



# Multiplicity results in the non-coercive case for an elliptic problem with critical growth in the gradient

Colette De Coster<sup>a</sup>, Louis Jeanjean<sup>b,\*,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Université de Valenciennes et du Hainaut Cambrésis, LAMAV, FR CNRS 2956, Institut des Sciences et Techniques de Valenciennes, F-59313 Valenciennes Cedex 9, France*

<sup>b</sup> *Laboratoire de mathématiques (UMR 6623), Univ. Bourgogne France-Comté 16, Route de Gray, 25030 Besançon Cedex, France*

Received 13 July 2016

---

## Abstract

We consider the boundary value problem

$$-\Delta u = \lambda c(x)u + \mu(x)|\nabla u|^2 + h(x), \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega), \quad (P_\lambda)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 3$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary. It is assumed that  $c, h$  belong to  $L^p(\Omega)$  for some  $p > N$  with  $c \not\equiv 0$  as well as  $\mu \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $\mu \geq \mu_1 > 0$  for some  $\mu_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is known that when  $\lambda \leq 0$ , problem  $(P_\lambda)$  has at most one solution. In this paper we study, under various assumptions, the structure of the set of solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$  assuming that  $\lambda > 0$ . Our study unveils the rich structure of this problem. We show, in particular, that what happens for  $\lambda = 0$  influences the set of solutions in all the half-space  $]0, +\infty[ \times (H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega))$ . Most of our results are valid without assuming that  $h$  has a sign. If we require  $h$  to have a sign, we observe that the set of solutions differs completely for  $h \geq 0$  and  $h \leq 0$ . We also show when  $h$  has a sign that solutions not having this sign may exist. Some uniqueness results of signed solutions are also derived. The paper ends with a list of open problems.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: 35J25; 35J62

---

\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* [Colette.DeCoster@univ-valenciennes.fr](mailto:Colette.DeCoster@univ-valenciennes.fr) (C. De Coster), [louis.jeanjean@univ-fcomte.fr](mailto:louis.jeanjean@univ-fcomte.fr) (L. Jeanjean).

<sup>1</sup> L. Jeanjean is supported by the project NONLOCAL (ANR-14-CE25-0013), funded by the French National Research Agency (ANR).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2017.01.022>

0022-0396/© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Quasilinear elliptic equations; Quadratic growth in the gradient; Lower and upper solutions

## 1. Introduction

We consider the boundary value problem

$$-\Delta u = \lambda c(x)u + \mu(x)|\nabla u|^2 + h(x), \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega), \quad (P_\lambda)$$

under the assumption

$$\begin{cases} \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N, \quad N \geq 3 \text{ is a bounded domain with } \partial\Omega \text{ of class } C^{1,1}, \\ c \text{ and } h \text{ belong to } L^p(\Omega) \text{ for some } p > N \text{ and satisfy } c \not\equiv 0, \\ \mu \in L^\infty(\Omega) \text{ satisfies } 0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu(x) \leq \mu_2. \end{cases} \quad (\mathbf{A})$$

Depending on the parameter  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  we study the existence and multiplicity of solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$ . By solutions we mean functions  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} c(x)uv \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \mu(x)|\nabla u|^2 v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} h(x)v \, dx,$$

for any  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

First observe that, by the change of variable  $v = -u$ , problem  $(P_\lambda)$  reduces to

$$-\Delta v = \lambda c(x)v - \mu(x)|\nabla v|^2 - h(x), \quad v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega).$$

Hence, since we make no assumptions on the sign of  $h$ , we actually also consider the case where  $|\nabla u|^2$  has a negative coefficient.

The study of quasilinear elliptic equations with a gradient dependence up to the critical growth  $|\nabla u|^2$  was essentially initiated by Boccardo, Murat and Puel in the 80's and it has been an active field of research until now. Under the condition  $\lambda c(x) \leq -\alpha_0 < 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  for some  $\alpha_0 > 0$ , which is usually referred to as the *coercive case*, the existence of a unique solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  is guaranteed by assumption (A). This is a special case of the results of [8,9] for the existence and of [6,7] for the uniqueness. Let us point out that the requirement to deal with bounded solutions in  $(P_\lambda)$  is essential to the uniqueness results. Indeed if one admits more general solutions, the existence of infinitely many solutions is known in several cases, see for example [1,2].

The limit case where one just require that  $\lambda c(x) \leq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  is more complex. There had been a lot of contributions [2,13,19,20] when  $\lambda = 0$  (or equivalently when  $c \equiv 0$ ) but the general case where  $\lambda c \leq 0$  may vanish only on some parts of  $\Omega$  was left open until the paper [4]. It appears in [4] that under assumption (A) the existence of solutions is not guaranteed, additional conditions are necessary. When  $\lambda = 0$  this was already observed in [13]. By [4], the uniqueness itself holds as soon as  $\lambda c(x) \leq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . See also [5] for a related uniqueness result in a more general frame.

The case  $\lambda c \not\equiv 0$  remained unexplored until very recently. Following the paper [22] which consider a particular case, Jeanjean and Sirakov [18] study a problem directly connected to  $(P_\lambda)$ .

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5774200>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5774200>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)