



Degree sum conditions for vertex-disjoint cycles passing through specified vertices



Shuya Chiba^{a,*}, Tomoki Yamashita^b

^a Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Advanced Science and Technology, Kumamoto University, 2-39-1 Kurokami, Kumamoto 860-8555, Japan

^b Department of Mathematics, Kindai University, 3-4-1 Kowakae, Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 February 2016

Received in revised form 8 December 2016

Accepted 12 December 2016

Keywords:

Vertex-disjoint cycles

Partitions

Degree conditions

Specified vertex subsets

ABSTRACT

Let k be a positive integer, and let G be a graph of order $n \geq 3k$ and S be a set of k vertices of G . In this paper, we prove that if $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + k - 1 + \Delta(G[S])$, then G can be partitioned into k vertex-disjoint cycles C_1, \dots, C_{k-1}, C_k such that $|V(C_i) \cap S| = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $|V(C_i)| = 3$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1 - \Delta(G[S])$ and $|V(C_i)| \leq 4$ for $k - \Delta(G[S]) \leq i \leq k - 1$, where $\sigma_2(G)$ denotes the minimum degree sum of two non-adjacent vertices in G and $\Delta(G[S])$ denotes the maximum degree of the subgraph of G induced by S . This is a common generalization of the results obtained by Dong (2010) and Chiba et al. (2010), respectively. In order to show the main theorem, we further give other related results concerning the degree conditions for the existence of k vertex-disjoint cycles in which each cycle contains a vertex in a specified vertex subset.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider finite simple graphs, which have neither loops nor multiple edges. For terminology and notation not defined in this paper, we refer the readers to [5]. Let G be a graph. We denote by $V(G)$, $E(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ the vertex set, the edge set and the maximum degree of G , respectively. We write $|G|$ for the order of G , that is, $|G| = |V(G)|$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, we denote by $G[S]$ the subgraph induced by S , and let $G - S = G[V(G) \setminus S]$. We often identify a subgraph H of G with its vertex set $V(H)$. For example, we write $G - H$ instead of $G - V(H)$ for a subgraph H of G . We denote by $d_G(v)$ and $N_G(v)$ the degree and the neighborhood of a vertex v in G . The invariant $\sigma_2(G)$ is defined to be the minimum degree sum of two non-adjacent vertices of G , i.e., $\sigma_2(G) = \min\{d_G(u) + d_G(v) : u, v \in V(G), u \neq v, uv \notin E(G)\}$ if G is not complete; otherwise, let $\sigma_2(G) = +\infty$. In this paper, “partition” of a graph always means a partition of the vertex set.

In 1960, Ore [10] proved that every graph G of order $n \geq 3$ with $\sigma_2(G) \geq n$ is hamiltonian, which is well known in graph theory. As a generalization of this, we can consider σ_2 conditions for graphs to be partitioned into k vertex-disjoint cycles. In fact, Brandt, Chen, Faudree, Gould and Lesniak [1] proved that Ore’s condition also implies that a graph G can be partitioned into k vertex-disjoint cycles, and such problems have been extensively studied in graph theory (for example, see [2,4,8,9,11]). In this paper, we consider σ_2 conditions for graphs to be partitioned into k vertex-disjoint cycles in which each cycle contains a vertex in a specified vertex subset.

In 2000, Egawa, Faudree, Györi, Ishigami, Schelp and Wang proved the following result, which is also a generalization of Ore’s classical theorem. (They actually gave a stronger result by considering the existence of $k (\geq 2)$ vertex-disjoint cycles passing through “specified edges”, see [7] for more details.) Here, for a set S of k vertices of a graph G , we say that $m (\leq k)$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: schiba@kumamoto-u.ac.jp (S. Chiba), yamashita@math.kindai.ac.jp (T. Yamashita).

cycles C_1, \dots, C_m in G are *admissible with respect to S* if they are vertex-disjoint and $|V(C_i) \cap S| = 1$ for each i with $1 \leq i \leq m$. We simply say that C_1, \dots, C_m are admissible if there is no fear of confusion.

Theorem A (Egawa et al. [7]). *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 1$, and let G be a graph of order $n \geq 4k - 1$ and S be a set of k vertices of G . If $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + 2k - 2$, then G can be partitioned into k admissible cycles, i.e., G contains k admissible cycles C_1, \dots, C_k satisfying $V(G) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} V(C_i)$.*

Later, Dong (2010) showed that the σ_2 condition in [Theorem A](#) also guarantees the existence of admissible cycles with “short” lengths except at most one cycle.

Theorem B (Dong [6]). *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 1$, and let G be a graph of order $n \geq 3k$ and S be a set of k vertices of G . If $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + 2k - 2$, then G can be partitioned into k admissible cycles C_1, \dots, C_{k-1}, C_k such that $|C_i| \leq 4$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.*

On the other hand, Chiba, Egawa and Yoshimoto (2010) considered the case where the specified set S is independent (i.e., $G[S]$ is edgeless), and they showed that “ $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + 2k - 2$ ” and “ $|C_i| \leq 4$ ” in [Theorem B](#) can be replaced by “ $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + k - 1$ ” and “ $|C_i| = 3$ ”, respectively.

