Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Discrete Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

Double-critical graph conjecture for claw-free graphs

Martin Rolek, Zi-Xia Song*

Department of Mathematics, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 October 2016 Received in revised form 28 February 2017 Accepted 2 March 2017 Available online 30 March 2017

Keywords: Vertex coloring Double-critical graphs Claw-free graphs

ABSTRACT

A connected graph *G* with chromatic number *t* is *double-critical* if $G \setminus \{x, y\}$ is (t - 2)colorable for each edge $xy \in E(G)$. The complete graphs are the only known examples of
double-critical graphs. A long-standing conjecture of Erdős and Lovász from 1966, which
is referred to as the *Double-Critical Graph Conjecture*, states that there are no other doublecritical graphs. That is, if a graph *G* with chromatic number *t* is double-critical, then *G* is the
complete graph on *t* vertices. This has been verified for $t \le 5$, but remains open for $t \ge 6$. In
this paper, we first prove that if *G* is a non-complete, double-critical graph with chromatic
number $t \ge 6$, then no vertex of degree t + 1 is adjacent to a vertex of degree t + 1, t + 2,
or t + 3 in *G*. We then use this result to show that the Double-Critical Graph Conjecture is
true for double-critical graphs *G* with chromatic number $t \le 8$ if *G* is claw-free.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier B.V.

1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite and without loops or multiple edges. For a graph *G*, we will use V(G) to denote the vertex set, E(G) the edge set, e(G) the number of edges, $\alpha(G)$ the independence number, $\omega(G)$ the clique number, $\chi(G)$ the chromatic number, and \overline{G} the complement of *G*. For a vertex $x \in V(G)$, we will use $N_G(x)$ to denote the set of vertices in *G* which are adjacent to *x*. We define $N_G[x] = N_G(x) \cup \{x\}$ and $d_G(x) = |N_G(x)|$. Given vertex sets $A, B \subseteq V(G)$, we say that *A* is complete to (resp. anti-complete to) *B* if for every $a \in A$ and every $b \in B$, $ab \in E(G)$ (resp. $ab \notin E(G)$). The subgraph of *G* induced by *A*, denoted G[A], is the graph with vertex set *A* and edge set $\{xy \in E(G) : x, y \in A\}$. We denote by $B \setminus A$ the set $B - A, e_G(A, B)$ the number of edges between *A* and *B* in *G*, and $G \setminus A$ the subgraph of *G* induced on $V(G) \setminus A$, respectively. If $A = \{a\}$, we simply write $B \setminus a, e_G(a, B)$, and $G \setminus a$, respectively. A graph *H* is an *induced subgraph* of a graph *G* if $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and H = G[V(H)]. A graph *G* is claw-free if *G* does not contain $K_{1,3}$ as an induced subgraph. Given two graphs *G* and *H*, the *union* of *G* and *H*, we may (with a slight but common abuse of notation) write G = H. A cycle with $t \geq 3$ vertices is denoted by C_t . Throughout this paper, a proper vertex coloring of a graph *G* with *k* colors is called a *k*-coloring of *G*.

In 1966, the following conjecture of Lovász was published by Erdős [6] and is known as the Erdős–Lovász Tihany Conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. For any integers $s, t \ge 2$ and any graph G with $\omega(G) < \chi(G) = s + t - 1$, there exist disjoint subgraphs G_1 and G_2 of G such that $\chi(G_1) \ge s$ and $\chi(G_2) \ge t$.

To date, Conjecture 1.1 has been shown to be true only for values of $(s, t) \in \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 3), (3, 4), (3, 5)\}$. The case (2, 2) is trivial. The case (2, 3) was shown by Brown and Jung in 1969 [3]. Mozhan [10] and Stiebitz [14] each independently showed the case (2, 4) in 1987. The cases (3, 3), (3, 4), and (3, 5) were also settled by Stiebitz in 1987 [15].

* Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: mrolek@knights.ucf.edu (M. Rolek), Zixia.Song@ucf.edu (Z.-X. Song).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2017.03.005 0012-365X/© 2017 Published by Elsevier B.V.





CrossMark

Recent work on the Erdős–Lovász Tihany Conjecture has focused on proving the conjecture for certain classes of graphs. Kostochka and Stiebitz [9] showed the conjecture holds for line graphs. Balogh, Kostochka, Prince, and Stiebitz [2] then showed that the conjecture holds for all quasi-line graphs and all graphs *G* with $\alpha(G) = 2$. More recently, Chudnovsky, Fradkin, and Plumettaz [4] proved the following slight weakening of Conjecture 1.1 for claw-free graphs, the proof of which is long and relies heavily on the structure theorem for claw-free graphs developed by Chudnovsky and Seymour [5].

Theorem 1.2. Let *G* be a claw-free graph with $\chi(G) > \omega(G)$. Then there exists a clique *K* with $|V(K)| \le 5$ such that $\chi(G \setminus V(K)) > \chi(G) - |V(K)|$.

