Journal of Hydrology 554 (2017) 155-172

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Hydrology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jhydrol

Research papers

Nonlinear flow model of multiple fractured horizontal wells with stimulated reservoir volume including the quadratic gradient term

Junjie Ren^{a,*}, Ping Guo^b

^a School of Sciences, Southwest Petroleum University, Chengdu 610500, Sichuan, China ^b State Key Laboratory of Oil and Gas Reservoir Geology and Exploitation, Southwest Petroleum University, Chengdu 610500, Sichuan, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 May 2017 Received in revised form 27 July 2017 Accepted 5 September 2017 Available online 8 September 2017 This manuscript was handled by P. Kitanidis, Editor-in-Chief, with the assistance of Jean-Raynald de Dreuzy, Associate Editor

Keywords: Nonlinear model Multiple fractured horizontal well Quadratic gradient term Stimulated reservoir volume Transient pressure

ABSTRACT

The real fluid flow in porous media is consistent with the mass conservation which can be described by the nonlinear governing equation including the quadratic gradient term (QGT). However, most of the flow models have been established by ignoring the QGT and little work has been conducted to incorporate the QGT into the flow model of the multiple fractured horizontal (MFH) well with stimulated reservoir volume (SRV). This paper first establishes a semi-analytical model of an MFH well with SRV including the QGT. Introducing the transformed pressure and flow-rate function, the nonlinear model of a point source in a composite system including the QGT is linearized. Then the Laplace transform, principle of superposition, numerical discrete method, Gaussian elimination method and Stehfest numerical inversion are employed to establish and solve the seepage model of the MFH well with SRV. Type curves are plotted and the effects of relevant parameters are analyzed. It is found that the nonlinear effect caused by the QGT can increase the flow capacity of fluid flow and influence the transient pressure positively. The relevant parameters not only have an effect on the type curve but also affect the error in the pressure calculated by the conventional linear model. The proposed model, which is consistent with the mass conservation, reflects the nonlinear process of the real fluid flow, and thus it can be used to obtain more accurate transient pressure of an MFH well with SRV.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The multiple fractured horizontal (MFH) well is considered as the most effective well type for the ultra-low permeability reservoirs to increase production at a low cost. Massive hydraulic fracturing can not only generate a few of highly conductive hydraulic fractures intersected with the horizontal wellbore, but also create an induced fracture network named as stimulated reservoir volume (SRV) (Mayerhofer et al., 2006; Clarkson, 2013; Zhao et al., 2014). The permeability of the SRV is much higher than that of the reservoir formation, and so the existence of the SRV region can significantly improve the well performance (Mayerhofer et al., 2010; Guo et al., 2016).

A great deal of work has been conducted to develop flow models of MFH wells in different reservoir scenarios, but most of them focus on the models without considering the effect of the SRV (Wan, 1999; Wan and Aziz, 2002; Luo et al., 2014; Wang, 2014; Ren and Guo, 2015a,b). Recently, as the massive-hydraulicfracturing technology is widely applied to develop the ultra-low

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: renjunjie1900@126.com (J. Ren).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.09.005 0022-1694/© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. permeability reservoirs, especially shale gas reservoir, the seepage models of MFH wells with SRV have attracted great attention. The seepage models of MFH wells with SRV fall into three main types (Chen et al., 2015): (1) analytical models, mainly including the tri-linear flow model (Ozkan et al., 2011) and its improved models (Stalgorova and Mattar, 2012; Tian et al., 2014; Zheng et al., 2017), have been successfully used to simulate the early linear flow of MFH wells with SRV, but it cannot capture some flow characteristics such as the radial flow and the interferences between the hydraulic fractures: (2) numerical models with different numerical simulation methods, such as the finite element method (Fan et al., 2015) and boundary element method (Zhao et al., 2016), have been employed to investigate the performance of MFH wells with different shaped SRV. However, time-consuming and the difficult of gridding make numerical models less attractive (Chen et al., 2015); (3) semi-analytical models (Zhao et al., 2014; Jia et al., 2015, 2016; Xu et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2016) not only capture the complete flow characteristics of MFH wells with SRV but also have much higher computational efficiency than numerical models. Therefore, semi-analytical models have recently gained much attention. However, to our knowledge, almost all models of MFH wells with SRV, especially the analytical/semi-analytical models,