Theorem C (Chiba, Egawa and Yoshimoto [3]). *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 1$, and let G be a graph of order $n \geq 3k$ and S be a set of k independent vertices of G . If $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + k - 1$, then G can be partitioned into k admissible cycles C_1, \dots, C_{k-1}, C_k such that $|C_i| = 3$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.*

The purpose of this paper is to prove a result which is a common generalization of [Theorems B](#) and [C](#). Specifically, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 1$, and let G be a graph of order $n \geq 3k$ and S be a set of k vertices of G . If $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + k - 1 + \Delta(G[S])$, then G can be partitioned into k admissible cycles C_1, \dots, C_{k-1}, C_k such that $|C_i| = 3$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1 - \Delta(G[S])$, and $|C_i| \leq 4$ for $k - \Delta(G[S]) \leq i \leq k - 1$.*

Since $\Delta(G[S]) \leq k - 1$, [Theorem 1](#) immediately implies [Theorem B](#). Since $\Delta(G[S]) = 0$ if and only if S is independent, [Theorem 1](#) also implies [Theorem C](#). Therefore, [Theorem 1](#) is stronger than [Theorems B](#) and [C](#).

In order to show [Theorem 1](#), we actually consider appropriate degree conditions guaranteeing the existence of k admissible cycles that partition a graph (see [Corollary 4](#)). For a graph G of order $n \geq k \geq 1$ and a vertex subset S of G with $|S| = k$, we now consider the following degree sum conditions (D1), (D2) and (D3), and give the following two results ([Theorems 2](#) and [3](#)).

(D1) $d_{G-(S \setminus \{s\})}(s) + d_G(v) \geq n + k - 1$ for $s \in S$ and $v \in V(G - S)$ with $sv \notin E(G)$.

(D2) $d_G(u) + d_G(v) \geq n + k - 1$ for $u, v \in V(G - S)$ with $u \neq v$ and $uv \notin E(G)$.

(D3) $d_{G-(S \setminus \{s\})}(s) + d_{G-(S \setminus \{s'\})}(s') \geq n - (k - 1) + 2t - 1$ for $s, s' \in S$ with $s \neq s'$ and $ss' \notin E(G)$, where t is an integer with $0 \leq t \leq k - 1$.

The first one is a result concerning the existence of k admissible cycles with short lengths.

Theorem 2. *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 1$, and let G be a graph of order $n \geq 3k$ and S be a set of k vertices of G . Furthermore, let t be an integer with $0 \leq t \leq k - 1 - \Delta(G[S])$. If G satisfies (D1), (D2) and (D3), then G contains k admissible cycles C_1, \dots, C_k such that $|C_i| = 3$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, and $|C_i| \leq 4$ for $t + 1 \leq i \leq k$.*

The next result shows that the collection of the cycles in [Theorem 2](#) can be transformed into a partition.

Theorem 3. *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 1$, and let G be a graph of order $n \geq 3k$ and S be a set of k vertices of G . Furthermore, let t be an integer with $0 \leq t \leq k - 1$. Suppose that there exist k admissible cycles $C'_1, \dots, C'_{k-1}, C'_k$ such that $|C'_i| = 3$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, and $|C'_i| \leq 4$ for $t + 1 \leq i \leq k$. If G satisfies (D1) and (D2), then G can be partitioned into k admissible cycles C_1, \dots, C_{k-1}, C_k such that $|C_i| = 3$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, and $|C_i| \leq 4$ for $t + 1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.*

As an immediate corollary of [Theorems 2](#) and [3](#), we can get the following.

Corollary 4. *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 1$, and let G be a graph of order $n \geq 3k$ and S be a set of k vertices of G . Furthermore, let t be an integer with $0 \leq t \leq k - 1 - \Delta(G[S])$. If G satisfies (D1), (D2) and (D3), then G can be partitioned into k admissible cycles C_1, \dots, C_{k-1}, C_k such that $|C_i| = 3$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, and $|C_i| \leq 4$ for $t + 1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.*

The degree conditions in [Corollary 4](#) are best possible in some sense (see [Remarks 1](#) and [2](#) in [Section 2](#)), and hence [Corollary 4](#) shows that the appropriate degree conditions imply the existence of k admissible cycles with short lengths. In addition, the condition “ $t \leq k - 1 - \Delta(G[S])$ ” cannot be replaced by “ $t \leq k - 1$ ” (see [Remark 3](#) in [Section 2](#)).

Note that if $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + k - 1 + \Delta(G[S])$, then it is easy to check that G satisfies (D1), (D2) and (D3) for $t \leq k - 1 - \Delta(G[S])$, and hence we can obtain [Theorem 1](#) by applying [Corollary 4](#) as $t = k - 1 - \Delta(G[S])$. Therefore, it suffices to show that [Corollary 4](#) holds, i.e., we have only to prove [Theorems 2](#) and [3](#). To show the two theorems, in [Section 2](#), we give two technical results ([Theorems 5](#) and [6](#)) and give other corollaries concerning the existence of k admissible cycles by using the two results. We will summarize our overall results in [Section 2](#) (see [Table 1](#)).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5776948>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5776948>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)