



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra



Group actions on algebraic stacks via butterflies



Behrang Noohi

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 April 2016

Available online 5 May 2017

Communicated by Paul Balmer

Keywords:

Algebraic stacks

Actions on stacks

2-groups

Categorical groups

Weighted projective stacks

Weighted projective general linear groups

ABSTRACT

We introduce an explicit method for studying actions of a group stack \mathcal{G} on an algebraic stack \mathcal{X} . As an example, we study in detail the case where $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{P}(n_0, \dots, n_r)$ is a weighted projective stack over an arbitrary base S . To this end, we give an explicit description of the group stack of automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}(n_0, \dots, n_r)$, the *weighted projective general linear 2-group* $\mathrm{PGL}(n_0, \dots, n_r)$. As an application, we use a result of Colliot-Thélène to show that for every linear algebraic group G over an arbitrary base field k (assumed to be reductive if $\mathrm{char}(k) > 0$) such that $\mathrm{Pic}(G) = 0$, every action of G on $\mathcal{P}(n_0, \dots, n_r)$ lifts to a linear action of G on \mathbb{A}^{r+1} .

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Contents

1.	Introduction	37
2.	Notation and terminology	38
3.	Review of 2-groups and crossed modules	39
4.	2-Groups over a site and group stacks	40
4.1.	Presheaves of weak 2-groups over a site	40
4.2.	Group stacks over \mathbf{C}	41
4.3.	Equivalences of group stacks	42
5.	Actions of group stacks	44
5.1.	Formulation in terms of crossed modules and butterflies	44
6.	Weighted projective general linear 2-groups $\mathrm{PGL}(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_r)$	46
6.1.	Automorphism 2-group of a quotient stack	46
6.2.	Weighted projective general linear 2-groups	49

E-mail address: b.noohi@qmul.ac.uk.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2017.05.002>

0021-8693/© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

7.	Structure of $\mathrm{PGL}(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_r)$	51
8.	Some examples	57
9.	2-group actions on weighted projective stacks	60
9.1.	Description of the quotient 2-stack	61
References	63

1. Introduction

The aim of this work is to propose a concrete method for studying group actions on algebraic stacks. Of course, in its full generality this problem could already be very difficult in the case of schemes. The case of stacks has yet an additional layer of difficulty due to the fact that stacks have two types of symmetries: 1-symmetries (i.e., self-equivalences) and 2-symmetries (i.e., 2-morphisms between self-equivalences).

Studying actions of a group stack \mathcal{G} on a stack \mathcal{X} can be divided into two subproblems. One, which is of geometric nature, is to understand the two types of symmetries alluded to above; these can be packaged in a group stack $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{X}$. The other, which is of homotopy theoretic nature, is to get a hold of morphisms $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{X}$. Here, a morphism $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{X}$ means a weak monoidal functor; two morphisms $f, g: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{X}$ that are related by a monoidal transformation $\varphi: f \Rightarrow g$ should be regarded as giving rise to the “same” action.

Therefore, to study actions of \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{X} one needs to understand the group stack $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{X}$, the morphisms $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{X}$, and also the transformations between such morphisms. Our proposed method, uses techniques from 2-group theory to tackle these problems. It consists of two steps:

- 1) finding suitable crossed module models for $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{X}$ and \mathcal{G} ;
- 2) using butterflies [11,1] to give a geometric description of morphisms $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{X}$ and monoidal transformations between them.

Finding a ‘suitable’ crossed module model for $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{X}$ may not always be easy, but we can go about it by choosing a suitable ‘symmetric enough’ atlas $X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. This can be used to find an approximation of $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{X}$ (Proposition 6.2), and if we are lucky (e.g., when $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{P}(n_0, \dots, n_r)$) it gives us the whole $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{X}$.

Once crossed module models for \mathcal{G} and $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{X}$ are found, the butterfly method reduces the action problem to standard problems about group homomorphisms and group extensions, which can be tackled using techniques from group theory.

Organization of the paper

Sections §3–§5 are devoted to setting up the basic homotopy theory of 2-group actions and using butterflies to formulate our strategy for studying actions. To illustrate our method, in the subsequent sections we apply these ideas to study group actions on weighted projective stacks. In §6 we define weighted projective general linear 2-groups

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5771922>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5771922>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)