ARTICLE IN PRESS

International Journal of Pharmaceutics xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Pharmaceutics



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijpharm

A proposed model membrane and test method for microneedle insertion studies

³ Q1 Eneko Larrañeta, Jessica Moore, Eva M. Vicente-Pérez, Patricia González-Vázquez,
⁴ Rebecca Lutton, A. David Woolfson, Ryan F. Donnelly*

5 Q2 Queens University, Belfast School of Pharmacy, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast BT9 7BL, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 April 2014 Accepted 26 May 2014 Available online xxx

Keywords: Microneedles Insertion tests Polymeric films Optical coherence tomography

ABSTRACT

A commercial polymeric film (Parafilm M⁴⁰, a blend of a hydrocarbon wax and a polyolefin) was evaluated as a model membrane for microneedle (MN) insertion studies. Polymeric MN arrays were inserted into Parafilm M⁴⁰ (PF) and also into excised neonatal porcine skin. Parafilm M⁴⁰ was folded before the insertions to closely approximate thickness of the excised skin. Insertion depths were evaluated using optical coherence tomography (OCT) using either a force applied by a Texture Analyser or by a group of human volunteers. The obtained insertion depths were, in general, slightly lower, especially for higher forces, for PF than for skin. However, this difference was not a large, being less than the 10% of the needle length. Therefore, all these data indicate that this model membrane could be a good alternative to biological tissue for MN insertion studies. As an alternative method to OCT, light microscopy was used to compare different MN formulations. The use of Parafilm M⁴⁰, in conjunction with a standardised force/time profile applied by a Texture Analyser, could provide the basis for a rapid MN quality control test suitable for in-process use. It could also be used as a comparative test of insertion efficiency between candidate MN formulations.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

1. Introduction

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Microneedle (MN) devices are composed of an array of micronsize needles. These systems are currently attracting great interest in transdermal drug delivery research (Chandrasekhar et al., 2013; Henry et al., 1998; Kim et al., 2012; Tuan-Mahmood et al., 2013). MN has the ability to pierce the outermost layer of the skin, the stratum corneum (SC) and create micro-conduits that can deliver drugs to the deeper layers of the skin from where they can be absorbed directly into the systemic circulation (Prausnitz, 2004).

Several key physical factors affect MN performance. These are: type of material, needle height, tip-radius, base diameter, needle geometry and needle density. The penetration depth and the fracture force of MN are determined by all these factors (Davis et al., 2004). Clearly, effective penetration of MN arrays into the skin is the primary pre-requisite for effective drug delivery. However, when developing and testing MN systems, it is apparent that there are limited techniques to evaluate this aspect. Most are based on the measurement of transepidermal water loss (TEWL) (Badran et al., 2009; Bal et al., 2008) or in the visualization of the micropores created after the application of a dye to the skin surface (Oh et al., 2008; Park et al., 2005; Verbaan et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2006). An alternative to these techniques is to take a biopsy of the MN pierced tissue and section it using histological techniques (Badran et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2006; Widera et al., 2006). In this latter case, the subsequent treatment of the skin could change the structure of the micropores. Previously, optical coherence tomography (OCT) has been demonstrated as a good option to evaluate the insertion of MN (Coulman et al., 2011; Donnelly et al., 2010). It is a non-invasive technique and, in addition to pore diameter, the penetration depth of the MN can be readily obtained.

MN insertion studies have typically been performed in biological tissue and this can present some disadvantages, in that tissue samples are often heterogeneous, unstable and difficult to obtain. In addition, the use of biological materials sometimes presents legal issues. Importantly, many of the reported methods, although valuable during the product development phase, are too complex to be suitable as a standard, routine quality control (QC) method for MN. Thus, for QC applications, it is desirable to overcome these limitations by using an artificial material in place

43

44

22

Please cite this article in press as: Larrañeta, E., et al., A proposed model membrane and test method for microneedle insertion studies, Int J Pharmaceut (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2014.05.042

^{*} Corresponding author at: Chair in Pharmaceutical Technology, School of Pharmacy, Queens University Belfast, Medical Biology Centre, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast BT9 7BL, United Kingdom. Tel.: +44 28 90 972 251; fax: +44 28 90 247 794. *E-mail address:* r.donnelly@qub.ac.uk (R.F. Donnelly).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2014.05.042 0378-5173/© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

2

45

47

51

52

57

70

E. Larrañeta et al. / International Journal of Pharmaceutics xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

of skin. Critically, this will allow the speed and repeatability of 46 experiments to be improved. There are many reports on the use of artificial membranes for drug diffusion studies generally 48 (Ng et al., 2012) and, specifically, for MN mediated transdermal 49 drug delivery (Donnelly et al., 2009; Garland et al., 2012; Zhang 50 et al., 2014). Artificial membranes are widely used for hypodermic needle mechanical testing and bench tests have been developed and standardised for this purpose (Vedrine et al., 2003). However, 53 to the best of our knowledge, studies on artificial membranes for 54 MN insertion or mechanical characterization are scarce (Hamilton, 55 2011; Koelmans et al., 2013; Muthu, 2007). The implementation of 56 an artificial membrane method for insertion studies can also provide valuable and important comparison tool between different 58 types of MN arrays.

59 In this work, we propose the use of a polymeric film as a model 60 for MN insertion studies. A comparative study between the 61 insertion of MN into this material and excised neonatal porcine 62 skin was carried out. OCT was used as a tool to evaluate the 63 insertion of MN inside the tissue, taking into account aspects such 64 as the insertion force. Additionally, the force that patients use to 65 apply MN arrays to their skin was evaluated. To the best of our 66 knowledge, there are no studies relating test conditions to actual 67 real life use of MN, in the context of skin insertion by patients, a key 68 factor in designing a reliable QC test method.

