journal homepage: <www.elsevier.com/locate/hal>l-

Effects of Lyngbya majuscula blooms on the seagrass Halodule wrightii and resident invertebrates

Kathryn Tiling*, C. Edward Proffitt¹

Department of Biological Sciences, Florida Atlantic University's Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute, 5600 U.S. 1 North, Ft. Pierce, FL 34946, USA

A R T I C L E I N E O

A B S T R A C T

Article history: Received 12 July 2016 Received in revised form 19 September 2016 Accepted 23 November 2016 Available online 13 January 2017

Keywords: Lyngbya majuscula Halodule wrightii Macoma constricta Seagrass Invertebrates Cyanobacteria Harmful algal blooms Light reduction Indian River Lagoon Florida

Cyanobacterial blooms have been increasing worldwide due to increased nutrients associated with urban, industrial, and agricultural development. Blooms that occur in the Indian River Lagoon (IRL), Florida may be increased by nutrient-laden runoff from storm water and non-point sewage pollution due to alterations to the watershed. In the IRL, during the summer of 2006, extensive blooms of the marine cyanobacterium, Lyngbya majuscula, were observed forming mats throughout beds of the seagrass Halodule wrightii in Fort Pierce, Florida. The effects of cyanobacterial blooms were compared to artificial shading of H. wrightii to assess the shading potential of L. majuscula. The combined effects of L. majuscula removal and artificial shading showed increases in the below ground biomass of H. wrightii. However, leaf length increased in the presence of L. majuscula. In response to artificial shading, H. wrightii decreased in density, but showed similar leaf elongation. A common bivalve in our study area, Macoma constricta, increased in density when L. majuscula was removed. Therefore, when L. majuscula blooms occur, light limitation is one of the mechanisms altering H. wrightii density and leaf lengths in the IRL. Loss of H. wrightii biomass due to shading from cyanobacterial mats may further damage the diversity and habitat value of the IRL.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In coastal ecosystems, seagrass foundation species form habitat and stabilize local conditions ([Dayton,](#page--1-0) 1972; Dawes et al., 1995; Bruno and [Bertness,](#page--1-0) 2001; Duffy, 2006). They provide valuable ecosystem services, including acting as nursery habitat and refugia, providing a food source, recycling nutrients, and are highly productive (Dawes et al., 1995; [Williams](#page--1-0) and Heck, 2001; Duarte, 2002; Heck et al., 2003; Duffy, 2006; van [Tussenbroek](#page--1-0) et al., [2006](#page--1-0)). Worldwide seagrasses are declining due to a series of natural and anthropogenic stressors that have created poor conditions for seagrass success (Short and [Wyllie-Echeverria,](#page--1-0) 1996; Orth et al., 2006; [Waycott](#page--1-0) et al., 2009). It is estimated that 29% of the known areas of seagrasses have disappeared, and continue to decline at a rate of 7% per year [\(Waycott](#page--1-0) et al., 2009).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: ktiling@fau.edu (K. Tiling), ed.proffi[tt@tamucc.edu](mailto:ed.) (C. E. Proffitt).

that lead to reduced light availability and inhibited gas exchange, ultimately decreasing photosynthetic rates ([Watkinson](#page--1-0) et al., 2005; O'Neil et al., 2012; [Lapointe](#page--1-0) et al., 2015; Morris et al., 2015). Therefore, the severity of the HABs is strongly related to seagrass losses. Lyngbya majuscula is a potential HAB-forming species in marine ecosystems that has been increasing in frequency since the early 2000s (Paul et al., 2005; Paul, [2008;](#page--1-0) O'Neil et al., 2012). It is a

Anthropogenic pressures on coastal ecosystems are increasing worldwide ([Halpern](#page--1-0) et al., 2008), which is one of the primary causes of seagrass losses (Orth et al., 2006; [Waycott](#page--1-0) et al., 2009). Global increases in human populations have increased the frequency and magnitudes algal, phytoplankton, and cyanobacterial blooms, including harmful algae blooms (HABs) as a result of increased eutrophication [\(Hallegraeff,](#page--1-0) 1993; Anderson et al., 2002; [Heisler](#page--1-0) et al., 2008). The occurrence and density of blooms can depend on the type of nutrients, availability of nutrients, density of grazers or suspension feeders, and local hydrodynamics ([Cloern,](#page--1-0) 2001; Pittman and [Pittman,](#page--1-0) 2005; Ahern et al., 2006, 2007; O'Neil et al., 2012; [Lapointe](#page--1-0) et al., 2015). HABs have produced deleterious effects on seagrasses by overgrowing and smothering of seagrasses

