



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Number Theory

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt)



# On rational approximations to two irrational numbers



Artūras Dubickas<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University, Naugarduko 24, Vilnius LT-03225, Lithuania

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University, Akademijos 4, Vilnius LT-08663, Lithuania

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 2 November 2016

Received in revised form 12 January 2017

Accepted 12 January 2017

Available online 6 March 2017

Communicated by D. Goss

### MSC:

11J70

11J72

11A55

68R15

### Keywords:

Continued fraction expansion

Irrational number

Irrationality function

Combinatorics on words

## ABSTRACT

Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two irrational real numbers satisfying  $\alpha \pm \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . We prove several inequalities between  $\min_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \|k\alpha\|$  and  $\min_{k \in S} \|k\beta\|$ , where  $S$  is a set of positive integers, e.g.,  $S = \{n\}$ ,  $S = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  or  $S = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\|x\|$  stands for the distance between  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and the nearest integer. We also give some constructions of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  which show that the result of Kan and Moshchevitin (asserting that the difference between  $\min_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \|k\alpha\|$  and  $\min_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \|k\beta\|$  changes its sign infinitely often) and its variations are best possible. Some of the results are given in terms of the sequence  $d(n) = d_{\alpha, \beta}(n)$  defined as the difference between reciprocals of these two quantities. In particular, we prove that the sequence  $d(n)$  is unbounded for any irrational  $\alpha, \beta$  satisfying  $\alpha \pm \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

\* Correspondence to: Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University, Naugarduko 24, Vilnius LT-03225, Lithuania.

E-mail address: [arturas.dubickas@mif.vu.lt](mailto:arturas.dubickas@mif.vu.lt).

## 1. Introduction

Given a real number  $\xi$ , let

$$\psi_\xi(n) := \min_{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} \|k\xi\|$$

be the irrationality measure function of  $\xi$ , where  $\|x\|$  stands for the distance between  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and the nearest integer. In [1], Kan and Moshchevitin proved that for any two irrational real numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  satisfying  $\alpha \pm \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$  the difference

$$\psi_\alpha(n) - \psi_\beta(n)$$

is positive for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and also negative for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Although recently a metric result of this type for some matrices is given in [4], in the above mentioned paper [1], by some classical results of Khintchine (see Theorems 1 and 2 in [1] or the original paper of Khintchine [2]), it was shown that the possibilities to extend this result to dimensions greater than 1 are very limited.

In this paper we first give a short proof of the next result (equivalent to that in [1]) and then show that this result and its variations are in some sense best possible.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two irrational real numbers satisfying  $\alpha \pm \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . Then,*

$$\psi_\alpha(n) > \|n\beta\| \tag{1}$$

for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Observe that (1) implies

$$\psi_\alpha(n) > \|n\beta\| \geq \psi_\beta(n)$$

for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also, since the condition  $\alpha \pm \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$  is equivalent to  $\beta \pm \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , by reversing the roles of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we derive that  $\psi_\beta(n) > \|n\alpha\| \geq \psi_\alpha(n)$  for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular, this implies the main result of [1].

Although in the proof of Theorem 1 we use the language of combinatorics on words, the proof is essentially the same as in [1]. The same setting is also used in the proof of Theorem 5 below. However, the full advantage of this approach shows up in the proof of Theorem 2 which is much more complicated.

We remark that under condition  $\alpha \pm \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $\|n\alpha\| = \|n\beta\|$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and hence  $\psi_\alpha(n) = \psi_\beta(n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $\psi_\alpha(n)$  and  $\psi_\beta(n)$  both tend to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (and so their difference tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , but  $\psi_\alpha(n) \neq 0$  and  $\psi_\beta(n) \neq 0$  when  $\alpha, \beta \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ), it is of interest to investigate not only the sequence of differences of two irrationality measures  $\psi_\alpha(n) - \psi_\beta(n)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , but also the sequence of differences of their reciprocals

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5772526>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5772526>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)