

# On packing spanning arborescences with matroid constraint

Quentin Fortier <sup>a,1</sup> Csaba Király <sup>b,2</sup> Zoltán Szigeti <sup>a,3</sup>  
Shin-ichi Tanigawa <sup>c,4</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Univ. Grenoble Alpes, G-SCOP, Grenoble, France*

<sup>b</sup> *ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary*

<sup>c</sup> *RIMS, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, Japan and  
Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica (CWI), Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

---

## Abstract

Let us be given a rooted digraph  $D = (V + s, A)$  with a designated root vertex  $s$ . Edmonds' seminal result [3] states that  $D$  has a packing of  $k$  spanning  $s$ -arborescences if and only if  $D$  has a packing of  $k$   $(s, t)$ -paths for all  $t \in V$ , where a packing means arc-disjoint subgraphs.

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a matroid on the set of arcs leaving  $s$ . A packing of  $(s, t)$ -paths is called  $\mathcal{M}$ -based if their arcs leaving  $s$  form a base of  $\mathcal{M}$  while a packing of  $s$ -arborescences is called  $\mathcal{M}$ -based if, for all  $t \in V$ , the packing of  $(s, t)$ -paths provided by the arborescences is  $\mathcal{M}$ -based. Durand de Gevigney, Nguyen and Szigeti proved in [2] that  $D$  has an  $\mathcal{M}$ -based packing of  $s$ -arborescences if and only if  $D$  has an  $\mathcal{M}$ -based packing of  $(s, t)$ -paths for all  $t \in V$ . Bérczi and Frank conjectured that this statement can be strengthened in the sense of Edmonds' theorem such that each  $s$ -arborescence is required to be spanning. Specifically, they conjectured that  $D$  has an  $\mathcal{M}$ -based packing of spanning  $s$ -arborescences if and only if  $D$  has an  $\mathcal{M}$ -based packing of  $(s, t)$ -paths for all  $t \in V$ .

We disprove this conjecture in its general form and we prove that the corresponding decision problem is NP-complete. However, we prove that the conjecture

holds for several fundamental classes of matroids, such as graphic matroids and transversal matroids.

**Keywords:** connectivity, packing arborescences, Edmonds' branching theorem, matroid

---

## 1 Introduction

Packing arborescences, or more generally, packing problems concerning connectivity in directed graphs are fundamental subjects in combinatorial optimization. Here, by packing subgraphs in a directed graph, we mean a set of arc-disjoint subgraphs. The question of reachability is one of the basics in the area of connectivity in digraphs. Suppose that we are given a **rooted digraph**, i.e. a digraph  $D = (V + s, A)$  with a designated root vertex  $s$ . Let  $S$  be the set of vertices reachable from  $s$  in  $D$ . The definition of the reachability says that, for each  $t \in S$ ,  $D$  has an  $(s, t)$ -path, which certifies that  $t$  belongs indeed to  $S$ . Now, consider storing such certificates for all vertices in  $S$ . Then storing an  $s$ -arborescence on  $S$  would be the most compact way for keeping all the certificates simultaneously.

To extend this idea to a more general setting, suppose that  $D$  has a packing of  $k$   $(s, t)$ -paths from  $s$  to each vertex  $t$  in  $V$ , and suppose that we want to provide a certificate that  $D$  has indeed such a property. Then the most compact certificate would be to exhibit  $k$  arc-disjoint spanning  $s$ -arborescences in  $D$ . The following fundamental theorem of Edmonds [3] claims that such a compact certificate always exists.

**Theorem 1.1 ([3])** *There exists a packing of  $k$  spanning  $s$ -arborescences in a rooted digraph  $D = (V + s, A)$  if and only if there exists a packing of  $k$   $(s, t)$ -paths in  $D$  for every  $t \in V$ .  $\square$*

The problem of packing  $k$   $(s, t)$ -paths is equivalent to asking whether one can send  $k$  distinct commodities from  $s$  to  $t$  by assuming that each arc can transmit at most one commodity. Then what happens if commodities have a more involved independence structure? Here we are interested in a situation that each arc from the root can be used to transmit only a particular commodity, and we would like to know whether every vertex can receive a sufficient

---

<sup>1</sup> Email: [quentin.fortier@grenoble-inp.fr](mailto:quentin.fortier@grenoble-inp.fr)

<sup>2</sup> Email: [cskiraly@cs.elte.hu](mailto:cskiraly@cs.elte.hu)

<sup>3</sup> Email: [zoltan.szigeti@grenoble-inp.fr](mailto:zoltan.szigeti@grenoble-inp.fr)

<sup>4</sup> Email: [tanigawa@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:tanigawa@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5777107>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5777107>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)