Harmful Algae

¹ Present Address: Department of Life Sciences, Texas A&M - Corpus Christi, 6300 Ocean Dr., Corpus Christi, Texas, 78412, USA.

filamentous benthic cyanobacteria found growing attached to sediment, seagrasses, and corals [\(Albert](#page--1-0) et al., 2005; Paul et al., 2005; Arthur et al., 2006; Ahern et al., 2007; [Martín-García](#page--1-0) et al., [2014;](#page--1-0) O'Neil et al., 2012). The extensive benthic mats can become detached and blanket large areas due to oxygen bubbles trapped in their filaments following active photosynthesis ([Albert](#page--1-0) et al., 2005; O'Neil et al., [2012](#page--1-0)). Toxicity of secondary compounds produced by L. majuscula have been shown to have adverse effects on humans, with severe skin and eye irritations and hampered respiratory functions (Osborne et al., 2001; [Watkinson](#page--1-0) et al., 2005; O'Neil et al., [2012](#page--1-0)). Effects of Lyngbya spp. on coral reefs are also primarily a function of toxicity rather than shading by the cyanobacterial mats ([Titlaynov](#page--1-0) et al., 2007). In animal communities, L. majuscula produces compounds that act as strong feeding deterrents ([Osborne](#page--1-0) et al., 2001; Paul et al., 2005; Paul, 2008). When L. majuscula grows epiphytically on seagrasses, animals, such as green sea turtles (Chelonia mydas), are negatively impacted by exposure to potentially harmful toxins when ingesting L. majuscula with seagrass leaves [\(Arthur](#page--1-0) et al., 2006, 2008). Fish and meiofaunal communities (i.e. nematodes, copepods, polychaetes) abundances decreased in the presence of L. majuscula [\(Pittman](#page--1-0) and Pittman, 2005; García and [Johnstone,](#page--1-0) 2006). Infaunal species also altered their sediment depth distribution, although this effect was less prominent in polychaete species (García and [Johnstone,](#page--1-0) 2006).

Anthropogenic nutrient loading can cause algal blooms which in turn lead to dramatic decreases in seagrass abundance and distribution (Dennison et al., 1989; [Hauxwell](#page--1-0) et al., 2001, 2003; [McGlathery,](#page--1-0) 2001; Lamote and Dunton, 2006; Lapointe et al., 2015; [Morris](#page--1-0) et al., 2015). When algae grows in epiphytic associations with seagrass species, their presence can result in reduced light absorption, nutrient absorption, and gas exchange by seagrasses (Brush and Nixon, 2002; Drake et al., 2003; [Brodersen](#page--1-0) et al., 2015; [Costa](#page--1-0) et al., 2015). Bloom scale events have also lead to decreases in maximum depth limits, coverage, and stability [\(McGlathery,](#page--1-0) 2001; Lapointe et al., 2015; [Breininger](#page--1-0) et al., 2016) and can exacerbate the negative effects of other stressors such as grazing [\(Maciá,](#page--1-0) 2000). Lyngbya majuscula blooms have caused declines in seagrass biomass, attributable to light reduction, although the extent of decline is highly variable between seagrass species [\(Watkinson](#page--1-0) et al., [2005](#page--1-0)). These reductions in light can be a key factor affecting seagrass distribution (Duarte, 1991; Ralph et al., 2007; [Steward](#page--1-0) et al., [2005,Choice](#page--1-0) et al., 2014). Due to their high light requirement, seagrasses can be sensitive to shading from algal and cyanobacterial blooms ([Duarte,](#page--1-0) 1991; Orth et al., 2006; Ralph et al., 2007). [Duarte](#page--1-0) (1991) estimated that seagrasses had an average minimum light requirement of 11% of surface light. However, light requirements are highly variable among species due to morphological and physiological differences, ranging from 4.4 to 29.4% of surface light ([Dennison](#page--1-0) et al., 1993). The physical presence of L. majuscula may create barriers that alter light availability and photosynthetic potential for seagrasses, particularly when attached to seagrass leaves ([Arthur](#page--1-0) et al., 2006; Ahern et al., 2007; O'Neil et al., 2012; [Fabbri](#page--1-0) et al., 2015). This light reduction impedes photosynthetic activity of seagrasses ([Duarte,](#page--1-0) 1991; Ralph et al., 2007) resulting in reduced growth and biomass [\(Tomasko,](#page--1-0) 1992; Czerny and Dunton, 1995; [Shafer,](#page--1-0) 1999; Biber et al., 2009).

