



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Combinatorial Theory,
Series B

www.elsevier.com/locate/jctb



Multicolour Ramsey numbers of odd cycles



A. Nicholas Day, J. Robert Johnson

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London,
London E1 4NS, UK*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 February 2016

Available online 16 January 2017

Keywords:

Ramsey numbers

Odd cycles

Edge colourings

ABSTRACT

We show that for any positive integer r there exists an integer k and a k -colouring of the edges of K_{2^k+1} with no monochromatic odd cycle of length less than r . This makes progress on a problem of Erdős and Graham and answers a question of Chung. We use these colourings to give new lower bounds on the k -colour Ramsey number of the odd cycle and prove that, for all odd r and all k sufficiently large, there exists a constant $\epsilon = \epsilon(r) > 0$ such that $R_k(C_r) > (r-1)(2+\epsilon)^{k-1}$.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this paper all *colourings* of a graph G will refer to colourings of the edges of G . The *odd girth* of G , written $\text{og}(G)$, is the length of the shortest odd cycle in G . Given a colouring \mathcal{C} of G we say the odd girth of \mathcal{C} , written $\text{og}(\mathcal{C})$, is the length of the shortest monochromatic odd cycle found in \mathcal{C} . It is a simple exercise to see that it is possible to k -colour the complete graph K_{2^k} such that each colour comprises a bipartite graph. Moreover, such colourings only exist for K_n if $n \leq 2^k$. Indeed, consider labelling each vertex of K_n with a binary vector of length k , where the i th coordinate of the label given to a vertex is determined by which side of the bipartition of colour i the vertex lies in. All

E-mail address: a.n.day@qmul.ac.uk (A.N. Day).

vertices of K_n must receive distinct labels, and so $n \leq 2^k$. It follows that any k -colouring of K_{2^k+1} must contain a monochromatic odd cycle. Based on this observation, Erdős and Graham [4] asked the following question:

Question 1. *How large can the smallest monochromatic odd cycle in a k -colouring of K_{2^k+1} be?*

Moreover, Chung [3] asked further whether or not this quantity is unbounded as k increases. In this paper we show that the size of the least odd cycle that must appear is indeed unbounded.

Theorem 2. *For all positive integers r there exists an integer k and a k -colouring of K_{2^k+1} with odd girth at least r .*

The proof of [Theorem 2](#) can be found in [Section 2](#). From a quantitative perspective, our proof of [Theorem 2](#) will show that there exist k -colourings of K_{2^k+1} with odd girth at least $2^{\sqrt{2 \log_2(k) - c}}$ for some constant c . This result is a consequence of [Corollary 6](#) which can be found at the end of [Section 2](#).

For a graph H , the k -colour Ramsey number $R_k(H)$ is defined as the least integer n such that every k -colouring of K_n contains a monochromatic copy of H . We say that a colouring of a graph G is H -free if it contains no monochromatic copy of H . Erdős and Graham [4] showed that

$$R_k(C_r) \geq (r-1)2^{k-1} + 1 \tag{1}$$

whenever $r \geq 3$ is an odd integer. The construction used to show this is as follows: When $k = 1$ simply take a 1-colouring of K_{r-1} , for $k > 1$ take two disjoint copies of the construction for $k - 1$ and colour every edge between the two copies with a new colour. This construction led Bondy and Erdős [2] to make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3. (Bondy, Erdős) *Equality holds in (1) for all odd integers $r > 3$.*

In this paper we disprove [Conjecture 3](#) by using the result of [Theorem 2](#) to construct colourings that give new lower bounds for $R_k(C_r)$ whenever r is an odd integer and k is sufficiently large.

Theorem 4. *For all odd integers r there exists a constant $\epsilon = \epsilon(r) > 0$ such that, for all k sufficiently large, $R_k(C_r) > (r-1)(2+\epsilon)^{k-1}$.*

The proof of [Theorem 4](#) can be found in [Section 3](#). We remark that [Theorem 4](#) can not be used to say anything about the behaviour of $R_k(C_r)$ when k is fixed and r is increasing. Bondy and Erdős [2] showed that their conjecture holds for all r when $k = 2$. For $k = 3$, Łuczak [9] employed the regularity method to prove that Bondy and Erdős's

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5777621>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5777621>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)