



# The non-existence of a regular maximal connected expansion of the reals



A. Kalapodi<sup>a</sup>, V. Tzannes<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Research Academic Computer Technology Institute, University Campus of Patras, Patras 26504, Greece

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Patras, Patras 26504, Greece

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 9 June 2016

Received in revised form 6 January 2017

Accepted 31 August 2017

Available online 6 September 2017

### MSC:

primary 54D05, 54D35

### Keywords:

Regular maximal connected

Submaximal

Nearly maximal connected

## ABSTRACT

We prove that there is no regular maximal connected expansion of the Euclidean topology  $\varepsilon$  on the set  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers. For this, we first consider a Hausdorff connected submaximal space  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  with  $\varepsilon \subseteq \tau$  and then, with the aid of the filter of the  $\tau$ -dense sets, we define two specific expansions  $\sigma, \tau^*$  of  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $\tau^* \subseteq \sigma, \tau^* \subseteq \tau$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \sigma)$  is submaximal. We prove that if  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  is in addition nearly maximal connected, then  $\sigma = \tau^*$ . Finally we prove that  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  cannot be regular.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In [3], El'kin posed the question whether there exists a regular maximal connected space whose topology is finer than the Euclidean topology  $\varepsilon$  on the set  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers. The same problem is mentioned by Pavlov ([12], Question 5) where many similar problems are presented in detail. Maximal connected spaces are studied in [2–11] and [13]. We note that the spaces constructed in [5] and [13] are Hausdorff maximal connected whose topologies are finer than  $\varepsilon$ .

**Definition 1.1.** A Hausdorff space  $(X, \tau)$  is called:

- (1) *Maximal connected*, if every topology strictly finer than  $\tau$  is not connected.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: kalapodi@cti.gr (A. Kalapodi), tzannes@math.upatras.gr (V. Tzannes).

- (2) *Nearly maximal connected* ([2]), if  $(X, \tau)$  is connected and for all regular open sets  $V$  and for all  $x$  in the boundary of  $V$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U_1$  of  $x$  and an open non-empty set  $U_2$  such that  $U_1 \cap V \cap U_2 = \emptyset$  and  $U_2 \cup \{x\}$  is closed.
- (3) *Submaximal*, if every dense subset is open.

Let  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  with  $\varepsilon \subseteq \tau$  and let  $O$  be a  $\tau$ -open neighborhood of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . We set  $O^- = (-\infty, x) \cap O$  and  $O^+ = (x, +\infty) \cap O$ . As it is proved in [2], a connected space is maximal connected if and only if it is submaximal and nearly maximal connected. This result, in case of maximal connected space  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  with  $\varepsilon \subseteq \tau$ , implies that if  $U$  is a  $\tau$ -open set such that  $U \subseteq (x, +\infty)$  (resp.  $U \subseteq (-\infty, x)$ ) and  $x$  is a  $\tau$ -accumulation point of  $U$ , then  $\{x\} \cup U$  is a  $\tau$ -open neighborhood of  $x$  in the subspace  $[x, +\infty)$  (resp.  $(-\infty, x]$ ). It also implies that every connected set in  $\varepsilon$  remains connected in  $\tau$  ([6], [7]). Obviously (by [2]) a regular maximal connected space is Hausdorff maximal connected.

In order to prove that there does not exist a regular maximal connected space whose topology is finer than  $\varepsilon$ , we first consider a Hausdorff connected submaximal space  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  with  $\varepsilon \subseteq \tau$ . Using the filter of  $\tau$ -dense sets, we define two specific expansions  $\sigma, \tau^*$  of  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $\tau^* \subseteq \sigma, \tau^* \subseteq \tau$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \sigma)$  is submaximal. Then, if in addition  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  is nearly maximal connected (hence  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  is Hausdorff maximal connected), we prove that  $\sigma = \tau^*$ . Finally, if  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  is in addition regular, we prove that this leads to a contradiction.

## 2. The spaces $(\mathbb{R}, \sigma)$ and $(\mathbb{R}, \tau^*)$

Let  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  be a Hausdorff connected submaximal space with  $\varepsilon \subseteq \tau$ . The set  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\tau$ -dense sets is a maximal filter on this set. Obviously  $\mathcal{L}$  is a filter on the set of  $\varepsilon$ -dense sets. We expand the filter  $\mathcal{L}$  to a maximal filter  $\mathcal{D}$  on the set of  $\varepsilon$ -dense sets and we set  $\sigma = \langle \varepsilon \cup \mathcal{D} \rangle$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *The space  $(\mathbb{R}, \sigma)$  has the following properties:*

- (1)  $\varepsilon \subseteq \sigma$ .
- (2) *It is Hausdorff connected submaximal.*
- (3) *Every  $\tau$ -dense set is  $\sigma$ -dense.*
- (4) *Every  $\tau$ -closed and  $\tau$ -discrete set is  $\sigma$ -closed and  $\sigma$ -discrete.*
- (5) *If  $D$  is  $\sigma$ -dense, then the set  $\text{Int}_\tau D$  is also  $\sigma$ -dense.*

**Proof.** (1) It is obvious.

- (2) The topology  $\sigma$  is obtained by adjoining a maximal filter  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\varepsilon$ -dense sets, hence  $(\mathbb{R}, \sigma)$  is Hausdorff connected submaximal ([1], [5]).
- (3) It follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ .
- (4) Let  $M$  be a  $\tau$ -closed and  $\tau$ -discrete set. Since  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  is connected, no point of  $M$  or of  $\mathbb{R} \setminus M$  is  $\tau$ -isolated. Hence the set  $\mathbb{R} \setminus M$  is  $\tau$ -open and  $\tau$ -dense. By the previous property (3), the set  $\mathbb{R} \setminus M$  is  $\sigma$ -dense and  $\sigma$ -open because  $(\mathbb{R}, \sigma)$  is submaximal. Therefore  $M$  is  $\sigma$ -closed and  $\sigma$ -discrete.
- (5) First we observe that if  $\text{Int}_\tau D = \emptyset$  then  $D$  is  $\tau$ -closed and  $\tau$ -discrete, hence by the previous property (4) it is also  $\sigma$ -closed and  $\sigma$ -discrete. Since the set  $\mathbb{R} \setminus D$  is also  $\sigma$ -closed and  $\sigma$ -discrete it follows that the space  $(\mathbb{R}, \sigma)$  is discrete, which is impossible because it is connected. Hence  $\text{Int}_\tau D \neq \emptyset$ . The set  $D \setminus \text{Int}_\tau D$  is  $\tau$ -closed and  $\tau$ -discrete. Again, by property (4), it is also  $\sigma$ -closed and  $\sigma$ -discrete. Hence the set  $(D \setminus \text{Int}_\tau D) \cup (\mathbb{R} \setminus D)$  is  $\sigma$ -closed and  $\sigma$ -discrete. Therefore the set  $\text{Int}_\tau D$  is  $\sigma$ -dense.  $\square$

Although the topologies  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  are not comparable, we can define another topology  $\tau^*$  which is weaker than both of them. We observe at first that the set  $\text{Int}_\tau \mathcal{D} = \{\text{Int}_\tau D \mid D \text{ is } \sigma\text{-dense}\}$  is a base for a topology consisting of sets  $\sigma$ -dense,  $\varepsilon$ -dense and  $\tau$ -open. Obviously  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \text{Int}_\tau \mathcal{D}$ . We set  $\tau^* = \langle \varepsilon \cup \text{Int}_\tau \mathcal{D} \rangle$ .

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5777693>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5777693>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)