The most recent result related to the Erdős–Lovász Tihany Conjecture is due to Stiebitz [13], who showed that for integers $s, t \ge 2$, any graph G with $\omega(G) < \chi(G) = s + t - 1$ contains disjoint subgraphs G_1 and G_2 of G with either $\chi(G_1) \ge s$ and $\operatorname{col}(G_2) \ge t$, or $\operatorname{col}(G_1) \ge s$ and $\chi(G_2) \ge t$, where $\operatorname{col}(H)$ denotes the coloring number of a graph H.

If we restrict s = 2 in Conjecture 1.1, then the Erdős–Lovász Tihany Conjecture states that for any graph *G* with $\chi(G) > \omega(G) \ge 2$, there exists an edge $xy \in E(G)$ such that $\chi(G \setminus \{x, y\}) \ge \chi(G) - 1$. To prove this special case of Conjecture 1.1, suppose for a contradiction that no such edge exists. Then $\chi(G \setminus \{x, y\}) = \chi(G) - 2$ for every edge $xy \in E(G)$. This motivates the definition of double-critical graphs. A connected graph *G* is double-critical if for every edge $xy \in E(G)$, $\chi(G \setminus \{x, y\}) = \chi(G) - 2$. A graph *G* is *t*-chromatic if $\chi(G) = t$. We are now ready to state the following conjecture, which is referred to as the Double-Critical Graph Conjecture, due to Erdős and Lovász [6].

Conjecture 1.3. Let G be a double-critical, t-chromatic graph. Then $G = K_t$.

Since Conjecture 1.3 is a special case of Conjecture 1.1, it has been settled in the affirmative for $t \le 5$ [10,14], for line graphs [9], and for quasi-line graphs and graphs with independence number two [2]. Representing a weakening of Conjecture 1.3, Kawarabayashi, Pedersen, and Toft [8] have shown that any double-critical, *t*-chromatic graph contains K_t as a minor for $t \in \{6, 7\}$. As a further weakening, Pedersen [11] showed that any double-critical, 8-chromatic graph contains K_8^- as a minor. Albar and Gonçalves [1] later proved that any double-critical, 8-chromatic graph contains K_8^- as a minor. Albar and Gonçalves [1] later proved that any double-critical, 8-chromatic graph contains K_8 as a minor. Their proof is computer-assisted. The present authors [12] gave a computer-free proof of the same result and further showed that any double-critical, *t*-chromatic graph contains K_9 as a minor for all $t \ge 9$. We note here that Theorem 1.2 does not completely settle Conjecture 1.3 for all claw-free graphs. Recently, Huang and Yu [7] proved that the only double-critical, 6-chromatic, claw-free graph is K_6 . We prove the following main results in this paper. Theorem 1.4 is a generalization of a result obtained in [8] that no two vertices of degree t + 1 are adjacent in any non-complete, double-critical, *t*-chromatic graph.

Theorem 1.4. If G is a non-complete, double-critical, t-chromatic graph with $t \ge 6$, then for any vertex $x \in V(G)$ with $d_G(x) = t + 1$, the following hold:

- (a) $e(\overline{G[N_G(x)]}) \ge 8$; and
- (b) for any vertex $y \in N_G(x)$, $d_G(y) \ge t + 4$. Furthermore, if $d_G(y) = t + 4$, then $|N_G(x) \cap N_G(y)| = t 2$ and $\overline{G[N_G(x)]}$ contains either only one cycle, which is isomorphic to C_8 , or exactly two cycles, each of which is isomorphic to C_5 .

Corollary 1.5 follows immediately from Theorem 1.4.

Corollary 1.5. If G is a non-complete, double-critical, t-chromatic graph with $t \ge 6$, then no vertex of degree t + 1 is adjacent to a vertex of degree t + 1, t + 2, or t + 3 in G.

We then use Corollary 1.5 to prove the following main result.

Theorem 1.6. Let G be a double-critical, t-chromatic graph with $t \in \{6, 7, 8\}$. If G is claw-free, then $G = K_t$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first list some known properties of non-complete, doublecritical graphs obtained in [8] and then establish a few new ones. In particular, Lemma 2.4 turns out to be very useful. Our new lemmas lead to a very short proof of Theorem 1.6 for t = 6, 7, which we place at the end of Section 2. We prove the remainder of our main results in Section 3.

2. Preliminaries

The following is a summary of the basic properties of non-complete, double-critical graphs shown by Kawarabayashi, Pedersen, and Toft in [8].

Proposition 2.1. If G is a non-complete, double-critical, t-chromatic graph, then all of the following are true.

- (a) G does not contain K_{t-1} as a subgraph.
- (b) For all edges xy, every (t 2)-coloring $c : V(G) \setminus \{x, y\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., t 2\}$ of $G \setminus \{x, y\}$, and any non-empty sequence $j_1, j_2, ..., j_i$ of i different colors from $\{1, 2, ..., t 2\}$, there is a path of order i + 2 with vertices $x, v_1, v_2, ..., v_i$, y in order such that $c(v_k) = j_k$ for all $k \in \{1, 2, ..., i\}$.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5776917

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5776917

Daneshyari.com