Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Hazardous Materials

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jhazmat



Leaching of contaminants from untreated pine bark in a batch study: Chemical analysis and ecotoxicological evaluation

Veronica Ribé*, Emma Nehrenheim, Monica Odlare, Sylvia Waara

School of Sustainable Development of Society and Technology, Mälardalen University, Box 883, SE-721 23 Västerås, Sweden

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 March 2008 Received in revised form 13 June 2008 Accepted 15 July 2008 Available online 23 July 2008

Keywords:
Phenols
Heavy metals
DOC
Desorption
Water treatment

ABSTRACT

Low cost sorbents have been widely studied in recent years in the search for filter materials that retain contaminants from water. One promising, low cost material is pine bark, a by-product from the forest industry. Many studies have shown that pine bark has great potential for the treatment of metals and organic substances, as a replacement for other commercial sorbents such as active carbon. However, some potential problems are introduced through the use of natural materials and by-products. One such problem that must be addressed is the possibility of leaching of contaminants from the filter material, especially in the initial filtration step or during flushes of lightly contaminated water, e.g. during rainfall for on-site treatment of storm water or landfill leachate. The aim of this preliminary study was therefore to identify potential risks and limitations of using pine bark as a filter material. Leachate from a standardized batch test was analysed for metals, dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and phenols. In addition to these chemical analyses, an ecotoxicological test was conducted using the test organism *Daphnia magna*. The results showed significant leaching of DOC and some metals. Only a small fraction of the DOC was present as phenols. The leachate was however found to be toxic to the test organism without pH adjustment, and the EC₅₀ was established at an approximate leachate concentration of 40%. This was concluded to be related to the low pH in the eluate, since no toxicity was observed after pH adjustment before the toxicity tests.

 $\hbox{@ 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.}$

1. Introduction

In recent years, low cost sorbents have been extensively studied by many researchers because of their promising ability to remove metals, nutrients (e.g. phosphorous) and organic compounds from contaminated water. There are many advantages with this technology, including low cost and low maintenance and space requirements [1,2]. Pine bark, a by-product from the forest industry, is particularly effective in removing metals from solution [3–6], storm water run off [7] and landfill leachate [1]. In addition to retaining metals, pine bark has also been shown to efficiently remove phosphorous [8], lindane [9], pentachlorphenol [10,11] and uranium [12] from water. However, one important problem with using pine bark as a filter is the release, or desorption, of contaminants from the unused filter material, particularly during the initial filtration phase. Some researchers have noted a discoloration of the leachate from treatment with pine bark and attributed this

to the leaching of phenols and organic acids from the material

The aim of the present study was to investigate the release of potentially hazardous substances from pine bark. A leaching test was performed to determine the release of several metals, dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and phenol. Toxicity of the leachate to *D. magna* was measured in acute toxicity tests, with and without pH adjustment of the leachate.

^{[13].} Phenol is produced during bacterial decomposition of organic material. Pure phenol shows acute toxicity to freshwater invertebrates, such as *Daphnia magna*, at concentrations ranging from 7 mg/l to 200 mg/l [14]. In addition to the release of organic substances, desorption of metals may also have negative effects on the surrounding environment [7]. An evaluation of these potential problems in using pine bark as a filter material is needed in order to ensure optimal performance of the technology and to maximize the environmental benefits. It is difficult to characterize and predict the toxicity of leachates solely by chemical analysis, since they contain a complex mixture of pollutants [15]. It is therefore advisable to assess the efficiency and safety of any new water treatment method in ecotoxicological tests. In this way, any synergistic or interactive effects resulting from the complex matrix of pollutants can be detected.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +46 21 101443; fax: +46 21 101370. E-mail address: veronica.ribe@mdh.se (V. Ribé).

2. Methods and materials

2.1. Sorption on pine bark

The bark from pine (*Pinus silvestris*) used in the study originates from the timber industry and is a natural product which was used with no pre-treatment. The everyday use of the material is absorption of liquids from accidents, i.e. oil or gasoline. The bark is a commercial product supplied by Zugol AB in Falun, Sweden. According to the suppliers, pine bark has a particle diameter Ø of <0.25 mm (7.5%), 0.25–5.0 mm (76.2%) and >5 mm (16.3%). The material consists of 85–90% pine bark and 10–15% cellulose. Its properties have been described in more detail by Nehrenheim et al. [16] and Nehrenheim and Gustafsson [3].

