Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

## The Maslov index for Lagrangian pairs on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$

P. Howard<sup>a,\*</sup>, Y. Latushkin<sup>b</sup>, A. Sukhtayev<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Mathematics Department, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

<sup>b</sup> Mathematics Department, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA

<sup>c</sup> Mathematics Department, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 August 2016 Available online 28 February 2017 Submitted by P. Exner

Keywords: Eigenvalues Maslov index Morse index Schrödinger operators

#### ABSTRACT

We discuss a definition of the Maslov index for Lagrangian pairs on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  based on spectral flow, and develop many of its salient properties. We provide two applications to illustrate how our approach leads to a straightforward analysis of the relationship between the Maslov index and the Morse index for Schrödinger operators on [0, 1]and  $\mathbb{R}$ .

@ 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

With origins in the work of V.P. Maslov [16] and subsequent development by V.I. Arnol'd [1], the Maslov index on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is a tool for determining the nature of intersections between two evolving Lagrangian subspaces (see Definition 1.1). As discussed in [6], several equivalent definitions are available, and we focus on a definition for Lagrangian pairs based on the development in [4] (using the definition of spectral flow introduced in [17]). We note at the outset that the theory associated with the Maslov index has now been extended well beyond the simple setting of our analysis (see, for example, [4,7,8,10]); nonetheless, the Maslov index for Lagrangian pairs on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is a useful tool, and a systematic development of its properties is certainly warranted.

As a starting point, we define what we will mean by a Lagrangian subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** We say  $\ell \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is a Lagrangian subspace if  $\ell$  has dimension n and

 $(Jx, y)_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} = 0,$ 

for all  $x, y \in \ell$ . Here,  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$  denotes Euclidean inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , and

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2017.02.022 0022-247X/© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.





CrossMark

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* phoward@math.tamu.edu (P. Howard), latushkiny@missouri.edu (Y. Latushkin), alimsukh@iu.edu (A. Sukhtayev).

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $I_n$  the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. We sometimes adopt standard notation for symplectic forms,  $\omega(x, y) = (Jx, y)_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$ . Finally, we denote by  $\Lambda(n)$  the collection of all Lagrangian subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , and we will refer to this as the Lagrangian Grassmannian.

A simple example, important for intuition, is the case n = 1, for which  $(Jx, y)_{\mathbb{R}^2} = 0$  if and only if x and y are linearly dependent. In this case, we see that any line through the origin is a Lagrangian subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . As a foreshadowing of further discussion, we note that each such Lagrangian subspace can be identified with precisely two points on the unit circle  $S^1$ .

More generally, any Lagrangian subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  can be spanned by a choice of n linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . We will generally find it convenient to collect these n vectors as the columns of a  $2n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{X}$ , which we will refer to as a *frame* for  $\ell$ . Moreover, we will often write  $\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$ , where X and Y are  $n \times n$  matrices.

Given any two Lagrangian subspaces  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$ , with associated frames  $\mathbf{X}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{X}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , we can define the complex  $n \times n$  matrix

$$\tilde{W} = -(X_1 + iY_1)(X_1 - iY_1)^{-1}(X_2 - iY_2)(X_2 + iY_2)^{-1},$$
(1.1)

which we will see in Section 3 is unitary. (We will also verify in Section 3 that  $(X_1 - iY_1)$  and  $X_2 + iY_2$  are both invertible, and that  $\tilde{W}$  is independent of the choice of frames we take for  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$ .) Notice that if we switch the roles of  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  then  $\tilde{W}$  will be replaced by  $\tilde{W}^{-1}$ , and since  $\tilde{W}$  is unitary this is  $\tilde{W}^*$ . We conclude that the eigenvalues in the switched case will be complex conjugates of those in the original case.

**Remark 1.2.** We use the tilde to distinguish the  $n \times n$  complex-valued matrix  $\tilde{W}$  from the Souriau map (see equation (3.8) below), which is a related  $2n \times 2n$  matrix often—as here—denoted W. The general form of  $\tilde{W}$  appears in a less general context in [9,12]. For the special case  $\mathbf{X}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{pmatrix}$  (associated, for example, with Dirichlet boundary conditions for a Sturm–Liouville eigenvalue problem) we see that

$$\tilde{W} = (X_1 + iY_1)(X_1 - iY_1)^{-1}, \tag{1.2}$$

which has been extensively studied, perhaps most systematically in [2] (particularly Chapter 10). If we let  $\tilde{W}_D$  denote (1.2) for  $\mathbf{X}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{pmatrix}$  and for j = 1, 2 set

$$\tilde{W}_{i} = (X_{i} + iY_{i})(X_{i} - iY_{i})^{-1},$$

then our form for  $\tilde{W}$  can be viewed as the composition map

$$-\tilde{W}_1 \tilde{W}_D (\tilde{W}_2 \tilde{W}_D)^{-1} = -\tilde{W}_1 (\tilde{W}_2)^{-1}.$$
(1.3)

For a related observation regarding the Souriau map see Remark 3.3.

Combining observations from Sections 2 and 3, we will establish the following theorem (cf. Lemma 1.3 in [4]).

**Theorem 1.3.** Suppose  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  are Lagrangian subspaces, with respective frames  $\mathbf{X}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{X}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , and let  $\tilde{W}$  be as defined in (1.1). Then

$$\dim \ker(W+I) = \dim(\ell_1 \cap \ell_2).$$

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5774994

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5774994

Daneshyari.com