Body conformation in Great Danes with and without clinical signs of cervical spondylomyelopathy

P. Martin-Vaquero [*],[1], R.C. da Costa

Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, Ohio State University, 601 Vernon L. Tharp Street, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA

ABSTRACT

It has been suggested that a combination of large head and long neck cause abnormal forces on the cervical vertebral column and are involved in the pathogenesis of cervical spondylomyelopathy (CSM) in Great Danes. The aim of this study was to compare the body conformation of 15 clinically normal and 15 CSM-affected Great Danes. There were no statistically significant differences between clinically normal and CSM-affected Great Danes in any body measurements. There were no significant associations between body conformation and the severity of neurological signs or cervical vertebral body dimensions determined by magnetic resonance imaging in CSM-affected Great Danes. The results of this study do not support the hypothesis that differences in body conformation related to head size, neck length, and body height and length, play a role in the pathogenesis of CSM in Great Danes.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The etiology of cervical spondylomyelopathy (CSM) in dogs is unknown, but is presumed to be multifactorial, with possible contributions from genetic, congenital and nutritional factors, fast growth rate, body conformation and abnormal forces on the cervical vertebral column (Wright et al., 1973; Hedhammar et al., 1974; Selcer and Oliver, 1975; Hazewinkel et al., 1985; Jaggy et al., 1988; Lewis, 1992; Burbidge et al., 1994, 1999; da Costa, 2010). Giant breeds of dogs are most often affected by osseous-associated CSM, in which there is absolute vertebral canal stenosis secondary to proliferation of the vertebral arch, articular processes and/or pedicles, causing spinal cord compression and foraminal stenosis (da Costa, 2010; Gutierrez-Quintana and Penderis, 2012).

There are reports of CSM in various giant breeds, including Great Danes, Bernese mountain dogs, Bull Mastiffs and Boerboel dogs (Wright et al., 1973; Raffe and Knecht, 1978; Olsson et al., 1982; Lewis, 1992; Gray et al., 2003; Eagleson et al., 2009). In the Great Dane, it has been suggested that a combination of large head, relatively long neck and rapid growth may cause abnormal forces on the cervical vertebral column and be involved in the pathogenesis of CSM (Wright et al., 1973). However, there are no previous objective investigations into the association of these factors with CSM in Great Danes.

Burbidge et al. (1994) correlated various body measurements in neurologically normal and CSM-affected Doberman Pinschers with the severity of neurological signs and radiographic abnormalities, but found no statistically significant associations. However, there are multiple differences in body size, age of affected dogs and type of compressive lesions in Doberman Pinschers and Great Danes with CSM (da Costa, 2010). Therefore, the results of a study investigating the possible role of body conformation in the pathogenesis of CSM in Doberman Pinschers should not be extrapolated to giant breed dogs.

Cervical spondylotic myelopathy is the most common cause of chronic compressive myelopathy in people >55 years of age and shares similarities with canine CSM (da Costa, 2010; Singh et al., 2012). Larger cervical vertebral bodies have been associated with an increased risk of this disease in people (Hukuda et al., 1996; Singh et al., 2012). The aim of this study was to compare the body conformation of clinically normal (control) Great Danes and Great Danes with CSM. In CSM-affected Great Danes, we also investigated whether body conformation was related with the severity of neurological signs or cervical vertebral body dimensions (length and height) as measured on magnetic resonance images (MRI).

Materials and methods

Study subjects

The study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines and with approval of The Ohio State University Clinical Research Advisory Committee and the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (approval number 2011A00000027). Written owner consent was obtained prior to study enrollment. Two groups of client-owned Great Danes were prospectively enrolled from April 2011 to October 2012. All dogs underwent complete blood counts, serum biochemical profiles, body measurements and MRI of the cervical vertebral column. The first group consisted of

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 649 023041.
E-mail address: paulagu83@gmail.com (P. Martin-Vaquero).
[1] Present address: Centro Médico Veterinario Delicias, C/Delicias 35, CP 28045 Madrid, Spain.

Fig. 1. Diagram depicting the body measurements obtained in each Great Dane. (A) Head length. (B) Head circumference. (C) Neck length. (D) Base width. (E) Height at the withers. (F) Back and loin length.

