Neuropharmacology xxx (2014) 1-10

FISEVIER

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

37

38

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Neuropharmacology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/neuropharm



56

66 67

68 69

70

71 72

73

74

75

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

Locomotor conditioning by amphetamine requires cyclin-dependent kinase 5 signaling in the nucleus accumbens

Bryan F. Singer ^{a, 1}, Nichole M. Neugebauer ^b, Justin Forneris ^b, Kelli R. Rodvelt ^b, Dongdong Li ^b, Nancy Bubula ^b, Paul Vezina ^{a, b, *}

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 March 2014 Received in revised form 16 May 2014 Accepted 18 May 2014 Available online xxx

Keywords: Conditioning Dendritic spines Psychostimulants Learning Memory Roscovitine Sensitization

ABSTRACT

Intermittent systemic exposure to psychostimulants leads to several forms of long-lasting behavioral plasticity including nonassociative sensitization and associative conditioning. In the nucleus accumbens (NAcc), the protein serine/threonine kinase cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (Cdk5) and its phosphorylation target, the guanine-nucleotide exchange factor kalirin-7 (Kal7), may contribute to the neuroadaptations underlying the formation of conditioned associations. Pharmacological inhibition of Cdk5 in the NAcc prevents the increases in dendritic spine density normally observed in this site following repeated cocaine. Mice lacking the Kal7 gene display similar effects. As increases in spine density may relate to the formation of associative memories and both Cdk5 and Kal7 regulate the generation of spines following repeated drug exposure, we hypothesized that either inhibiting Cdk5 or preventing its phosphorylation of Kal7 in the NAcc may prevent the induction of drug conditioning. In the present experiments, blockade in rats of NAcc Cdk5 activity with roscovitine (40 nmol/0.5 µl/side) prior to each of 4 injections of amphetamine (1.5 mg/kg; i.p.) prevented the accrual of contextual locomotor conditioning but spared the induction of locomotor sensitization as revealed on tests conducted one week later. Similarly, transient viral expression in the NAcc exclusively during amphetamine exposure of a threonine-alanine mutant form of Kal7 [mKal7(T1590A)] that is not phosphorylated by Cdk5 also prevented the accrual of contextual conditioning and spared the induction of sensitization. These results indicate that signaling via Cdk5 and Kal7 in the NAcc is necessary for the formation of context-drug associations, potentially through the modulation of dendritic spine dynamics in this site.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Repeated intermittent amphetamine exposure can lead to several forms of behavioral plasticity including associative conditioning and nonassociative sensitization. The formation of associative memories can link contextual stimuli to unconditioned drug effects allowing these cues to elicit drug-like excitatory conditioned responses as demonstrated by the locomotor activating effects of amphetamine and contexts previously paired with amphetamine (Stewart, 1992; Stewart and Vezina, 1988). In the case of

important to elucidate their underlying neuronal mechanisms.

sensitization, drug-evoked behavioral and neurochemical re-

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2014.05.033 0028-3908/© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Singer, B.F., et al., Locomotor conditioning by amphetamine requires cyclin-dependent kinase 5 signaling in the nucleus accumbens, Neuropharmacology (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2014.05.033

^a Committee on Neurobiology, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

b Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Neuroscience, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

sponses become exaggerated with successive infusions of the drug (Vezina, 2004). This form of plasticity accrues independent of association formation as demonstrated by the ability of amphetamine infusions into the ventral tegmental area (VTA) to produce sensitization in the absence of drug conditioning (Singer et al., 2009; Vezina and Stewart, 1990). Although associative conditioning and nonassociative sensitization reflect distinct processes, drug-paired and drug-unpaired environments can come to control the expression of sensitized responding (Anagnostaras and Robinson, 1996; Anagnostaras et al., 2002; Stewart and Vezina, 1988, 1991; Wang and Hsiao, 2003). As both of these forms of plasticity are known to regulate drug-related behaviors and have been linked, separately and together, to addiction vulnerability in humans and animal models (Vezina and Leyton, 2009; Leyton and Vezina, 2013), it is

^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Neuroscience, The University of Chicago, 5841 S. Maryland Avenue, MC 3077, Chicago, IL 60637, USA. Tel.: +1 773 702 2890; fax: +1 773 702 0857.

