

Accepted Manuscript

On the complexity of the permanent in various computational models

Christian Ikenmeyer, J.M. Landsberg

PII: S0022-4049(17)30033-6
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2017.02.008>
Reference: JPAA 5626

To appear in: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*

Received date: 2 October 2016
Revised date: 1 February 2017

Please cite this article in press as: C. Ikenmeyer, J.M. Landsberg, On the complexity of the permanent in various computational models, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* (2017), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2017.02.008>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



On the complexity of the permanent in various computational models

Christian Ikenmeyer and J.M. Landsberg*

February 20, 2017

Abstract

We answer a question in [14], showing the regular determinantal complexity of the determinant \det_m is $O(m^3)$. We answer questions in, and generalize results of [2], showing there is no rank one determinantal expression for perm_m or \det_m when $m \geq 3$. Finally we state and prove several “folklore” results relating different models of computation.

1 Introduction

Let $P(y^1, \dots, y^M) \in S^m \mathbb{C}^M$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree m in M variables. A *size n determinantal expression* for P is an expression:

$$P = \det_n(\Lambda + \sum_{j=1}^M X^j y^j). \quad (1)$$

where X^j, Λ are $n \times n$ complex matrices.

The *determinantal complexity* of P , denoted $\text{dc}(P)$, is the smallest n for which a size n determinantal expression exists for P . Valiant [22] proved that for any polynomial P , $\text{dc}(P)$ is finite. Let $(y^{i,j})$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, be linear coordinates on the space of $m \times m$ matrices. Let $\text{perm}_m := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} y^{1,\sigma(1)} \dots y^{m,\sigma(m)}$ where \mathfrak{S}_m is the permutation group on m letters.

Valiant’s famous algebraic analog of the $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{NP}$ conjecture [22] is:

Conjecture 1.1 (Valiant [22]). *The sequence $\text{dc}(\text{perm}_m)$ grows super-polynomially fast.*

The state of the art regarding determinantal expressions for perm_m is $2^m - 1 \geq \text{dc}(\text{perm}_m) \geq \frac{m^2}{2}$, respectively [8, 17].

In the same paper [22], Valiant also made the potentially stronger conjecture that there is no polynomial sized arithmetic circuit computing perm_m .

There are two approaches towards conjectures such as Conjecture 1.1. One is to first prove them in *restricted models*, i.e., assuming extra hypotheses, with the goal of proving a conjecture by first proving it under weaker and weaker supplementary hypotheses until one arrives at the original conjecture. The second is to fix a complexity measure such as $\text{dc}(\text{perm}_m)$ and then to prove lower bounds on the complexity measure, which we will call *benchmarks*, and then

*Landsberg partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1405348.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5772763>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5772763>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)