


# Accepted Manuscript

Optimization of laboratory illumination in optical dating

Reza Sohbaty, Andrew Murray, Lars Lindvold, Jan-Pieter Buylaert, Mayank Jain



PII: S1871-1014(16)30160-1

DOI: [10.1016/j.quageo.2017.02.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2017.02.010)

Reference: QUAGEO 831

To appear in: *Quaternary Geochronology*

Received Date: 31 October 2016

Revised Date: 10 February 2017

Accepted Date: 21 February 2017

Please cite this article as: Sohbaty, R., Murray, A., Lindvold, L., Buylaert, J.-P., Jain, M., Optimization of laboratory illumination in optical dating, *Quaternary Geochronology* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.quageo.2017.02.010.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# Optimization of laboratory illumination in optical dating

Reza Sohbati<sup>1,\*</sup>, Andrew Murray<sup>2</sup>, Lars Lindvold<sup>1</sup>, Jan-Pieter Buylaert<sup>1,2</sup>, Mayank Jain<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Nuclear Technologies, DTU Nutech, Technical University of Denmark, Roskilde

<sup>2</sup>Nordic Laboratory for Luminescence Dating, Department of Geoscience, Aarhus University

\*corresponding author: [resih@dtu.dk](mailto:resih@dtu.dk)

## Abstract

As part of the development of new laboratory lighting, we present a methodological approach applicable to the characterization of any light source intended for illumination in optical dating laboratories. We derive optical absorption cross-sections for quartz and feldspar from published data and compare these with the human eye response. The optimum peak wavelength giving the best clarity of non-dark adapted vision for the least trapped charge loss lies within the wavelength range 590 to 630 nm; we argue that it is unnecessary to consider dark-adapted vision. The predicted relative decay rates of quartz optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) and feldspar infrared stimulated luminescence (IRSL) signals by an incandescent light bulb and a compact fluorescent lamp (CFL) through an ILFORD 902 filter are first derived. These predicted decay rates are then compared with those of three relevant light-emitting diodes (LEDs); this comparison demonstrates the significant advantage of the LED sources over the filtered light sources, because essentially all of the reduction of both OSL and IRSL signals by the LEDs occurs at wavelengths to which the human eye is most sensitive. We conclude that exposure of quartz and feldspar extracts from various samples to the light from an LED with emission peak at 594 nm results in a  $\leq 1\%$  OSL or IRSL signal loss for a 48-hour exposure at a power density of  $\sim 0.2 \mu\text{W}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ .

**Keywords:** optical dating, laboratory illumination, optimization, LED

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5784996>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5784996>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)