E-mail address: pvezina@yoda.bsd.uchicago.edu (P. Vezina).

¹ Current address: Department of Psychology, The University of Michigan, 530 Church Street, Ann Arbor, MI, 48109, USA.

67

68

73

75

76

77

78 79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92 93

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

49

50

51

52

53

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

example, mice with either Cdk5 knocked out (Hawasli et al., 2007) or subjected to transient p25 expression and elevated Cdk5 activity (Fischer et al., 2005) both show enhanced contextual fear conditioning with the latter effects possibly involving a Cdk5 substrate shift (Meyer et al., 2008). Selective Cdk5 knock-out in the NAcc has been reported to lower the threshold dose required for acquisition

Drugs of abuse are typically administered in the presence of a

large number of salient environmental stimuli, providing ample

opportunity for the formation of drug-stimulus associations and

the possibility for these associations to subsequently influence

responding. Morphological changes in dendritic spines have long

been thought to underlie aspects of this type of memory storage

and have been observed following learning, the induction of long-

term potentiation, and behavioral enrichment (Geinisman et al.,

2001; Lamprecht and LeDoux, 2004; Leuner et al., 2003). In the

nucleus accumbens (NAcc), exposure to sensitizing regimens of

systemic amphetamine injections produces long lasting increases

in dendritic spine density (Robinson and Kolb, 1997, 1999).

Considering that these are not observed following repeated in-

fusions of amphetamine into the VTA, it is likely that they reflect

associative drug conditioning rather than nonassociative sensiti-

zation (Singer et al., 2009). Consistent with this possibility, Marie

et al. (2012) showed that the development of cocaine CPP corre-

lates with increased dendritic spine density in the NAcc. Thus,

preventing these increases in NAcc dendritic spine density nor-

mally observed in rats exposed to systemic amphetamine would be

predicted to inhibit the development of conditioning while pre-

serving the induction of sensitization. This reasoning provided the

rationale for the present experiments to investigate the contribu-

tion to the induction of conditioning of proteins known to regulate

cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (Cdk5) and its phosphorylation target,

the guanine-nucleotide exchange factor kalirin-7 (Kal7), are

known amongst other actions to regulate cytoskeletal stability

related to dendritic spine formation and retraction (Penzes and

Jones, 2008; Xie et al., 2007) and have been implicated in drug-

induced spine proliferation in the NAcc. Preventing Cdk5 phos-

phorylation of Kal7 at its threonine 1590 (T1590) residue, for

example, reduces spine maturity (Xin et al., 2008). As predicted,

pharmacological inhibition of Cdk5 in the NAcc blocks cocaine-

induced increases in dendritic spine density in this site

(Norrholm et al., 2003) but enhances the induction of locomotor

sensitization (Bibb et al., 2001; Taylor et al., 2007). Similarly,

interfering with Kal7 function spares (Wang et al., 2013) or even

enhances (Kiraly et al., 2010) locomotor sensitization while pre-

venting the increases in dendritic spine density normally observed

in the NAcc following cocaine exposure. However, the link between

the actions of Cdk5 and Kal7 in the NAcc and the development of

hippocampus has been reported to block the acquisition of fear

conditioning (Fischer et al., 2002) and when applied to the baso-

lateral amygdala, to prevent the acquisition of cocaine CPP (Li et al.,

2010). However, its effect in the NAcc on the development of drug

conditioning has yet to be assessed and no experiments have yet

been conducted with amphetamine. Strategies using transgenic

mice or long-lasting viral-mediated gene transfer to target the NAcc

have yielded a number of different results regarding the functions

of Cdk5 and Kal7 in Pavlovian and instrumental conditioning. For

Pharmacological inhibition of Cdk5 in the lateral septum and

Two such proteins, the proline-directed serine/threonine kinase

dendritic spine dynamics.

drug conditioning is not clear.

of cocaine CPP (Benavides et al., 2007) while decreased acquisition of cocaine CPP has been shown in Kal7 knock-out mice (Kiraly et al., 2010). Reducing Kal7 in the NAcc with lentiviral delivery of Kal7

shRNA decreased incentive motivation but had no effect on the acquisition of cocaine self-administration (Wang et al., 2013) while