Nomenclature

Roman	symbols
В	volume factor, m ³ /m ³
Cf	rock compressibility. Pa ⁻¹
C,	total compressibility. Pa^{-1}
C_{t1f}	total compressibility of the fracture system in the SRV
C_{t1m}	total compressibility of the matrix system in the SRV
-	region, Pa ⁻¹
C_{t2}	total compressibility in the outer region, Pa ⁻¹
C _ρ	fluid compressibility, Pa ⁻¹
h	formation thickness, m
k	formation permeability, m ²
k_{1f}	fracture-system permeability in the SRV region, m^2
k_{1m}	matrix-system permeability in the SRV region, m ²
k_2	formation permeability in the outer region, m ²
L	horizontal well length, m
L _{fLDi}	dimensionless length of the left wing of the <i>i</i> th hydraulic fracture, dimensionless
L _{fLi}	length of the left wing of the <i>i</i> th hydraulic fracture, m
L _{fRDi}	dimensionless length of the right wing of the <i>i</i> th
	hydraulic fracture, dimensionless
L _{fRi}	length of the right wing of the <i>i</i> th hydraulic fracture, m
т	fracture number, integer
<i>M</i> ₁₂	mobility ratio of the SRV region to the outer region, dimensionless
n	formation pressure. Pa
г П16	fracture-system pressure in the SRV region. Pa
p_{1m}	matrix-system pressure in the SRV region. Pa
p_{2}	formation pressure in the outer region. Pa
D;	initial formation pressure. Pa
<i>p</i>	wellbore pressure. Pa
p_{wD}	dimensionless wellbore pressure, dimensionless
p_{wD1}	dimensionless wellbore pressure calculated by the
I WDI	linear model, dimensionless
p_{wDn1}	dimensionless wellbore pressure calculated by the
I WDIII	nonlinear model, dimensionless
q	flow rate from point source, m^3/s
$\hat{q}_{\rm D}$	dimensionless flow rate from point source,
10	dimensionless
$q_{\rm D}^*$	flow-rate function of $q_{\rm D}$, defined in Eq. (42)
$q_{\rm f}$	flow-rate density, m^2/s
$q_{\rm fD}$	dimensionless flow-rate density, dimensionless
$q_{\rm fD}^*$	flow-rate function of q_{fD} , $q_{fD}^* = q_{fD}(\xi_w + 1)$,
10	dimensionless
q _{fDi i}	dimensionless flow-rate density of the <i>j</i> th segment in
11219	the <i>i</i> th hydraulic fracture, dimensionless
$q^*_{\mathrm{fD}ii}$	flow-rate function of $q_{\text{fD}ii}$, $q^*_{\text{fD}ii} = q_{\text{fD}ii}(\xi_{\text{w}} + 1)$,
-101.5	dimensionless
$q_{\rm fii}$	flow-rate density of the <i>j</i> th segment in the <i>i</i> th
	hydraulic fracture, m ² /s
Qsc	total production rate under surface conditions, m ³ /s
r	radial distance in reservoir formation, $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$, m
r _m	radial distance in a spherical matrix block, m
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

- radius of a spherical matrix block, m r_1
- $r_{\rm f}$ SRV radius, m
- S Laplace transform variable, dimensionless
- time. s t
- W_{12} storability ratio of the SRV region to the outer region, dimensionless
- x, yCartesian x, y coordinates, m
- dimensionless Cartesian x, y coordinates, dimensionless $x_{\rm D}, y_{\rm D}$
- dimensionless coordinates of the *j* th end point in the *i* $x_{\mathrm{D}i,j}, y_{\mathrm{D}i,j}$ th hydraulic fracture, dimensionless
- coordinates of the *i* th end point in the *i* th hydraulic $x_{i,j}, y_{i,j}$ fracture, m
- x_{mij}, y_{mij} coordinates of midpoint of the *j* th segment in the *i* th hydraulic fracture, m
- $x_{mDi,j}, y_{mDi,j}$ dimensionless coordinates of midpoint of the *j* th segment in the *i* th hydraulic fracture, dimensionless
- x_{wDi}, y_{wDi} dimensionless coordinates of the intersection of the *i* th hydraulic fracture and the horizontal wellbore, dimensionless
- coordinates of the intersection of the *i* th hydraulic x_{wi}, y_{wi} fracture and the horizontal wellbore, m

. .

Greek Symbols			
α	dimensionless nonlinear flow coefficient, dimensionless		
$\Delta L_{\text{fLD}i}$	dimensionless discrete-segment length of the left wing		
	of the <i>i</i> th hydraulic fracture, dimensionless		
$\Delta L_{\text{fRD}i}$	dimensionless discrete-segment length of the right		
	wing of the <i>i</i> th hydraulic fracture, dimensionless		
Δy_i	difference between y_{wi} and y_{wi-1} , $\Delta y_i = y_{wi} - y_{wi-1}$, m		
$\delta_{\rm p}$	relative difference between the pressures calculated by		
	the nonlinear and linear models, dimensionless		
λ_1	interporosity flow coefficient in the SRV region,		
	dimensionless		
μ	fluid viscosity, Pa · s		
ξ1f	transformed pressure for p_{1fD} , defined in Eq. (28)		
ζ1m	transformed pressure for p_{1mD} , defined in Eq. (29)		
ξ2	transformed pressure for p_{2D} , defined in Eq. (30)		
ξw	transformed pressure for p_{wD} , defined in Eq. (31)		
ho	fluid density, kg/m ³		
υ	velocity, m/s		
ϕ	formation porosity, fraction		
$\phi_{1\mathrm{f}}$	fracture-system porosity in the SRV region, fraction		
$\phi_{1\mathrm{m}}$	matrix-system porosity in the SRV region, fraction		
ϕ_2	formation porosity in the outer region, fraction		
ω_{1f}	storability ratio of fracture system in the SRV region,		
	dimensionless		
Suparcer	Superscript		

supersci

Laplace space

Subscript

dimensionless D

are established and solved by ignoring the quadratic gradient term (QGT) in the governing equation, which makes the models be inconsistent with material balance and may lead to significant errors in the predicted pressure. Unfortunately, there is no work to investigate the effect of such approximation with ignoring the QGT on the predicted pressure of MFH wells with SRV.

During the last decades, much attention has been paid to the nonlinear flow models with the QGT. Finjord (1986) first introduced a functional transformation to linearize the nonlinear governing equation including the QGT. Chakrabarty et al. (1993) proposed a radial flow model with the QGT to quantitatively analyze the impact of the QGT on the pressure distribution during constant-rate or constant-pressure injection. Bai et al. (1994) developed a flow model for vertical wells in dual-porosity reservoirs including the QGT. Braeuning et al. (1998) investigated a nonlinear model for partially penetrating vertical wells with Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5771090

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5771090

Daneshyari.com