Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

# Unconditionally convergent multipliers and Bessel sequences $\stackrel{\star}{\approx}$

Carmen Fernández $^{\ast},$  Antonio Galbis, Eva Primo

Departament d'Anàlisi Matemàtica, Universitat de València, Dr. Moliner 50, 46100-Burjassot, València, Spain

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 14 November 2016 Available online 29 May 2017 Submitted by G. Corach

Keywords: Unconditional convergence Bessel sequence Multiplier

### ABSTRACT

We prove that every unconditionally summable sequence in a Hilbert space can be factorized as the product of a square summable scalar sequence and a Bessel sequence. Some consequences on the representation of unconditionally convergent multipliers are obtained, thus providing positive answers to a conjecture by Balazs and Stoeva in some particular cases.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

A multiplier on a separable Hilbert space  ${\cal H}$  is a bounded operator

$$M_{m,\Phi,\Psi}: H \to H, \ f \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \langle f, \Psi_n \rangle \Phi_n,$$

where  $\Phi = (\Phi_n)_n$  and  $\Psi = (\Psi_n)_n$  are sequences in H and  $m = (m_n)_n$  is a scalar sequence called the symbol. These operators are generalizations of Gabor multipliers, which in turn are discrete versions of time-frequency localization operators introduced by Daubechies [7]. They have found applications in the analysis of pseudo-differential operators [6] and multi-window spectrograms [4,1], which can be used for spectral estimation. Due to its discrete nature, multipliers are more akin to the implementations required in acoustics [3].

The multiplier is said to be unconditionally convergent if the above series converges unconditionally for every  $f \in H$ . For any (unconditionally convergent) multiplier  $M_{m,\Phi,\Psi}$  its adjoint  $M_{\overline{m},\Psi,\Phi}$  is also a (unconditionally convergent) multiplier.

\* Corresponding author.

 $\label{eq:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2017.05.054} 0022-247 X/ © 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.$ 







 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  The present research was partially supported by the projects MTM2016-76647-P, ACOMP/2015/186 and GVA Prometeo II/2013/013 (Spain).

E-mail addresses: fernand@uv.es (C. Fernández), antonio.galbis@uv.es (A. Galbis), eva.primo@uv.es (E. Primo).

Observe that each bounded operator T on H can be expressed as a multiplier: if  $(u_n)_n$  is an orthonormal basis, we can take  $\Phi_n = Tu_n$ ,  $\Psi_n = u_n$  (alternatively  $\Phi_n = u_n$ ,  $\Psi_n = T^*u_n$ ) and  $m_n = 1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In the case that  $\Phi = (\Phi_n)_n$  and  $\Psi = (\Psi_n)_n$  are Bessel sequences in H and  $m \in \ell^{\infty}$  the operator  $M_{m,\Phi,\Psi}$  is called a Bessel multiplier. Recall that  $\Psi = (\Psi_n)_n$  is called a *Bessel sequence* if there is a constant B > 0 such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \langle f, \Psi_n \rangle \right|^2 \le B \|f\|^2$$

for every  $f \in H$ . It turns out that  $(\Psi_n)_n$  is a Bessel sequence if and only if there exists a bounded operator  $T: \ell^2 \to H$  such that  $T(e_n) = \Psi_n$ , where  $(e_n)_n$  denote the canonical unit vectors of  $\ell^2$  ([5, Theorem 3.2.3]).

Bessel multipliers were introduced and studied in a systematic way by Balazs [2] as a generalization of the Gabor multipliers considered in [9]. In [2] it is proved that each Bessel multiplier is unconditionally convergent. Balazs and Stoeva [14] provide examples of non-Bessel sequences and non-bounded symbols defining unconditionally convergent multipliers. However all the examples are obtained from a Bessel multiplier after some trick. In fact, Balazs and Stoeva *conjecture* in [13] that every unconditionally convergent multiplier can be written as a Bessel multiplier with constant symbol by shifting weights. More precisely, if  $M_{m,\Phi,\Psi}: H \to H$  is an unconditionally convergent multiplier, then they conjecture that there exist scalar sequences  $(a_n)_n$ ,  $(b_n)_n$  such that

$$m_n = a_n \cdot b_n$$

and

$$(a_n\Phi_n)_n, (b_n\Psi_n)_n$$

are Bessel sequences in H. Several classes of multipliers for which the conjecture is true are obtained in [13]. For instance, they proved that this is the case for multipliers of the form  $M_{m,\Phi,\Phi}$  [13, Proposition 4.2] and also for multipliers with the property that the sequence  $(|m_n| ||\Phi_n|| ||\Psi_n||)_n$  is norm bounded below [13, Proposition 1.1].

In the particular case that  $m_n = 1$  and  $\Psi_n = g$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the conjecture has a positive answer if and only if for every unconditionally summable sequence  $(\Phi_n)_n$  in a separable Hilbert space H we may find  $(\alpha_n)_n \in \ell^2$  such that  $(\frac{1}{\alpha_n} \Phi_n)_n$  is a Bessel sequence in H. So, the main aim of the present paper is to analyze the structure of unconditionally summable sequences in a separable Hilbert space. As a consequence we obtain some new situations where the conjecture of Balazs and Stoeva is still true, which are different in spirit to the ones considered in [13]. Our results cannot be considered as improvements of those in [13] nor can be obtained with the same techniques, they cover a completely different situation as in the cases we consider the sequence  $(|m_n| || \Psi_n ||)_n$  converges to zero.

## 2. Results

We will use the well known fact that a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  in a Banach space X is unconditionally convergent if and only if there exist a compact operator  $T: c_0 \to X$  with the property that  $T(e_n) = x_n$ , where  $(e_n)_n$ denote the canonical unit vectors of  $c_0$  (see for instance the omnibus theorem on unconditional summability in [8, 1.9]). We recall that, in the case that X = H is a Hilbert space, every bounded operator  $T: c_0 \to H$ is compact. In fact, the closed unit ball B of H is weakly compact, the transposed map  $T^*: H \to \ell^1$  is a bounded operator and weak and norm convergence of sequences in  $\ell^1$  coincide ([8, Theorem 1.7]). Therefore  $T^*$  is a compact operator and so is T. Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5774491

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5774491

Daneshyari.com