

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Functional Analysis

www.elsevier.com/locate/jfa

Boundary behavior of nonlocal minimal surfaces $\stackrel{\diamond}{\Rightarrow}$



Serena Dipierro^{a,*}, Ovidiu Savin^b, Enrico Valdinoci^{a,c,d,e}

^a School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Melbourne, 813 Swanston Street, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

^b Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, 2990 Broadway, New York, NY 10027, USA

^c Weierstraβ Institut f
ür Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik, Hausvogteiplatz 5/7, 10117 Berlin, Germany

^d Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli studi di Milano, Via Saldini 50, 20133 Milan, Italy

^e Istituto di Matematica Applicata e Tecnologie Informatiche, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Via Ferrata 1, 27100 Pavia, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 March 2016 Accepted 18 November 2016 Available online 6 December 2016 Communicated by C. De Lellis

MSC: 49Q05 35R11 53A10

Keywords: Nonlocal minimal surfaces Boundary regularity Barriers

АВЅТ КАСТ

We consider the behavior of the nonlocal minimal surfaces in the vicinity of the boundary. By a series of detailed examples, we show that nonlocal minimal surfaces may stick at the boundary of the domain, even when the domain is smooth and convex. This is a purely nonlocal phenomenon, and it is in sharp contrast with the boundary properties of the classical minimal surfaces.

In particular, we show stickiness phenomena to half-balls when the datum outside the ball is a small half-ring and to the side of a two-dimensional box when the oscillation between the datum on the right and on the left is large enough.

When the fractional parameter is small, the sticking effects may become more and more evident. Moreover, we show that

^{*} The first author has been supported by EPSRC grant EP/K024566/1 "Monotonicity formula methods for nonlinear PDEs", ERPem "PECRE Postdoctoral and Early Career Researcher Exchanges" and Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. The second author has been supported by NSF grant DMS-1200701. The third author has been supported by ERC grant 277749 "EPSILON Elliptic Pde's and Symmetry of Interfaces and Layers for Odd Nonlinearities" and PRIN grant 201274FYK7 "Aspetti variazionali e perturbativi nei problemi differenziali nonlineari".

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: serena.dipierro@ed.ac.uk (S. Dipierro), savin@math.columbia.edu (O. Savin), enrico@math.utexas.edu (E. Valdinoci).

 $\label{eq:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2016.11.016} 0022\text{-}1236/ \ensuremath{\odot}\ 2016$ Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

lines in the plane are unstable at the boundary: namely, small compactly supported perturbations of lines cause the minimizers in a slab to stick at the boundary, by a quantity that is proportional to a power of the perturbation.

In all the examples, we present concrete estimates on the stickiness phenomena. Also, we construct a family of compactly supported barriers which can have independent interest.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

It is well known (see e.g. [19,17]) that the classical minimal surfaces do not stick at the boundary. Namely, if Ω is a convex domain and E is a set that minimizes the perimeter among its competitors in Ω , then ∂E is transverse to $\partial \Omega$ at their intersection points.

In this paper we show that the situation for the nonlocal minimal surfaces is completely different. Indeed, we prove that nonlocal interactions can favor stickiness at the boundary for minimizers of a fractional perimeter.

The mathematical framework in which we work was introduced in [7] and is the following. Given $s \in (0, 1/2)$ and an open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the *s*-perimeter of a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ in Ω as

$$\operatorname{Per}_{s}(E,\Omega) := L(E \cap \Omega, E^{c}) + L(\Omega \setminus E, E \setminus \Omega),$$

where $E^c := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$ and, for any disjoint sets F and G, we use the notation

$$L(F,G) := \iint_{F \times G} \frac{dx \, dy}{|x - y|^{n + 2s}}.$$

We say that E is s-minimal in Ω if $\operatorname{Per}_s(E,\Omega) < +\infty$ and $\operatorname{Per}_s(E,\Omega) \leq \operatorname{Per}_s(F,\Omega)$ among all the sets F which coincide with E outside Ω .

With a slight abuse of language, when Ω is unbounded, we say that E is s-minimal in Ω if it is s-minimal in any bounded open subsets of Ω (for a more precise distinction between s-minimal sets and locally s-minimal sets see e.g. [21]).

Problems related to the s-perimeter naturally arise in several fields, such as the motion by nonlocal mean curvature and the nonlocal Allen–Cahn equation, see e.g. [8,25]. Also, the s-perimeter can be seen as a fractional interpolation between the classical perimeter (corresponding to the case $s \to 1/2$) and the Lebesgue measure (corresponding to the case $s \to 0$), see e.g. [22,3,9,1,14]. Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5772403

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5772403

Daneshyari.com