69 2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

71 Gantrez[®] S-97 (M_w = 1.2 × 10⁶), a copolymer obtained from the 72 free acid of methyl vinyl ether and maleic anhydride polymers, was 73 provided by Ashland (Tadworth, Surrey, UK). Poly(ethyleneglycol) 74 (PEG) 10,000 Da was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, Dorset, 75 UK). Parafilm $M^{\mathbb{R}}$, a flexible thermoplastic sheet (127 μ m 76 thickness) made of olefin-type material, was used as skin simulant 77 for insertion studies, was obtained from BRAND GMBH (Wertheim, 78 Germany). Deka[®] poly(urethane) needle testing foil was provided 79 by Melab GmbH (Leonberg, Germany).

80 2.2. Methods

81 2.2.1. Preparation of MN arrays

82 To fabricate MN, aqueous blends containing Gantrez® S-97 (20% 83 w/w) and PEG 10,000 (7.5% w/w) were micromoulded in laser-84 engineered silicone micromould templates, as previously 85 described (Donnelly et al., 2010, 2011; Migalska et al., 2011; 86 Garland et al., 2011; Singh et al., 2009, 2010). Three different MN 87 geometries were used (Table 1). Light microscope images of the 88 two main MN arrays used in this work can be seen in Fig. 1A. 89 Alternatively, two different formulations were used to prepare 90 either brittle (prepared by adding sodium carbonate 3.5% w/w to 91 the original formulation) or flexible (prepared by replacing PEG in 92 the original formulation with 10% w/w glycerine) MN arrays. In the 93 preparation of brittle and flexible formulations the MN was not 94 crosslinked (Donnelly et al., 2012a).

Table 1				
Physical properties of	the	different	MN	arrays.

MN per array	Height (µm)	Width at base (µm)	Interspacing at base (µm)
11 × 11	600	300	300
11×11	900	300	300
19 imes 19	600	300	50

The forces that 20 volunteers applied using their thumbs were

2.2.2. Human manual force measurements

measured using a TA.XTPlus Texture Analyser (Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, UK). The selected volunteers were 10 males and 10 females aged between 20 and 35 years. The volunteers were asked to apply the same force they would use to push an elevator button or to press a stamp onto an envelope, using their right thumb and a 30s application period, as shown in Fig. 1B. The Texture Analyser was used in tension mode to register the force curves. Three different parameters were determined from these curves: the maximum, minimum and average forces applied during this time interval (Fig. 1C).

2.2.3. Insertion of MN arrays

Full thickness neonatal porcine skin can be considered a good model for human skin in terms of hair sparseness and physical properties (Meyer, 1996). It was obtained from stillborn piglets and excised < 24.0 h after birth. Full thickness skin (\approx 0.5 mm) was then stored in aluminium foil at -20.0 °C until further use. Two sections of skin were placed together, with the dermal side contacting each other, such that the stratum corneum surface was exposed at either side, giving a total skin thickness of about 1 mm. This was then utilised for the OCT assessment of MN penetration.

As an alternative to neonatal porcine skin, Parafilm M[®] (PF) film and a needle testing polyurethane film were used as skin simulants. A sheet of Parafilm was folded to get an eight-layer film (\approx 1 mm thickness) and a poly(urethane) needle testing film (Deka[®]) was used as received (0.4 mm thickness). The skin/Parafilm[®] was then placed onto a sheet of expanded poly (ethylene) for support.

Two insertion methods were carried out: manual and Texture Analyser insertion. For manual insertion, different volunteers were recruited to apply the MN arrays following the same instructions as in the force measurement experiment. The Texture Analyser insertion was performed using a TA.XTPlus Texture Analyser (Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, UK) in compression mode. MN arrays were placed on the surface of the skin/artificial membrane and sticky tape (Office Depot, Boca Raton, USA) was carefully applied on the upper surface without applying force (Fig. 1D). The probe was lowered onto the skin/artificial membrane at a speed of 0.5 mm s⁻¹ until the required force was exerted. Forces were held for 30 s and varied from 10 N to 50 N per array. Once the target force was reached, the probe was moved upwards at a speed of $0.5 \,\mathrm{mm}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$.

2.2.4. Optical coherence tomography

Inserted MN arrays were immediately viewed using an EX1301 OCT Microscope (Michelson Diagnostics Ltd., Kent, UK). The sweptsource Fourier domain OCT system has a laser centre wavelength of 1305.0 ± 15.0 nm, facilitating real-time high-resolution imaging of the upper skin layers (7.5 µm lateral and 10.0 µm vertical resolution). The skin was scanned at a frame rate of up to 15 B-scans (2D cross-sectional scans) per second (scan width=2.0 mm). The 2D images were analysed using the imaging software ImageJ[®] (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA). The scale of the image files obtained was 1.0 pixel = $4.2 \,\mu$ m, thus allowing accurate measurements of the depth of MN penetration and the width of pore created. Three replicates were performed, and the insertion depths of 25 MN were measured in total.

2.2.5. MN insertion testing using light microscopy

153 MN arrays were inserted using a Texture Analyser, as described 154 above, into eight-layer folded PF sheets. In these cases, sticky tape 155 was not used. After the insertion, the MN arrays were removed 156 from the polymeric sheet. The PF was unfolded and the number of 157 holes in each layer was evaluated using a Leica EZ4 D digital

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

Please cite this article in press as: Larrañeta, E., et al., A proposed model membrane and test method for microneedle insertion studies, Int J Pharmaceut (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2014.05.042

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5819201

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5819201

Daneshyari.com