



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Complexity

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/jco](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jco)

## Local adaption for approximation and minimization of univariate functions<sup>☆</sup>

Sou-Cheng T. Choi<sup>a</sup>, Yuhan Ding<sup>a</sup>, Fred J. Hickernell<sup>a,\*</sup>,  
Xin Tong<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics, Illinois Institute of Technology, RE 208, 10 West 32<sup>nd</sup> Street, Chicago, IL, 60616, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science, University of Illinois at Chicago, Room 322 SEO, 851 S. Morgan Street, Chicago, IL, 60607, USA

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Available online xxxx

#### Keywords:

Adaption  
Automatic  
Computational complexity  
Function approximation  
Function recovery  
Global minimization

### ABSTRACT

Most commonly used *adaptive* algorithms for univariate real-valued function approximation and global minimization lack theoretical guarantees. Our new locally adaptive algorithms are guaranteed to provide answers that satisfy a user-specified absolute error tolerance for a cone,  $\mathcal{C}$ , of non-spiky input functions in the Sobolev space  $W^{2,\infty}[a, b]$ . Our algorithms automatically determine where to sample the function—sampling more densely where the second derivative is larger. The computational cost of our algorithm for approximating a univariate function  $f$  on a bounded interval with  $L^\infty$ -error no greater than  $\varepsilon$  is  $\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\|f''\|_{\frac{1}{2}}}/\varepsilon\right)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . This is the same order as that of the best function approximation algorithm for functions in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The computational cost of our global minimization algorithm is of the same order and the cost can be substantially less if  $f$  significantly exceeds its minimum over much of the domain. Our Guaranteed Automatic Integration Library (GAIL) contains these new algorithms. We provide numerical experiments to illustrate their superior performance.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

<sup>☆</sup> Communicated by E. Novak.

\* Corresponding author.  
E-mail address: [hickernell@iit.edu](mailto:hickernell@iit.edu) (F.J. Hickernell).

1. Introduction

Our goal is to reliably solve univariate function approximation and global minimization problems by adaptive algorithms. We prescribe a suitable set,  $\mathcal{C}$ , of continuously differentiable, real-valued functions defined on a finite interval  $[a, b]$ . Then, we construct algorithms  $A : (\mathcal{C}, (0, \infty)) \rightarrow L^\infty[a, b]$  and  $M : (\mathcal{C}, (0, \infty)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for any  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  and any error tolerance  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\|f - A(f, \varepsilon)\| \leq \varepsilon, \tag{APP}$$

$$0 \leq M(f, \varepsilon) - \min_{a \leq x \leq b} f(x) \leq \varepsilon. \tag{MIN}$$

Here,  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the  $L^\infty$ -norm on  $[a, b]$ , i.e.,  $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)|$ . Algorithms **A** and **M** depend only on function values.

Our algorithms proceed iteratively until their data-dependent stopping criteria are satisfied. The input functions are sampled nonuniformly over  $[a, b]$ , with the sampling density determined by the function data. We call our algorithms *locally adaptive*, to distinguish them from globally adaptive algorithms that have a fixed sampling pattern and only the sample size determined adaptively.

1.1. Key ideas in our algorithms

Our Algorithms **A** and **M** are based on a *linear spline*,  $S(f, x_{0:n})$  defined on  $[a, b]$ . Let  $0 : n$  be shorthand for  $\{0, \dots, n\}$ , and let  $x_{0:n}$  be any ordered sequence of  $n + 1$  points that includes the endpoints of the interval, i.e.,  $a =: x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{n-1} < x_n := b$ . We call such a sequence a *partition*. Then given any  $x_{0:n}$  and any  $i \in 1 : n$ , the linear spline is defined for  $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$  by

$$S(f, x_{0:n})(x) := \frac{x - x_i}{x_{i-1} - x_i} f(x_{i-1}) + \frac{x - x_{i-1}}{x_i - x_{i-1}} f(x_i). \tag{1}$$

The error of the linear spline is bounded in terms of the second derivative of the input function as follows [2, Theorem 3.3]:

$$\|f - S(f, x_{0:n})\|_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} \leq \frac{(x_i - x_{i-1})^2 \|f''\|_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}}{8}, \quad i \in 1 : n, \tag{2}$$

where  $\|f\|_{[\alpha, \beta]}$  denotes the  $L^\infty$ -norm of  $f$  restricted to the interval  $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq [a, b]$ . This error bound leads us to focus on input functions in the Sobolev space  $W^{2,\infty} := W^{2,\infty}[a, b] := \{f \in C^1[a, b] : \|f''\| < \infty\}$ .

Algorithms **A** and **M** require upper bounds on  $\|f''\|_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}$ ,  $i \in 1 : n$ , to make use of (2). A nonadaptive algorithm might assume that  $\|f''\| \leq \sigma$ , for some known  $\sigma$ , and proceed to choose  $n = \lceil (b - a)\sqrt{\sigma/(8\varepsilon)} \rceil$ ,  $x_i = a + i(b - a)/n$ ,  $i \in 0 : n$ . Providing an upper bound on  $\|f''\|$  is often impractical, and so we propose adaptive algorithms that do not require such information.

However, one must have some a priori information about  $f \in W^{2,\infty}$  to construct successful algorithms for (APP) or (MIN). Suppose that Algorithm **A** satisfies (APP) for the zero function  $f = 0$ , and  $A(0, \varepsilon)$  uses the data sites  $x_{0:n} \subset [a, b]$ . Then one can construct a *nonzero* function  $g \in W^{2,\infty}$  satisfying  $g(x_i) = 0$ ,  $i \in 0 : n$  but with  $\|g - A(g, \varepsilon)\| = \|g - A(0, \varepsilon)\| > \varepsilon$ .

Our set  $\mathcal{C} \subset W^{2,\infty}$  for which **A** and **M** succeed includes only those functions whose second derivatives do not change dramatically over a short distance. The precise definition of  $\mathcal{C}$  is given in Section 2. This allows us to use second-order divided differences to construct rigorous upper bounds on the linear spline error in (2). These data-driven error bounds inform the stopping criteria for Algorithm **A** in Section 3.1 and Algorithm **M** in Section 4.1.

The computational cost of Algorithm **A** is analyzed in Section 3.2 and is shown to be  $\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\|f''\|_{\frac{1}{2}}/\varepsilon}\right)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Here,  $\|\cdot\|_{\frac{1}{2}}$  denotes the  $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -quasi-norm, a special case of the  $L^p$ -quasi-norm,  $\|f\|_p := \left(\int_a^b |f|^p dx\right)^{1/p}$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ . Since  $\|f''\|_{\frac{1}{2}}$  can be much smaller than  $\|f''\|$ , locally adaptive

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5773840>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5773840>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)