Meat Science 97 (2014) 151-155

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Meat Science

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/meatsci

Irradiated vacuum-packed lamb meat stored under refrigeration: Microbiology, physicochemical stability and sensory acceptance



R.P. Fregonesi ^{a,*,1}, R.G. Portes ^a, A.M.M. Aguiar ^a, L.C. Figueira ^a, C.B. Gonçalves ^a, V. Arthur ^b, C.G. Lima ^c, A.M. Fernandes ^d, M.A. Trindade ^a

^a Department of Food Engineering, Faculty of Animal Sciences and Food Engineering, FZEA/USP, Pirassununga, Brazil

^b Center of Nuclear Energy in Agriculture, Superior School of Agriculture "Luiz de Queiroz", ESALQ/USP, Piracicaba, Brazil

^c Department of Basic Sciences, Faculty of Animal Sciences and Food Engineering, FZEA/USP, Pirassununga, Brazil

^d Department of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Animal Sciences and Food Engineering, FZEA/USP, Pirassununga, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29 August 2013 Received in revised form 22 January 2014 Accepted 31 January 2014 Available online 9 February 2014

Keywords: Gamma radiation Shelf life Lamb loin Spoilage microorganisms Meat color Sensory evaluation

ABSTRACT

Reducing spoilage and indicator bacteria is important for microbiological stability in meat and meat products. The objective was to evaluate the effect of different doses of gamma radiation on the shelf-life of lamb meat, vacuum-packed and stored under refrigeration, by assessing the microbiological safety, physicochemical stability and sensory quality. Lamb loin cuts (*Longissimus dorsi*) were irradiated with 1.5 kGy and 3.0 kGy. The samples, including control, were stored at 1 ± 1 °C during 56 days. Samples were analyzed on zero, 14, 28, 42 and 56 days by their microbiological and physicochemical characteristics. Sensory quality was carried out on day zero. The results showed a reduction (p < 0.05) in the microbial load of the irradiated samples. The acceptance of lamb loins was not affected (p > 0.05) by the radiation doses. Thus gamma irradiation at 3.0 kGy was effective in reducing the content of microorganisms, without harming the physicochemical characteristics evaluated.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The Brazilian agribusiness has great potential to develop lamb production due to the increasing demand for lamb meat (Leão et al., 2012). Despite a progressive increase in consumption, lamb meat is marketed mainly as frozen cuts, especially in the state of São Paulo, Brazil. To meet the increasing demand for convenience products, an alternative would be to offer the lamb meat as chilled cuts (Fernandes et al., 2012).

However, chilled meat has a much shorter shelf life than frozen meat, which could represent serious problems in product distribution. There are three main factors that reduce the shelf life of meat, including lamb. The most important is microbial growth, which can affect not only the color, but also the safety of the meat. The other two factors are the oxidative stress effects on myoglobin, which cause color deterioration and lipid oxidation, leading to rancidity. All these factors contribute to additional side effects, such as the formation of undesirable odors and flavors (Duong et al., 2008).

As an attempt to avoid those problems, gamma radiation can be applied to reduce the count of spoilage microorganisms and extend the shelf life of meat and meat products during refrigerated storage (Hui, 2001). Treating food using ionizing energy is a well-known process that focuses primarily on improvement of safety for a wide range of products, extending its useful life (Arvanitovannis, 2010; Diehl, 2002; Farkas, 1998, 2006; Stefanova, Toshkov, Vasilev, Vassilev, & Marekov, 2011). When biological materials are exposed to gamma irradiation, the atoms/molecules of the material eject electrons, producing ions and free radicals. Free radicals are produced when a molecule is split into two atoms each, retaining its respective electrons. They can damage DNA in fast growing cells (bacteria, fungi, insect eggs, parasite larvae and sprouting vegetables) causing defects in the genetic instructions. The effects of ionizing radiation on living organisms depend on the total dose absorbed, the rate of absorption and the environmental conditions (temperature, atmospheric gases) during irradiation (Brewer, 2004).

The advantages of ionizing radiation for food preservation include the high efficiency on bacterial inactivation, the unaltered chemical composition of the product and the significant thickness of the material, which can be treated after packing in containers (Lawrie & Ledward, 2006; Zhou, Xu, & Liu, 2010). According to the International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods (ICMSF, 1986), the limit for total microbial count for cuts of meat is 10⁷ CFU·g⁻¹, since



^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: raulfregonesi@usp.br (R.P. Fregonesi).

¹ Rua Silva Jardim, 812, apto 34. Centro, Araras, CEP: 13600-739 SP, Brazil. Tel.: +55 19 9121 3575.

^{0309-1740/\$ -} see front matter © 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2014.01.026

higher microbial loads lead to sensory loss due to off-flavors, off-odors and slime. Thus, the application of this technique in vacuum packed meats has been reported by several authors (Ahn et al., 2004; Houser, Sebranek, & Lonergan, 2003; Jo, Lee, & Ahn, 1999; Krízek, Matejková, Vácha, & Dadáková, 2012; Lacroix, Smoragiewicz, Jobin, Latreille, & Krzystyniak, 2000, Zhu, Mendonca, & Ahn, 2004) using doses ranging from zero to 20 kGy in meat and meat products.

According to Aymerich, Picouet, and Monfort (2008), radiation pasteurization (radurization), which refers to the inactivation of non-spore bacteria, with a low absorbed dose requirement (1–10 kGy) is appropriate for foods, including meats. A maximum dosage of 10 kGy represents a low amount of energy (equivalent to that needed to raise water temperature by 2.4 °C); this is why the technology is considered nonthermal, thus preserving the freshness and the nutritional quality of the meat and meat products when compared with thermal methods (Ahn et al., 2004; Aymerich et al., 2008).