B.F. Singer et al. / Neuropharmacology xxx (2014) 1-10

Cdk5 knock-out mice show similarly unaffected acquisition of instrumental responding but enhanced incentive motivation (Benavides et al., 2007). However, regardless of the outcome, the results obtained in these latter experiments are difficult to interpret because, unlike in pharmacology studies, the Cdk5 and Kal7 manipulation strategies used did not distinguish between acquisition and expression of conditioning, making it difficult to ascertain whether the results obtained were due to effects on one, the other. or a combination of the two. As with sensitization (Vezina, 2004), different neuronal mechanisms underlie the acquisition and expression of excitatory conditioning (Aujla and Beninger, 2004; Banasikowski et al., 2010; Cervo and Samanin, 1995) and these may be differentially affected by changes in Cdk5 and Kal7. Thus, the roles played by Cdk5 and Kal7 in the NAcc specifically in the development of drug conditioning remain unclear.

The present experiments assessed the contribution of Cdk5 and Kal7 in the NAcc to the induction of amphetamine-induced locomotor conditioning. Locomotor sensitization was also assessed to control for potential contributions to non-associative plasticity. The approach used targeted induction specifically by pharmacologically inhibiting Cdk5 or using a transient viral infection system to express exclusively during amphetamine exposure a threonine--alanine mutant form of Kal7 (mKal7) that is not phosphorylated by Cdk5. Our results indicate that Cdk5 and Kal7 signaling in the NAcc is necessary for the induction of excitatory contextual drug conditioning, possibly through a pathway involving Cdk5 phosphorylation of Kal7.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Male Sprague-Dawley rats (Harlan Sprague-Dawley, Madison, WI) weighing 250-275 g on arrival were used. Rats were individually housed in a reverse cycle room (12-h light/12-h dark; lights on at 2000 h) with food and water available ad libitum. All procedures were performed during the dark phase of the light cycle. Following a 4-5 day acclimation period, all rats were anesthetized with a mix of ketamine (100 mg/kg, IP) and xylazine (10 mg/kg, IP), placed in a stereotaxic instrument with the incisor bar positioned 5.0 mm above the interaural line, and implanted with 22 gauge chronic bilateral guide cannulae angled at 10° to the vertical and aimed at the NAcc shell (A/P, +3.4; M/L, ± 0.8 ; DV, -7.5 mm from bregma and skull; as per the angled brain atlas of Pellegrino et al., 1979) with tips positioned 1 mm (for the roscovitine experiment) or 4 mm (for the HSV-mKal7 experiment) above the final injection site. The NAcc shell was targeted because previous studies of the effects of NAcc roscovitine examined this subnucleus (Norrholm et al., 2003; Taylor et al., 2007) and it is uniquely innervated by the ventral hippocampus, a structure known to process contextual information (Moses et al., 2002). The cannulae (Plastics One, Roanoke, VA) were imbedded in a dental cement cap secured by six screws fastened to the skull. After surgery, 28 gauge obturators were placed into the guide cannulae (either flush for the HSV-mKal7 experiment or protruding 1 mm beyond the guide cannula tips for the roscovitine experiment) and rats were returned to their home cage for 10-14 days of recovery. All surgical procedures were conducted using aseptic techniques according to an approved Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocol.

2.2. Locomotor testing chambers

A bank of 8 open field activity boxes (Med Associates, St. Albans, VT) was used to measure locomotor responding to saline and amphetamine. Each open field $(43.2 \times 43.2 \times 30.5 \text{ cm})$ was constructed of acrylic walls, a wire mesh floor, a removable Plexiglas top, and was fitted with a 16 \times 16 horizontal grid of infrared sensors positioned 3.5 cm above the floor. Separate interruptions of photocell beams were detected as ambulatory counts and recorded via an electrical interface by a computer situated in an adjacent room using Med Associates Open Field Activity Software (SOF-811).

2.3. Effect of inhibiting Cdk5 in the NAcc on the induction of locomotor conditioning and sensitization by amphetamine

2.3.1 Rehavioral procedures

In this experiment, rats were subjected to three phases: drug exposure, with-

The exposure phase used a discrimination learning paradigm that consisted of four 3-day conditioning blocks (Table 1). Injections were given on the first 2 days of each block (the first in the open field and the second in the home cage);

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5814417

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5814417

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>