable the conse-  
 154 quences of phenological mismatches from the  
 155 pollinator perspective to be quantified.

156 Other aspects of climate change that have been demon-  
 157 strated to affect insect pollinators via flowering plants  
 158 include elevated carbon dioxide and decreased precipi-  
 159 tation. Plants grown under elevated carbon dioxide can  
 160 have altered floral traits, such as nectar composition [14]  
 161 and pollen protein concentration [41]. In turn, these  
 162 altered traits can influence the fitness of insect pollinators;  
 163 Hoover *et al.* [14] found that *Bombus terrestris* workers  
 164 exhibited reduced longevity when fed synthetic nectar  
 165 mimicking that of flowers produced under elevated car-  
 166 bon dioxide, and Ziska *et al.* [41] posit that reduced  
 167 protein in goldenrod pollen could negatively affect bees.  
 168 Experimental drought had variable effects on floral vola-  
 169 tiles but consistently reduced flower size and floral display  
 170 across four species, resulting in different communities of  
 171 bees, flies, and butterflies visiting the flowers in the  
 172 drought treatment [15]. In general, a tight link between  
 173 the direct effects of climate change on floral resources and  
 174 the consequent effects on insect pollinators has yet to be  
 175 made. In part, this is because it is difficult to isolate the  
 176 effects of complex floral responses on mobile insects,  
 177 particularly in the field and at the population and com-  
 178 munity levels. As molecular genetic techniques and tech-  
 179 nologies that allow automated identification of individual  
 180 bees, for example as they pass over radio frequency  
 181 identification readers, are refined, larger-scale field-based  
 182 studies of pollinator fitness and foraging responses should  
 183 become more feasible.

### 184 Effects of exotic species

185 Human-aided transport and introduction of exotic species  
 186 is a major driver of global change, reshaping fundamental  
 187 ecological relationships [42]. Focusing in on exotic insect  
 188 pollinators, we know the most about the impacts of non-  
 189 native bees on native bees [43]. Non-native bees include  
 190 long-established domesticated honey bees (*Apis melli-  
 191 fera*), more recently-introduced commercial pollinators,  
 192 such as *Bombus terrestris* [44], and accidental introductions  
 193 of species such as *Hylaeus communis* [45]. Alien pollinators  
 194 can compete with native pollinators for resources, poten-  
 195 tially reducing their fitness, altering patterns of pollen  
 196 flow, and ultimately changing community structure to the  
 197 disruption of ecosystem services [46,47]. Not surprisingly,  
 198 the best-studied interactions between exotic and native  
 199 bees involve honey bees. Building on prior experimental  
 work that demonstrated competition for floral resources

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5761161>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5761161>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)