



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Advances in Mathematics

www.elsevier.com/locate/aim



# The structure of the free boundary in the fully nonlinear thin obstacle problem <sup>☆</sup>

Xavier Ros-Oton <sup>a,\*</sup>, Joaquim Serra <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *The University of Texas at Austin, Department of Mathematics, 2515 Speedway, Austin, TX 78751, USA*

<sup>b</sup> *Weierstraß Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik, Mohrenstrasse 39, 10117 Berlin, Germany*

## ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:*

Received 25 January 2017

Received in revised form 27 May 2017

Accepted 20 June 2017

Available online xxxx

Communicated by Ovidiu Savin

*MSC:*

35R35

35J60

*Keywords:*

Thin obstacle problem

Fully nonlinear

Signorini problem

## ABSTRACT

We study the regularity of the free boundary in the fully nonlinear thin obstacle problem. Our main result establishes that the free boundary is  $C^1$  near any regular point. This extends to the fully nonlinear setting the celebrated result of Athanasopoulos–Caffarelli–Salsa [1].

The proofs we present here are completely independent from those in [1], and do not rely on any monotonicity formula. Furthermore, an interesting and novel feature of our proofs is that we establish the regularity of the free boundary without classifying blow-ups, a priori they could be non-homogeneous and/or non-unique. We do not classify blow-ups but only prove that they are 1D on  $\{x_n = 0\}$ .

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Inc.

<sup>☆</sup> XR was supported by NSF grant DMS-1565186. Both authors were supported by MINECO grant MTM2014-52402-C3-1-P (Spain).

\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* [ros.oton@math.utexas.edu](mailto:ros.oton@math.utexas.edu) (X. Ros-Oton), [joaquim.serra@upc.edu](mailto:joaquim.serra@upc.edu) (J. Serra).

### 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the regularity of free boundaries in thin obstacle problems.

#### 1.1. Known results

The first regularity results for thin obstacle problems were already established in the seventies by Lewy [21], Frehse [13], Caffarelli [5], and Kinderlehrer [17]. In particular, for the Laplacian  $\Delta$ , it was proved in [5] that solutions are  $C^{1,\alpha}$ , for some small  $\alpha > 0$ .

The regularity of free boundaries, however, was an open problem during almost 30 years. One of the main difficulties in the understanding of free boundaries in thin obstacle problems is that there is not an a priori preferred order at which the solution detaches from the obstacle (blow-ups may have different homogeneities), as explained next.

In the classical (thick) obstacle problem it is not difficult to show that

$$0 < cr^2 \leq \sup_{B_r(x_0)} u \leq Cr^2 \tag{1.1}$$

at all free boundary points  $x_0$ , where  $u$  is the solution of the problem (after subtracting the obstacle  $\varphi$ ). Then, thanks to this, the blow-up sequence  $u(x_0 + rx)/r^2$  converges to a global solution  $u_0$ , and such solutions  $u_0$  can be shown to be convex and completely classified; see [4,6,7] and also [14,20].

The situation is quite different in thin obstacle problems, in which one does not have (1.1). This was resolved for the first time in Athanassopoulos–Caffarelli–Salsa [1], by using *Almgren’s frequency function*. Thanks to this powerful tool, one may take the blow-up sequence

$$\frac{u(x_0 + rx)}{\left(\int_{\partial B_r(x_0)} u^2\right)^{1/2}},$$

and it converges to a *homogeneous* function  $u_0$  of degree  $\mu$ , for some  $\mu > 1$ . Then, by analyzing an eigenvalue problem on  $S^{n-1}$ , one can prove that

$$\mu < 2 \quad \implies \quad \mu = \frac{3}{2},$$

and for  $\mu = \frac{3}{2}$  one can completely classify blow-ups. This leads to the optimal  $C^{1,\frac{1}{2}}$  regularity of solutions and, using also a boundary Harnack inequality in “slit” domains, to the  $C^{1,\alpha}$  regularity of the free boundary near *regular points*—those at which  $\mu < 2$ .

The main result of [1] may be summarized as follows: if  $u$  solves the thin obstacle problem for the Laplacian  $\Delta$  and with zero obstacle, then for each free boundary point  $x_0$  one has:

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5778547>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5778547>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)