15 dogs that were defined as clinically normal (controls) based on a normal neurological examination and no history of neurological disease. Only dogs ≥1 year of age were eligible for enrollment as control dogs. The second group included 15 Great Danes exhibiting clinical signs and neurological examination findings consistent with CSM, with confirmation of the diagnosis by MRI.

Body measurements

Six body dimensions were measured for each dog using a soft fabric metric tape (Burbidge et al., 1994): (A) head length: distance from the occipital prominence to the tip of the nose; (B) head circumference: circumferential distance of the head at the level of the supraorbital prominences; (C) neck length: distance from the wing of the atlas to the greater humeral tubercle, measured in a neutral position; (D) base width: distance between the greater humeral tubercles (E) withers height: standing height from the dorsocranial point of the scapula to the ground; (F) back and loin length: distance from the dorsocranial point of the scapula to the level of the greater trochanter of the femur measured along the midline of the vertebral column (Fig. 1). The weight of each dog was also recorded.

Gait grading

A video (at least 2 min) of the gait of each CSM-affected dog was obtained at the time of study enrollment and used to assign a neurological grade to each CSM-affected Great Dane. Gait was graded for each thoracic and pelvic limb as follows: grade 0, normal limb; grade 1, abnormal use of the limb <40% of steps; grade 2, abnormal use of the limb for 40–70% of steps; and grade 3, abnormal use of the limb for >70% of steps. Signs of paresis (i.e., knuckling, scuffing, dragging) and general proprioceptive ataxia (inconsistent limb/foot placement) were considered to represent an abnormal use of the limb. If the grade assigned to the right and left thoracic limbs differed, the worse grade was used as the overall grading for that pair of limbs. The same process was followed for the pelvic limb gait grading. The thoracic limb grade (0–3) and the pelvic limb grade (0–3) were summed for each dog, producing an overall final gait grade of 0–6. For the purpose of determining statistical associations between body measurements and severity of neurological signs, dogs with gait grades of 1 and 2 were categorized as mild, those with grades of 3 and 4 were considered to be moderate and those with grades of 5 and 6 were categorized as severe.

Magnetic resonance imaging protocol and vertebral body measurements

MRI of the cervical vertebral column was performed under general anesthesia using a 3.0 Tesla magnet (Achieva 3.0 T, Philips Healthcare) and a surface coil (Achieva, Philips Healthcare). Dogs were positioned in dorsal recumbency with the head and neck in neutral position. Seven intervertebral spaces (cervical vertebrae C2-C3 through thoracic vertebrae T1-T2) were imaged in all dogs. For the purpose of this study, only sagittal T1-weighted images (TR 700 ms; TE 8 ms; field of view 30 cm; slice thickness 3 mm with no interslice interval) were used to obtain the vertebral body measurements. MRI measurements were obtained using software for medical imaging analysis (ClearCanvas Workstation, ClearCanvas Inc.). Mid-sagittal T1-weighted images were used to measure vertebral body length and vertebral body height from C3 to

C7 (Fig. 2). Vertebral body length was defined as the distance between the most dorsocranial and the most dorsocaudal point of the same vertebral body (De Decker et al., 2011a, 2011b). Vertebral body height was defined as the maximum height of the cranial aspect of the vertebral body determined by a line drawn parallel to the cranial vertebral endplate. Intra-observer agreement was calculated by repeating the vertebral body measurements three times in four randomly selected dogs (two controls, two CSM-affected) at least 1 week apart.

Data analysis

Linear regression analyses with adjustments for sex, age and weight were used to compare the body measurements between control and CSM-affected Great Danes, and to test if there was any difference between body dimensions and the severity of neurological signs, or between the body dimensions and vertebral body height and length in CSM-affected Great Danes. When investigating the association between body measurements and severity of signs, the severity of neurological signs based on gait grading was classified as mild, moderate or severe. Body measurements were compared among the three categories of severity (mild vs. moderate, mild vs. severe,

Fig. 2. Mid-sagittal T1-weighted magnetic resonance image illustrating the measurements obtained from cervical vertebrae C3 through C7, including (A) vertebral body length (distance between the most dorsocranial and the most dorsocaudal point of the same vertebral body); and (B) vertebral body height (maximum height of the cranial aspect of the vertebral body determined by a line drawn parallel to the cranial vertebral endplate).