



Short communication

Evaluation of transfer rates of *Salmonella* from single-use gloves and sleeves to dehydrated pork jerky

Jian Wu, Monica A. Ponder*

Department of Food Science and Technology, Virginia Tech, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 May 2017

Received in revised form

18 July 2017

Accepted 19 July 2017

Available online 24 July 2017

Keywords:

Salmonella

Jerky

Single-use glove

Sleeve cover

Transfer rate

Low moisture food

ABSTRACT

Meat jerky is a popular dried snack food that is typically considered shelf-stable and ready-to-eat. Many jerky processes incorporate post-lethality handling that represents opportunities for contamination through contact with worker hands and gloves. The objective was to identify transfer rates of *Salmonella enterica* from gloves to dried jerky after handling with three types of single-use gloves (Nitrile, PVC, and PE) and one type of single-use PE-coated sleeve cover. Six *Salmonella enterica* serovars were mixed and diluted to 7–8 log₁₀ CFU/mL and 2–3 log₁₀ CFU/mL for quantitative and qualitative transfer rate analyses, respectively. For quantitative analysis, high dose inoculum was applied evenly to the palm of the glove and the gloved hands were used to touch three jerky slices successively, simulating a major glove–jerky contact. A total of six inoculations were performed per material (n = 18). For qualitative analysis, low dose inoculum was applied evenly to the palm of the glove and the gloved hands were used to touch the jerky (n = 40) using two contact scenarios (contact with fingers only or fingers and palm) simulating activities associated with hand sorting and packaging. *Salmonella* were enumerated by plating onto XLT4 following serial dilution or after 24 h enrichment. *Salmonella* transfer to jerky was significantly greater (P < 0.05) from PE gloves (5.52 ± 0.24 log₁₀ CFU/sample) and sleeves (6.16 ± 0.49 log₁₀ CFU/sample) compared to Nitrile (4.47 ± 0.47 log₁₀ CFU/sample) and PVC gloves (4.66 ± 0.58 log₁₀ CFU/sample). In qualitative analysis, finger-only contact resulted in *Salmonella* transfer to 10/40 jerky slices from PE gloves and 1/40 slices from Nitrile gloves. However, when the palm of the glove was involved in the contact, *Salmonella* was detected on all 80 jerky slices, regardless of material type. Selection of materials associated with reduced transfer may be an important strategy for reducing bacterial cross-contamination in jerky production facilities.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Dried meat snack foods are popular for their high nutrient density, convenience, and good flavor. Jerky processing does not require investment in expensive facilities and equipment costs are modest, thus this product is produced by both small and large scale meat processors. To address safety concerns regarding the manufacture of jerky, the US Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) has published compliance guidelines for small plants with detailed, step-by-step guidelines for jerky processing developed to achieve a 5-log₁₀ reduction of *Salmonella* (USDA, 2014). However, jerky products are still not

without risks – deviation from processing parameters and cross-contamination after lethality treatment are example scenarios that bring potential risks to jerky.

Outbreaks of salmonellosis have been documented where jerky contamination was attributed in part to post-lethality handling by food workers and environmental cross-contamination (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1995; Laufer et al., 2015). It is possible that raw meat material in jerky processing plant contains *Salmonella*, which may contaminate handler's gloves, and then contaminate post-processing jerky products. *Salmonella enterica* serovars, including Tennessee, Senftenberg, and Montevideo, can survive in low-moisture food matrices for a substantial period of time and are more resistant to heat treatment (Podolak, Enache, Stone, Black, & Elliott, 2010). *Salmonella* may persist for more than 60 days on jerky and this survival may be enhanced in certain marinades (Calicioglu, Sofos, Samelis, Kendall, & Smith, 2003).

Single-use gloves and sleeves are among the most commonly

* Corresponding author. 401D Human and Agricultural Biosciences Building 1 (0924), 1230 Washington St, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA.

E-mail address: mponder@vt.edu (M.A. Ponder).

used personal protection equipment (PPE) which have been widely employed by food manufacturing and service. Glove use for ready-to-eat (RTE) food handling is recommended by Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and required if consumers are susceptible to foodborne diseases, such as elderly, children and immunocompromised group (FDA, 2009; annex 3). Single-use gloves are available in multiple types of materials such as latex, nitrile rubber (“nitrile”), polyvinyl chloride (“vinyl”), polyethylene (“poly”), and so forth. Proper use of PPE such as gloves can successfully reduce the transfer rate of pathogens; that said, it is difficult to totally eliminate the risk (Montville, Chen, & Schaffner, 2001; Robinson et al., 2016; Todd, Michaels, Greig, Smith, & Bartleson, 2010).

