



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Functional Analysis

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jfa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jfa)



# On the operator homology of the Fourier algebra and its $cb$ -multiplier completion



Jason Crann<sup>a</sup>, Zsolt Tanko<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Mathematics and Statistics, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, H1S 5B6 Canada

<sup>b</sup> Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, N2L 3G1 Canada

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 14 September 2016

Accepted 28 June 2017

Available online 5 July 2017

Communicated by Stefaan Vaes

### MSC:

primary 46L07, 46H25, 46M10

secondary 46M18, 43A15

### Keywords:

Operator homology

Fourier algebra

Group von Neumann algebra

## ABSTRACT

We study various operator homological properties of the Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  of a locally compact group  $G$ . Establishing the converse of two results of Ruan and Xu [35], we show that  $A(G)$  is relatively operator 1-projective if and only if  $G$  is IN, and that  $A(G)$  is relatively operator 1-flat if and only if  $G$  is inner amenable. We also exhibit the first known class of groups for which  $A(G)$  is not relatively operator  $C$ -flat for any  $C \geq 1$ . As applications of our techniques, we establish a hereditary property of inner amenability, answer an open question of Lau and Paterson [24], and answer an open question of Anantharaman-Delaroche [1] on the equivalence of inner amenability and Property (W). In the bimodule setting, we show that relative operator 1-biflatness of  $A(G)$  is equivalent to the existence of a contractive approximate indicator for the diagonal  $G_\Delta$  in the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra  $B(G \times G)$ , thereby establishing the converse to a result of Aristov, Runde, and Spronk [3]. We conjecture that relative 1-biflatness of  $A(G)$  is equivalent to the existence of a quasi-central bounded approximate identity in  $L^1(G)$ , that is,  $G$  is QSIN, and verify the conjecture in many special cases. We finish with an application to the operator homology of  $A_{cb}(G)$ ,

E-mail addresses: [jcrann@math.carleton.ca](mailto:jcrann@math.carleton.ca) (J. Crann), [ztanko@uwaterloo.ca](mailto:ztanko@uwaterloo.ca) (Z. Tanko).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2017.06.024>

0022-1236/© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

giving examples of weakly amenable groups for which  $A_{cb}(G)$  is not operator amenable.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The operator homology of the Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  of a locally compact group  $G$  has been a topic of interest in abstract harmonic analysis since Ruan's seminal work [34], where, among other things, he established the equivalence of amenability of  $G$  and operator amenability of  $A(G)$ . From the perspective of Pontryagin duality, this result is the dual analogue of Johnson's celebrated equivalence of amenability of  $G$  and (operator) amenability of  $L^1(G)$  [22]. In much the same spirit, dual analogues of various homological properties of  $L^1(G)$  were established within the category of operator  $A(G)$ -modules, including the operator weak amenability of  $A(G)$  [37], and the equivalence of discreteness of  $G$  and relative operator biprojectivity of  $A(G)$  [2,43].

Continuing in this spirit, Ruan and Xu (implicitly) showed that  $A(G)$  is relatively operator 1-projective whenever  $G$  is an IN group (see also [17]), and that  $A(G)$  is relatively operator 1-flat whenever  $G$  is inner amenable [35]. In this paper, we establish the converse of both of these results, and exhibit the first known class of groups – including every connected non-amenable group – for which  $A(G)$  is not relatively operator  $C$ -flat for any  $C \geq 1$ . Along the way, we show that inner amenability passes to closed subgroups, answer an open question of Lau and Paterson [24], and answer an open question of Anantharaman-Delaroche [1, Problem 9.1] on the equivalence of inner amenability and Property (W).

The relative operator biflatness of  $A(G)$  has been studied by Ruan and Xu [35] and Aristov, Runde, and Spronk [3], where it was shown (by different methods) that  $A(G)$  is relatively operator biflat whenever  $G$  is QSIN, meaning  $L^1(G)$  has a quasi-central bounded approximate identity (see [3,27,38]). The approach of Aristov, Runde, and Spronk is via approximate indicators, where they show that  $A(G)$  is relatively operator  $C$ -biflat whenever the diagonal subgroup  $G_\Delta \leq G \times G$  has a bounded approximate indicator in  $B(G \times G)$  of norm at most  $C$ . One of the main results of this paper establishes the converse when  $C = 1$ , that is,  $A(G)$  is relatively operator 1-biflat if and only if  $G_\Delta$  has a contractive approximate indicator in  $B(G \times G)$ . Recalling that  $A(G)$  is operator amenable precisely when  $A(G \times G)$  has a bounded approximate diagonal [34], we see that  $A(G)$  is relatively operator 1-biflat precisely when  $A(G \times G)$  has a contractive approximate diagonal in the *Fourier–Stieltjes algebra*  $B(G \times G)$ , a result which elucidates the relationship between operator amenability and relative operator biflatness for  $A(G)$ , and for completely contractive Banach algebras more generally.

We conjecture that relative operator 1-biflatness of  $A(G)$  is equivalent to the QSIN condition, and we verify the conjecture in many special cases. For a discrete group  $H$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5772398>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5772398>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)