The Indian River Lagoon (IRL) is diverse estuary in Florida that extends 251 km from Ponce Inlet to Jupiter Inlet [\(Gilbert](#page--1-0) and Clark, 1981; [Zimmermann](#page--1-0) and Montgomery, 1984; Dawes et al., 1995; [Steward](#page--1-0) et al., 2005). The IRL watershed has drastically changed due to rapid urbanization and population growth and creation of canals that increased the amount of freshwater input into the estuary (Kim et al., 2002; [Duncan](#page--1-0) et al., 2004). As a result, eutrophication of this shallow estuary increased due to the presence of land-based sources of nutrients (Sigua et al., [2000;](#page--1-0) Sigua and [Tweedale,](#page--1-0) 2003; Lapointe et al., 2015). Point-source sewage pollution was eliminated by 1996 as per the Indian River Lagoon Act of 1990 (sensu [Lapointe](#page--1-0) et al., 2015) to protect the estuary. However, nutrient inputs remain, primarily sourced by storm water and non-point sewage pollution [\(Belanger](#page--1-0) et al., 2007; [Lapointe](#page--1-0) et al., 2012, 2015). Residency time of water in the lagoon can be extensive, greater than one year, particularly in the northern IRL which has restricted water exchange [\(Smith,](#page--1-0) 1993). As a result recent evidence of nutrient accumulation in the IRL has been linked non-point sewage pollution, leading to increases in algal blooms (Phlips et al., 2002, 2010, 2011, 2015; [Lapointe](#page--1-0) et al., 2015). Blooms in the IRL are indicative of these eutrophication events (Benz et al.,1979; Virnstein and [Carbonara,](#page--1-0) 1985) and have resulted in decreases in seagrass abundance and distribution as a result of reduced light availability in the IRL ([Lapointe](#page--1-0) et al., 2015; Morris et al., [2015](#page--1-0)). Recently, approximately 45% of seagrasses in the northern IRL have been loss since a series of algal and phytoplankton blooms in 2011 and subsequent years [\(Morris](#page--1-0) et al., [2015\)](#page--1-0).

One of the dominant seagrass species in the IRL is Halodule wrightii Ascherson, a pioneer seagrass species, found in shallow intertidal and sub-tidal waters (Dawes et al., 1995; [Steward](#page--1-0) et al., [2005\)](#page--1-0). As a foundation species, seagrasses like H. wrightii strongly influences community composition, including benthic infauna abundance (Orth et al., 1984; Coen and Heck, 1991; [Omena](#page--1-0) and Creed, 2004; [Johnson](#page--1-0) and Heck, 2007). Halodule wrightii is also considered to have relatively broad environmental tolerances to factors such as salinity (up to 65 PSU) and temperature $(2^{\circ}C -$ 39 C), compared to other seagrass species [\(McMillan,](#page--1-0) 1979, 1984; Björk et al., 1999; Koch et al., 2007; [Mazzotti](#page--1-0) et al., 2007). As a result H. wrightii is known as a swift colonizer, particularly after stressors, compared to other species (De [Oliveria](#page--1-0) et al., 1983). However, light requirements of H. wrightii are relatively high, at $20 \pm 14\%$ surface photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) ([Steward](#page--1-0) et al., [2005](#page--1-0)). As a result, H. wrightii is known to have rapid responses to light attenuation as observed by changes in morphological traits, reductions in biomass and increased mortality ([Tomasko,](#page--1-0) 1992; Czerny and Dunton, 1995; Shafer, [1999;](#page--1-0) Biber et al., 2009). In the IRL, H. wrightii abundance has been negatively impacted by series of algal, phytoplankton, and cyanobacterial blooms since 2011 and recovery has been slow ([Morris](#page--1-0) et al., 2015). However, impacts of algal and cyanobacterial blooms on H. wrightii and its associate communities are still largely unknown.

The objective of this study was to test if L. majuscula affects H. wrightii communities and if those effects are a result of shading by L. majuscula mats on seagrass beds. This will provide insight into seagrass persistence in response to blooms. We hypothesize that(i) the presence of L. majuscula will reduce seagrass size and abundance, (ii) artificial shading will cause similar seagrass responses as the effect of L. majuscula presence and (iii) the abundance of macroinfaunal species (the bivalve, Macoma constricta, and two polychaetes Clymenella mucosa and Nereis succinea) will respond negatively L. majuscula presence and artificial shading. These infauna species are abundant bioturbators in H. wrightii meadows and are considered to have a random distribution (Coen and Heck, 1991; [Omena](#page--1-0) and Creed, 2004).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area

In the summer of 2006 (April to August), widespread blooms of L. majuscula occurred in the central IRL and were observed from Fort Pierce Inlet (27°28'22.002N, 80°17'16.691W) to Vero Beach (27°35'13.176N, 80°21'50.068W), Florida ([Capper](#page--1-0) and Paul, 2008; personal observations). Our field experiment was established at Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5765702>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5765702>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)