



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Combinatorial Theory,
Series B

www.elsevier.com/locate/jctb



Notes

When does the list-coloring function of a graph
equal its chromatic polynomial ☆Wei Wang^{a,b}, Jianguo Qian^{a,*}, Zhidan Yan^b^a School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, PR China^b College of Information Engineering, Tarim University, Alar 843300, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 25 April 2016

Available online xxx

Keywords:

List coloring

Broken cycle

Chromatic polynomial

ABSTRACT

Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges. Using Whitney's broken cycle theorem, we prove that if $k > \frac{m-1}{\ln(1+\sqrt{2})} \approx 1.135(m-1)$ then for every k -list assignment L of G , the number of L -colorings of G is at least that of ordinary k -colorings of G . This improves previous results of Donner (1992) and Thomassen (2009), who proved the result for k sufficiently large and $k > n^{10}$, respectively.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier Inc.

1. Introduction

For a positive integer k , a k -list assignment of a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ is a mapping L which assigns to each vertex v a set $L(v)$ of k permissible colors. Given a k -list assignment L , an L -list-coloring, or L -coloring for short, is a mapping $c: V(G) \rightarrow \cup_{v \in V(G)} L(v)$ such that $c(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex v , and $c(u) \neq c(v)$ for any two adjacent vertices u and v . The notion of list coloring was introduced by Vizing [6] as well as by Erdős, Rubin and Taylor [3].

☆ Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11471273 and 11561058.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jgqian@xmu.edu.cn (J. Qian).

For a k -list assignment L , we use $P(G, L)$ to denote the number of L -colorings of G and, moreover, we use $P_l(G, k)$ to denote the minimum value of $P(G, L)$ over all k -list assignments L of G . We note that, if $L(v) = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ for all vertices $v \in V(G)$, then an L -coloring is exactly an ordinary k -coloring [5] and therefore, $P(G, L)$ agrees with the classic *chromatic polynomial* $P(G, k)$ introduced by Birkhoff [1] in 1912. In this sense, $P_l(G, k)$ is an analogue of the chromatic polynomial. However, it was shown that $P_l(G, k)$ is in general not a polynomial [2], answering the problem of Kostochka and Sidorenko [4]. Following [5], we call $P_l(G, k)$ the *list-coloring function* of G . This leads to an interesting question: ‘When does the list-coloring function $P_l(G, x)$ equal the chromatic polynomial $P(G, x)$ evaluated at k ’. In [4] Kostochka and Sidorenko observed that if G is a chordal graph then $P_l(G, k) = P(G, k)$ for any positive integer k . For a general graph G , Donner [2] and Thomassen [5] proved that $P_l(G, k) = P(G, k)$ when k is sufficiently large. More specifically, Thomassen proved that $P_l(G, k) = P(G, k)$ provided $k > |V(G)|^{10}$.

In this note, we use Whitney’s broken cycle theorem to prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *For any connected graph G with m edges, if*

$$k > \frac{m-1}{\ln(1+\sqrt{2})} \approx 1.135(m-1) \quad (1)$$

then $P_l(G, k) = P(G, k)$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let G be a connected graph G with n vertices and m edges. Note that if $m \leq 1$ then G is K_1 or K_2 and Theorem 1 trivially holds. In what follows we assume $m \geq 2$ and, for the convenience of discussion, we label these m edges by $1, 2, \dots, m$.

A *broken cycle* of G is a set of edges obtained from the edge set of a cycle of G by removing its maximum edge. Define a set system

$$\mathcal{B}(G) = \{S: S \subseteq E(G) \text{ and } S \text{ contains no broken cycle}\}. \quad (2)$$

Such a system is also called a broken circuit complex; see [8] for details. We note that any cycle contains at least one broken cycle. So for each $S \in \mathcal{B}(G)$, the spanning subgraph $(V(G), S)$ (the graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set S) contains no cycles and hence $|S| \leq n - 1$. We write

$$\mathcal{B}(G) = \mathcal{B}_0(G) \cup \mathcal{B}_1(G) \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{B}_{n-1}(G), \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{B}_i(G) = \{S \in \mathcal{B}(G): |S| = i\}$. Note that for any $S \in \mathcal{B}_i(G)$, the subgraph $(V(G), S)$ has exactly $n - i$ components, all of which are trees. Now Whitney’s broken cycle theorem can be stated as follows.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5777668>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5777668>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)