



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra



Separated monic representations I: Gorenstein-projective modules

Xiu-Hua Luo^a, Pu Zhang^{b,*},¹^a Department of Mathematics, Nantong University, Nantong 226019, China^b School of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 28 March 2016

Available online 2 February 2017

Communicated by Luchozar L.

Avramov

MSC:

primary 16G10, 16E65

secondary 16G50, 18E30

Keywords:

Representation of a bound quiver

over an algebra

Separated monic representation

Gorenstein-projective module

Resolving subcategory

Frobenius category

ABSTRACT

For a finite acyclic quiver Q , an ideal I of a path algebra kQ generated by monomial relations, and a finite-dimensional k -algebra A , we introduce the separated monic representations of a bound quiver (Q, I) over A . They differ from the (usual) monic representations. The category $\text{smon}(Q, I, A)$ of the separated monic representations of (Q, I) over A coincides with the category $\text{mon}(Q, I, A)$ of the (usual) monic representations if and only if $I = 0$ and each vertex of Q is the ending vertex of at most one arrow. We give properties of the structural maps of separated monic representations, and prove that $\text{smon}(Q, I, A)$ is a resolving subcategory of $\text{rep}(Q, I, A)$. We introduce the condition (G). Let $\Lambda := A \otimes kQ/I$. By the equivalence $\text{rep}(Q, I, A) \cong \Lambda\text{-mod}$ of categories, the main result claims that a Λ -module is Gorenstein-projective if and only if it is in $\text{smon}(Q, I, A)$ and has a local A -Gorenstein-projective property (G). As consequences, the separated monic Λ -modules are exactly the projective Λ -modules if and only if A is semi-simple; and they are exactly the Gorenstein-projective Λ -modules if and only if A is self-injective, and if and only if $\text{smon}(Q, I, A)$ is a Frobenius category.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: xiuhualuo2014@163.com (X.-H. Luo), pzhang@sjtu.edu.cn (P. Zhang).¹ Supported by the NSFC 11271251, 11431010 and 11401323.

Introduction

Throughout k is a field, A a finite-dimensional k -algebra, Q a finite acyclic quiver, I an ideal of a path algebra kQ generated by monomial relations, and

$$\Lambda = A \otimes kQ/I.$$

All tensors are over k if not specified otherwise, and all modules considered are finitely generated. Denote by $A\text{-mod}$ the category of left A -modules. We study the representation category $\text{rep}(Q, I, A)$ for the bound quiver (Q, I) over A instead of the representation category $\text{rep}(Q, I, k)$ of (Q, I) over k ([21]), or equivalently, the module category $\Lambda\text{-mod}$. When Q is of type A_2 , it is exactly the morphism category of A ([2]).

Our aim is to describe the Gorenstein-projective Λ -modules ([3,18]). They enjoy more stable properties than the projective modules (see e.g. [11,42,25,28]) and are widely used in the relative homological algebra, representation theory and algebraic geometry (see e.g. [4,8,16,12,17]). A striking feature is that the category $\mathcal{GP}(A)$ of Gorenstein-projective A -modules is a Frobenius category (see e.g. [9, Prop. 3.8]), and hence its stable category $\underline{\mathcal{GP}}(A)$ is triangulated ([22]). If A is a Gorenstein algebra, then $\mathcal{GP}(A)$ has Auslander–Reiten sequences, and hence $\underline{\mathcal{GP}}(A)$ has Auslander–Reiten triangles; also in this case $\underline{\mathcal{GP}}(A)$ is just the singularity category of A ([11,23,34]), up to a triangle-equivalence. Note that if A is Gorenstein then so is $\Lambda = A \otimes kQ/I$ ([5, Prop. 2.2]), and hence $\mathcal{GP}(\Lambda)$ has Auslander–Reiten sequences, and $\underline{\mathcal{GP}}(\Lambda)$ has Auslander–Reiten triangles.

For this aim, we introduce the *separated monic representations* of (Q, I) over A , or equivalently, the *separated monic* Λ -modules, and study the *separated monomorphism category* $\text{smon}(Q, I, A)$ of separated monic representations of (Q, I) over A (see Definition 2.1). It relates with but differs from the (usual) monomorphism category $\text{mon}(Q, I, A)$ (Subsection 2.2). In fact, $\text{smon}(Q, I, A) = \text{mon}(Q, I, A)$ if and only if $I = 0$ and each vertex of Q is the ending vertex of at most one arrow (Proposition 2.3). If $I = 0$, $\text{smon}(Q, A) := \text{smon}(Q, 0, A)$ has been studied in [32]. In the special case of Q being of type A_n with linear orientation and $I = 0$, this category $\text{smon}(Q, A) = \text{mon}(Q, A) := \text{mon}(Q, 0, A)$ strongly relates with the representations of a chain (as a partially ordered set) over A ([43–45]); and it is a Frobenius category when A is a self-injective algebra. However, in general $\text{mon}(Q, I, A)$ is no longer Frobenius when A is self-injective; while $\text{smon}(Q, I, A)$ has the advantage that it is always Frobenius when A is self-injective.

In fact, initiated by G. Birkhoff [10], there is a long history of studying $\text{smon}(Q, A)$, where Q is of type A_n with linear orientation. It is called the *submodule category* for $n = 2$ in [38–40], and the *filtered chain category* in [44–46]. C.M. Ringel and M. Schmidmeier have established the Auslander–Reiten theory of the submodule category ([39]; also [47]); and D. Simson has studied the representation type ([44,45]). A reciprocity of the monomorphism operator and the perpendicular operator is given ([48]). According

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5771852>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5771852>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)