2.2. Leaching experiment

Two leaching tests were conducted according to Swedish standard [17], with minor modifications regarding the filter grain size, one without and one with subsequent pH adjustment. The pine bark was delivered by the production company and used immediately after opening the bag. Small grains were not separated since our aim was to evaluate untreated material in the form in which it would be used in practice. Samples of pine bark were weighed as received into 11 Duran borosilicate bottles and deionised water (pH 7) was added to attain a liquid to solid ratio in the bottles of 10 l/kg. As the dry matter content ratio of the pine bark was 60.7%, 148 g of undried sample material was used with 842 ml of deionised water to establish the required liquid to solid ratio (900 ml leachant/90 g dry mass of sample). The first test was run with duplicate samples. The second leaching test (with subsequent pH adjustment) was run with triplicate samples. Bottles were prepared and put on a roller table (10 rpm) for 24 h. The solids were then separated from the leachate by sieving and centrifugation, followed by filtration on a 0.45-µm membrane filter (Whatman). The fraction of the total organic carbon, TOC, that passed through a 0.45-µm filter was defined as dissolved organic carbon, DOC, All sampling and preservation of leachate samples used for the DOC, phenol and metal analyses were performed according to Swedish standard [18]. On completion of the leaching, unpreserved leachate samples were used immediately for the toxicity tests. In the second round of leaching tests the pH of the undiluted leachate samples was adjusted by the addition of 0.1 M NaOH to render the samples slightly alkaline prior to the toxicity tests.

2.3. Chemical analysis

DOC content in the filtered leachates was determined spectrophotometrically with a Dr Lange ISIS 9000 (MDA Photometer) with Dr Lange LCK 381 (60–735 mg/l TOC range) reagents. Total phenols were determined spectrophotometrically using a Dr Lange ISIS 9000 (MDA Photometer) with Dr Lange LCK 345 and 346 reagents (0.05–5 mg/l and 5–200 mg/l phenol range, respectively). For the lower range of phenol concentrations (LCK 345; 0.05–5.00 mg/l) total phenols were analysed photometrically through complexation with 4-nitroaniline. For the higher range of phenol concentration (LCK 346; 5–50 mg/l) total phenols (defined as ortho- and meta-substituted phenols) were determined spectrophotometrically through complexation with 4-aminoantipyrine. In the second round of leaching tests phenol concentrations of the leachate samples were measured prior to any pH adjustments.

The metal concentrations of the leachates of the first round of leaching tests were determined by ICP-MS and ICP-AES at the accredited laboratory Analytica, according to US EPA standard procedures [19,20].

pH was measured with a Metrohm 744 (Metrohm), calibrated with Merck/Riedel de Haën buffer solutions.

2.4. Toxicity tests

2.4.1. Toxicity test without pH adjusted leachates

D. magna was used as a test organism to assess acute aquatic toxicity of leachate samples according to Swedish standard [21], using DaphtoxkitTM *magna* Straus (MicroBioTests Inc., Belgium). The test makes use of test organisms obtained from ephippia instead of parthenogenic females, but a comparison of the DaphtoxkitTM and conventional *D. magna* assays have shown a high correlation between the systems [22]. The toxkit method has been used in several investigations of the toxicity of landfill leachate and storm water in the region [1] and a comparison with the toxicity of effluents is therefore possible.

Each leachate sample was diluted to give final leachate concentrations of 6.25%, 12.5%, 25%, 50% and 100%. Each concentration of the dilution series was tested with four replicate sample wells, each containing five test organisms, together with a control for each dilution series. The ecotoxicity tests were performed within 72 h of the leaching test. The test organisms were exposed to the leachate solutions for a total of 48 h, to establish 24 h and 48 h median effect concentrations, EC₅₀ values, for each leachate sample. When parthenogenic daphnid neonates born in a stock culture are used in a toxicity test they can take up food (which provides them with an energetic reserve and, hence, precludes mortality by starvation) until they are collected for the assay. In order to provide the neonates hatched from ephippia with food prior to the test they are fed with a suspension of Spirulina algae for 2 h in the hatching dish, before the transfer to the test vessels. At termination of the tests, oxygen concentrations were measured in the test solutions to exclude oxygen deprivation as a cause of organism mortality. The validity of the tests was assessed according to ISO 6341. The toxicity of the reference substance K₂Cr₂O₇ is assessed on a biannual basis.

2.4.2. Toxicity tests with pH-adjusted leachates

The toxicity of pH-adjusted leachate was conducted as above with the exception of pH adjustment of the leachates. The pH of the filtered leachates was measured and adjusted to slightly alkaline by the addition of 0.1 M NaOH prior to the commencement of the toxicity tests.

2.5. Data analysis

The results from the toxicity tests with leachates without any pH adjustment were fitted to a sigmoid function (Eq. (1)) by the least-squares method using the software programme SigmaPlot $4.0^{\$}$ (by Windows):

$$y = \frac{I_{\text{max}} - I_{\text{min}}}{1 + e^{-(x - x^0)/b}} \tag{1}$$

where b^{-1} is the 'hill slope' of the function and x^0 is the EC₅₀ value. The $I_{\rm max}$ value is the highest immobility detected and the $I_{\rm min}$ value is the lowest. The R^2 value of the fits, for data at both 24 h and 48 h, was >0.99.

3. Results and discussion

Pine bark has a complex chemical structure consisting of both extractable and non-extractable components, of which the non-extractable can adsorb cationic polyelectrolytes [8]. Metals are thought to sorb to pine bark by ion exchange at a multitude of ionic sites, both cationic and anionic, with metal ions replacing adjacent hydroxyl groups to form a chelate [23–25]. For example, Cu-ions

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/582165

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/582165

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>