



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra



Generalized reflection root systems

Maria Gorelik¹, Ary Shaviv^{*}*Dept. of Mathematics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 August 2015

Available online 31 August 2017

Communicated by Vera Serganova

Keywords:

Root systems

Lie superalgebras

ABSTRACT

We study a combinatorial object, which we call a GRRS (generalized reflection root system); the classical root systems and GRSs introduced by V. Serganova are examples of finite GRRSs. A GRRS is finite if it contains a finite number of vectors and is called affine if it is infinite and has a finite minimal quotient. We prove that an irreducible GRRS containing an isotropic root is either finite or affine; we describe all finite and affine GRRSs and classify them in most of the cases.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

0. Introduction

We study a combinatorial object, which we call a GRRS (generalized reflection root system), see [Definition 1.2](#). The classical root systems are finite GRRSs without isotropic roots. Our definition of GRRS is motivated by Serganova's definition of GRS (generalized root system) introduced in [\[6\]](#), Sect. 1, and by the following examples: the set of real roots Δ_{re} of a symmetrizable Kac–Moody superalgebra introduced in [\[3\]](#), [\[7\]](#) and its subsets $\Delta_{re}(\lambda)$ (“integral real roots”), see [\[1\]](#).

Each GRRS R is, by definition, a subset of a finite-dimensional complex vector space V endowed with a symmetric bilinear form $(-, -)$. The image of R in $V/Ker(-, -)$ is

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: maria.gorelik@weizmann.ac.il (M. Gorelik), ary.shaviv@weizmann.ac.il (A. Shaviv).

¹ Supported in part by the Minerva Foundation with funding from the Federal German Ministry for Education and Research.

denoted by $cl(R)$; it satisfies weaker properties than GRRS and is called a WGRS (weak generalized root system). An infinite GRRS is called *affine* if its image $cl(R)$ is finite (in this case $cl(R)$ is a finite WGRS, which were classified in [6]). We show that an irreducible GRRS containing an isotropic root is either finite or affine. Recall a theorem of C. Hoyt that a symmetrizable Kac–Moody superalgebra with an isotropic simple root and an indecomposable Cartan matrix (this corresponds to the irreducibility of GRRS) is finite-dimensional or affine, see [2].

Finite GRRSs correspond to the root systems of finite-dimensional Kac–Moody superalgebras. In this paper we describe all affine GRRSs R and classify them for most cases of $cl(R)$. Irreducible affine GRRSs with $\dim Ker(-, -) = 1$ correspond to symmetrizable affine Lie superalgebras. This case was treated in [9]; in particular, it implies that an “irreducible subsystem” of the set of real roots of an affine Kac–Moody superalgebra is a set of real roots of an affine or a finite-dimensional Kac–Moody superalgebra (this was used in [1]).

For each GRRS we introduce a certain subgroup of $Aut R$, which is denoted by $GW(R)$; if R does not contain isotropic roots, then $GW(R)$ is the usual Weyl group. Let R be an irreducible affine GRRS (i.e., $cl(R)$ is finite). We show that if the action of $GW(cl(R))$ on $cl(R)$ is transitive and $cl(R) \neq A_1$, then R is either the affinization of $cl(R)$ (see § 1.7 for definition) or, if $cl(R)$ is the root system of $\mathfrak{psl}(n, n)$, $n > 2$, R is a certain “bijective quotient” of the affinization of the root system of $\mathfrak{pgl}(n, n)$, see § 1.5 for definition. The action of $GW(cl(R))$ on $cl(R)$ is transitive if and only if $cl(R)$ is the root system of a simply laced Lie algebra or a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \neq B(m, n)$, which is not a Lie algebra. If R is such that $cl(R) = B(m, n)$, $m, n \geq 1$ or $cl(R) = B_n, C_n$, $n \geq 3$, then R is classified by non-empty subsets of the affine space $\mathbb{F}_2^k$ up to affine automorphisms of $\mathbb{F}_2^k$, where $\dim Ker(-, -) = k$. A similar classification holds for $cl(R) = A_1$. In the cases $cl(R) = G_2, F_4$, the GRRSs R are parametrized by $s = 0, 1, \dots, \dim Ker(-, -)$. In the remaining case either $cl(R)$ is a finite WGRS, which is not a GRRS, or $cl(R) = BC_n$. We partially classify the corresponding GRRSs (we describe all possible R).

Another combinatorial object, an extended affine root supersystem (EARS), was introduced and described in a recent paper of M. Yousofzadeh [12]. The main differences between a GRRS and an EARS are the following: first, EARS has a “string property”, namely, for each α, β in an EARS with $(\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0$ the intersection of $\beta + \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ with the EARS is a string $\{\beta - j\alpha \mid j \in \{-p, p+1, \dots, q\}\}$ for some $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p - q = 2(\alpha, \beta)/(\alpha, \alpha)$. Second, a GRRS should be invariant with respect to the “reflections” connected to its elements. The string property implies the invariance with respect to the reflections connected to non-isotropic roots (α such that $(\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0$). A finite GRRS corresponds to the root system of a finite-dimensional Kac–Moody superalgebra, and the finite EARSs include two additional series. The root system of a symmetrizable affine Lie superalgebra is an EARS and the set of real roots is a GRRS. Moreover, the set of roots of a symmetrizable Kac–Moody superalgebra is an EARS only if this algebra is affine or finite-dimensional (by contrast, the set of real roots is always a GRRS). For example, the real roots of a Kac–Moody algebra with the Cartan

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5771817>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5771817>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)