Transfer of pathogens has been documented from a variety of surfaces and food products (Jensen, Danyluk, Harris, & Schaffner, 2017; Jensen, Friedrich, Harris, Danyluk, & Schaffner, 2013; Knobben, van der Mei, van Horn, & Busscher, 2007; Rönnqvist et al., 2014). However, only a few studies have examined transfer from gloves (Brar & Danyluk, 2013; Moore, Dunnill, & Wilson, 2013). Specifically for jerky production, transfer rate associated with different types of single-use gloves remains undiscussed. In this study, several cross-contamination scenarios during jerky production were simulated, including touching jerky slices when moving racks, touching slices with sleeve covers while reaching out further located slices, handling slices with palm and fingers, and picking up slices with fingers. A cocktail of *Salmonella* strains that are tolerant to low moisture were used to simulate the source of contamination. Either high ($7-8 \log_{10}$ CFU/glove) or low ($2 \log_{10}$ CFU/glove) dose inoculum was used, and the different transfer rates of *Salmonella* associated with different types of single-use gloves and sleeves were compared.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Meat preparation

Raw, marinated pork slices ($\text{pH} = 6.18 \pm 0.10$, no cure, 55–75 g/slice) were obtained from a meat producer. Single layer of pork slices were spread on one-inch mesh stainless steel racks and dehydrated in a smokehouse (Alkar 1000, PN 045122, Alkar-Rapidpak, Lodi, WI) until slices acquired the target water activity (a_w) range (0.80–0.85), as recommended by FSIS jerky guidelines (USDA 1999; 2014).

2.2. Bacterial strains and preparation of inoculum

Bacterial culture preparation methods were adopted from Bowman, Waterman, Williams, and Ponder (2015), with minor modifications. Briefly, six isolates of *Salmonella enterica* strains previously associated with low a_w outbreaks or used in thermal processing of jerky validations (Porto-Fett, Call, & Luchansky, 2008; Senftenberg 775W ATCC 43835, Montevideo 1449, Tennessee, Typhimurium JBL 3269, Typhimurium JBL 3270, and Typhimurium JBL 3271) were resuscitated from -80°C freezer stocks and streaked onto Xylose Lysine Tergitol-4 (XLT4, Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD) agar plates and incubated for 24 h at 37°C to obtain isolated colonies. One isolated colony of each culture was transferred to Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB, Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD) and incubated with shaking (180 rpm) for 24 h at 37°C . After incubation, 500 μL of each culture was plated onto a 100×15 mm Petri plate (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) of Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA, Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD) and incubated for 24 h at 37°C to cultivate a lawn of bacteria. Cells from each plate were scraped from the agar surface using a sterile swab and suspended in 9 mL of peptone (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD) buffer (0.1% w/v peptone in water). The suspensions in peptone buffer of all six strains were

combined and diluted to provide a bacterial cocktail of two different dilutions, approximately 10^7-10^8 CFU/mL for quantitative studies and 10^2-10^3 CFU/mL for qualitative studies respectively.

2.3. Qualitative glove transfer rate

Two types of single-use gloves, nitrile rubber glove (“nitrile”, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) and polyethylene food service glove (“poly”, US Foods Inc., Rosemont, IL), were tested for the rate of a successful *Salmonella* transfer from glove to meat. Each tested glove was put on investigator’s left hand. An aliquot of 250 μL from the *Salmonella* cocktail (10^2-10^3 CFU/mL) was pipetted in the middle of left palm. The investigator then rubbed the inoculated, gloved hand itself carefully for 90 s to spread inoculum across palm and fingers, then the transfer was conducted immediately. A major-contact and a minor-contact test were performed. In the major-contact test, twenty jerky slices were placed on wire cooling racks (Wilton Industries Inc., Woodridge, IL). Using the inoculated glove, each individual slice was mildly pressed with palm and fingers (approx. 0.3 PSI), then picked up by three fingers (thumb, index finger and middle finger) to place in a sample bag. A 50-mL volume of lactose broth (Remel, Lenexa, KS) was added to the bag, and hand massaged for one min followed by incubation for 24 h at 37°C . In the minor-contact scenario, the same inoculation method (250 μL , 10^2-10^3 CFU/mL) was used. Twenty jerky slices were picked up individually using three fingers of the inoculated glove, then enriched as described above.

2.4. Quantitative glove transfer rate

Transfer from three types of single-use gloves was examined: nitrile glove and poly glove as described previously, and polyvinyl chloride glove (“vinyl”, Ambitex, Cleveland, OH). Tested gloves were inoculated as described above, except for a different inoculum dose (250 μL , 10^7-10^8 CFU/mL). Three dehydrated jerky slices were placed on a wire rack and the palm and fingers of the inoculated gloves were faced down and mildly pressed against one jerky slice. The contact time was 10 s for each slice, and three slices were consecutively pressed by each inoculated glove. Pre-contact and post-contact gloves, as well as post-contact jerky slices were collected for microbiological analysis.

2.5. Quantitative sleeve cover transfer rate

One single-use polyethylene-coated polypropylene woven sleeve cover (“sleeve”, Sunsoft, Sunrise Industries Inc., Guntersville, AL) was laid flat in a biosafety cabinet. An aliquot of 250 μL of *Salmonella* cocktail (10^7-10^8 CFU/mL) was pipetted in a rectangular area (7.9×15.8 cm, 125 cm^2) that was marked in the middle portion of the sleeve, and spread across the area for one min, then carefully placed on researcher’s left front arm. The marked, inoculated area of the sleeve was placed face down onto three jerky slices and gently pressed for 10s against each jerky slice. Pre-contact and post-contact sleeves, as well as post-contact jerky slices were collected for microbiological analysis.

2.6. Microbiological analysis

Qualitative samples were enriched as described above, and enrichment broth streaked for isolation on XLT4 agar plates incubated 24 h at 37°C for *Salmonella* presence/absence. Transfer rate was defined as number of positive samples/40. Quantitative test samples were added with appropriate amount (245 mL for glove, 240 mL for sleeve, and 215 mL for pork slice) of sterile lactose broth and the mixture was stomached in a lab blender (Interscience

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5767280>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5767280>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)