



Virtual Special Issue – Proceedings of the First Pan-Pacific International Conference on Topology and Applications (PPICTA)

Genera and minors of multibranch surfaces



Shosaku Matsuzaki^a, Makoto Ozawa^{b,*}

^a Faculty of Engineering, Takushoku University, Tatemachi 815-1, Hachioji-shi, Tokyo, 193-0985, Japan

^b Department of Natural Sciences, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Komazawa University, 1-23-1 Komazawa, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, 154-8525, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 31 March 2016
 Received in revised form 22 September 2016
 Accepted 22 September 2016
 Available online 24 August 2017

MSC:
 57Q35
 57N35

Keywords:

CW complex
 Multibranch surface
 Genus
 Minor
 Heegaard genus
 Intrinsically knotted
 Intrinsically linked
 Obstruction set

ABSTRACT

We say that a 2-dimensional CW complex is a *multibranch surface* if we remove all points whose open neighborhoods are homeomorphic to the 2-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^2 , then we obtain a 1-dimensional complex which is homeomorphic to a disjoint union of some S^1 's. We define the genus of a multibranch surface X as the minimum number of genera of 3-dimensional manifold into which X can be embedded. We prove some inequalities which give upper bounds for the genus of a multibranch surface. A multibranch surface is a generalization of graphs. Therefore, we can define “minors” of multibranch surfaces analogously. We study various properties of the minors of multibranch surfaces.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

It is a fundamental problem to determine whether or not there exists an embedding from a topological space into another one. The Menger–Nöbeling theorem ([4, Theorem 1.11.4.]) shows that any finite 2-dimensional CW complex can be embedded into the 5-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^5 . This is a best possible result since for example, the union of all 2-faces of a 6-simplex cannot be embedded in \mathbb{R}^4 ([4, 1.11.F]). But, if the subspace of a finite 2-dimensional CW complex consisting of all points which do not have an open neighborhood homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 is a possibly disconnected 1-dimensional manifold, then the CW complex can be embedded into \mathbb{R}^4 (Proposition 2.3). We call such CW complexes *multibranch surfaces*, and moreover obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for a multibranch surface to be embeddable

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: smatsuz@ner.takushoku-u.ac.jp (S. Matsuzaki), w3c@komazawa-u.ac.jp (M. Ozawa).

into some closed orientable 3-dimensional manifold (Proposition 2.7). This is a starting point to study such multibranch surfaces via 3-dimensional manifolds.

In this paper, we introduce the *genus* of such a multibranch surface as the minimal Heegaard genus of 3-dimensional manifolds into which the multibranch surface can be embedded. In section 3, we will give some upper bounds for the genus of a multibranch surface (Theorems 3.5, 3.6). In section 4, we will describe the first homology groups of multibranch surfaces, and calculate for some examples. It can be used to determine whether or not a multibranch surface can be embedded into the 3-sphere. This constructively explains more details of the calculation by using the determinant of some matrix in [5]. In [5], we also studied the criticality of a multibranch surface for the 3-sphere S^3 and have given some critical multibranch surfaces for S^3 . As the Kuratowski's theorem ([11]) characterized the 2-sphere S^2 by means of the obstruction set of graphs, it might be possible to characterize a closed 3-dimensional manifold by means of some obstruction set of multibranch surfaces.

In Graph Theory, Robertson and Seymour introduced the minor theory which gives a most important structure on the set of graphs. Since we can regard a graph as a 1-dimensional multibranch manifold, it would be natural to consider a similar minor theory for multibranch surfaces. In Section 5, we will define the minor for multibranch surfaces and introduce some intrinsic properties which are minor closed. Thus we arrive at the obstruction set for those intrinsic properties, and give some examples which belong to the obstruction set. And also we define the neighborhood minor for multibranch surfaces. The neighborhood minor sets a preorder on the set of multibranch surfaces, and behaves well on some basic operations (Proposition 5.14). In particular, if X is a neighborhood minor of Y , then the genus of X is less than or equal to that of Y .

To summarize this paper, we have found a well-behaved class of 2-dimensional CW complexes (which we call *regular multibranch surfaces*) and derived an invariant of regular multibranch surfaces from the Heegaard genus of 3-dimensional manifolds which is known to be the most fundamental invariant of 3-dimensional manifolds. In the future, we expect some characterization of each 3-dimensional manifold by means of the obstruction set of regular multibranch surfaces like as the Kuratowski's theorem.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Multibranch surfaces

Let \mathbb{R}_+^n be the upper half space $\{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n | x_n \geq 0\}$ of n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . The quotient space obtained from i copies of \mathbb{R}_+^n by identifying with their boundaries, $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n | x_n = 0\}$, is denoted by S_i^n . We note that S_2^n is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n . See Fig. 1.

Definition 2.1. An n -dimensional CW complex X is an *n -dimensional multibranch manifold* if for every point $x \in X$ there exist a positive integer i and an open neighborhood U of x such that U is homeomorphic to S_i^n .

We call a 2-dimensional multibranch manifold a *multibranch surface*. In this paper, we consider multibranch surfaces which are constructed by gluing some compact 2-dimensional manifolds into their boundaries.

We prepare a closed 1-dimensional manifold L , a compact 2-dimensional manifold E and a continuous map $\phi : \partial E \rightarrow L$ satisfying the following conditions.

1. For every connected component e of E , $\partial e \neq \emptyset$.
2. For every connected component c of ∂E , the restriction $\phi|_c : c \rightarrow \phi(c)$ is a covering map.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5777799>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5777799>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)