The aim of this study was to investigate how different low-doses of gamma radiation affected the shelf life of the vacuum-packed lamb meat when stored under refrigeration, assessing its microbiological and physicochemical stability and sensory quality.

2. Material and methods

The lamb loin samples (*Longissimus dorsi*), weighing from 150 to 250 g, were obtained from a local slaughterhouse, following animal welfare standards and good manufacturing practices, established by the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Food Supply, Brazil (Brasil, 2003). The meat was acquired from various animals of the same breed, race and conditions of breeding and the samples were brought to the laboratory in coolers, packed with ice and took approximately 10 min.

All samples were individually vacuum-packed using 180×370 mm multilayer EVA/PVDC plastic bags; 48 to 62 µm thickness; O₂ permeability of 30 cm³·m⁻²·day (1 atm/23 °C/0% RU) and water steam permeability of 10 g·H₂O·m⁻²·day (1 atm/38 °C/90% RU) (model BB494, CRYOVAC, Jaguariuna, Brazil). The packed meats from all treatments were kept at 1 ± 1 °C for 56 days.

2.1. Irradiation process

The irradiation process was accomplished by a Cobalt-60 irradiator, multipurpose commercial compact, located at the Institute of Nuclear Energy Research (IPEN), in the city of São Paulo, Brazil. A dose rate of 12 kGy·h⁻¹ in static mode was used. Samples were packed side by side in coolers to minimize changes in temperature, during the process dosimeters were fixed in the front and back of the coolers. To ensure uniformity of irradiation, an inversion of 0°–180° was carried out. The treatments were zero (control), 1.5 and 3.0 kGy. These low doses were chosen in an attempt to eliminate/decrease microbial proliferation and cause less impact on the physicochemical characteristics and sensory acceptance as a goal at that moment.

2.2. Evaluation of the lamb loins

Microbiological, physicochemical and sensory parameters were evaluated, the three irradiation treatments were assessed at five storage intervals: zero, 14, 28, 42 and 56 days, except the control treatment, which was analyzed only until the 28th day of storage because of microbiological spoilage. Sensory analysis of the three treatments was evaluated only at the beginning of storage (day zero).

2.2.1. Microbiological analysis

The total count of anaerobic psychotropic microorganisms was performed according to Johnston and Tompkin (1992). The presence of *Salmonella* was identified using a rapid pre-enrichment method (AOAC 2003.09). *Staphylococcus aureus* was determined using the AOAC 2003.11 method. Coliforms at 45 °C was determined using the AOAC 998.08 method (Horwitz, Latimer, & Association of Official Analytical Chemistry – AOAC, 2007). Lactic acid bacteria were analyzed as described by Hall, Ledenbach, and Flowers (2001), Kennedy, Buckley, and Kerry (2004) and Lauzurica et al. (2005). Anaerobic mesophilic bacteria were determined as described by Brasil (2003).

2.2.2. Physical and chemical analyses

A portable colorimeter (HunterLab, MiniScan XE, Reston, USA) was used for measuring objective color using the L*, a* and b* scales of the CIELab system. A D65 illuminant was used at an observation angle of 10° and a cell opening of 30 mm. The readings were obtained at three different points, 30 min after the exposure of the samples to the atmosphere.

The pH was measured, in triplicate, using a pH meter (model HI-99163, Hanna Instruments, São Paulo, Brazil) with a combined electrode for perforation of meat. The samples used for both color and pH analyses were assessed for lipid oxidation using the thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) assay, according to Vyncke (1970). The results were expressed as milligrams of malonaldehyde (MDA) per kilogram of sample (mg·kg⁻¹).

The chemical composition of the samples was measured using the methodology of Horwitz et al. (2007) to measure moisture (950.46), mineral residue (ash) (920.153) and protein (981.10). The lipid content was determined as described by Bligh and Dyer (1959).

The cook loss (CL) was evaluated as described by Koohmaraie (1996). The samples were cooked using an electric oven at 180 $^{\circ}$ C until the internal (geometric center) temperature reached 72 $^{\circ}$ C. CL, as a percentage, was determined using the following equation:

$$CL = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\text{Initial weight} - \text{final weight}}{\text{Initial weight}} & 100. \end{bmatrix}$$

After cooking, the samples were cut parallel to the muscle fibers into ten pieces measuring $2 \times 1 \times 1$ cm. The forces required to shear these cuts, in kilograms, were determined using a Warner Bratzler texturometer.

2.2.3. Sensory analyses

Sixty-three consumers were recruited, students, professors and employees of the Faculty of Animal Sciences and Food Engineering, an enjoyment of lamb meat was the only selection criterion. The consumers read and signed a consent form before they performed the tests. An acceptance test using a 9-point hedonic scale for the consumption of cooked samples was performed to evaluate the sensory quality of the samples at time zero.

Tests were conducted in individual booths illuminated by white light, as described by Meilgaard, Civille, and Carr (1991). Samples were cooked, as described in Section 2.2.2, and were stored in an oven at 60 °C for up to 30 min. A randomized complete block design was used and the samples were served to the participants individually, inside disposable plastic cups coded with three-digit numbers. The panelists assessed the aroma, texture, juiciness, flavor and overall quality.

2.3. Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Analysis Software SAS Institute Inc., (2006). The studies were analyzed by contrast means in order to compare treatment groups. A classification of the following contrasts was performed:

Contrast 1

 $Y_1 = (\text{control}) \text{ vs} (\text{irradiated samples}), \text{ zero day until 28 days.}$

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5791928

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5791928

Daneshyari.com