Journal of Hazardous Materials 163 (2009) 1107–1112

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Hazardous Materials

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jhazmat

Removal and destruction of endocrine disrupting contaminants by adsorption with molecularly imprinted polymers followed by simultaneous extraction and phototreatment

Paula Fernández-Álvarez[a,b], Mathieu Le Noir[a], Benoit Guieysse[a,c,*]

[a] Department of Biotechnology, Lund University, P.O. Box 124, 22100 Lund, Sweden
[b] University of Santiago de Compostela, Department of Chemical Engineering, Instituto de Investigaciones Tecnológicas, C/Constantino Candeira, s/n. E-15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain
[c] School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Block N1, Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798, Singapore

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 27 May 2008
Received in revised form 15 July 2008
Accepted 15 July 2008
Available online 26 July 2008

Keywords:
Endocrine disrupters
Estrogens
Photodegradation
Molecular imprinting
Trace contaminants

ABSTRACT

This study presents a method to regenerate molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) used for the selective removal of endocrine disrupting compounds from aqueous effluents. Regeneration was based on solvent extraction under UV irradiation to regenerate the polymer and the solvent while destroying the contaminants. Acetone was selected as the best solvent for irradiation of estrone (E1), 17β-estradiol (E2) and ethinylestradiol (EE2) using either UVC (254 nm) or UV–vis. A MIP synthesized with E2 as template was then tested for the extraction of this compound from a 2 μg/L loaded aqueous solution. E2 was recovered by 73 ± 11% and 46 ± 13% from the MIPs and a non-imprinted control polymer synthesized under the same conditions, respectively, after a single step elution with acetone. The irradiated polymers and acetone were reused for an additional extraction–regeneration cycle and showed no capacity decrease.

1. Introduction

Endocrine Disrupting Compounds (EDCs) are harmful emerging pollutants commonly found in aquatic environments [1–7]. They are defined as exogenous substances or mixtures that alter functions of the endocrine system and consequently cause adverse health effects in an intact organism, its progeny, or sub-populations [8]. In wildlife, their impacts include reproductive abnormalities, feminisation of males and masculinisation of females [9]. Some of the most potent EDCs are the natural estrogens estrone (E1) and β-estradiol (E2), and the synthetic steroid estrogen ethinylestradiol (EE2), the latter being mainly used in the female contraceptive pill [10,11].

Whereas the fate of EDCs during conventional wastewater treatment is not fully understood yet and only partial pollutant removal is often achieved, the environmental benefit of advanced processes has recently been challenged due to their high energy consumption [12]. Most of the removal capacity of current advanced processes is wasted for the removal of safe compounds present at higher concentration, which impacts treatment costs. Instead, a more cost-efficient strategy consists in selectively removing the target pollutants, which can be achieved by pollutant adsorption with molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) as recently demonstrated [13–16]. Here, the adsorbing material is synthesized by template guided polymerization around a hormone-mimicking template molecule, which generates a synthetic analogue to the natural receptors after removal of the template. Thus, pollutant removal is based upon the same mechanisms that make these substances so harmful: their capacity to bind hormone receptors, which should allow the removal of any molecule having a potential estrogenic activity [14].

Adsorption is a non-destructive removal technology and MIPs need to be regenerated and reused to lower treatment costs. The main objective of this work was therefore to develop a method to simultaneously regenerate the polymers and destroy the pollutants. UV irradiation was used for this purpose as photodegradation in organic solvent has proven successful for the destruction of various organic pollutants [17–20] including estrogens [21]. Polymers loaded with pollutants were irradiated directly during solvent extraction in order to (1) improve pollutant transfer from the

* Corresponding author at: School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Block N1, Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798, Singapore. Tel.: +65 6790 5282; fax: +65 6790 1650.
E-mail address: bjguieysse@ntu.edu.sg (B. Guieysse).

0304-3894/$ – see front matter © 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.07.085

polymers to the solvent by maintaining a low pollutant level in the solvent and (2) regenerate both the solvent and the polymers for reuse. E1, E2 and EE2 were used as model contaminants.

2. Materials and methods

All tests were carried out at room temperature (23 ± 2 °C) in triplicates. Organic solvents were HPLC grade, all other chemicals being reagent grade. Stock solutions of 50 mg/L of E1, E2 and EE2 were prepared in ethanol, methanol, methanol:acetic acid (4:1, v/v), acetone, acetone:acetic acid (4:1, v/v), or acetone:methanol (1:1, v/v), and were diluted in order to carry out the photodegradation tests. Aqueous solutions of E2 were prepared by transferring a volume of acetone stock solution into a volumetric flask, evaporating the acetone, and adding deionised water. The HPLC mobile phase was prepared with ultrapure water.

2.1. Solvent selection

Solutions of 10 mg/L of E1, E2 and EE2 were prepared in ethanol, methanol, methanol:acetic acid (4:1, v/v), acetone, acetone:acetic acid (4:1, v/v) and acetone:methanol (1:1, v/v). UV irradiation tests were performed in 10 mL glass tubes randomly placed under two lamps at a distance of 15 cm and mechanically agitated using a rocking shaker. Aliquots of 5 mL of each solution were irradiated for 72 h under either 2 × 18 W UV–vis blue-lamps (Sylvania Reptistar, Sylvania, USA, ≈30% UVA–5% UVB) or 2 × 15 W UVC germicidal lamps (G15T8, Sankyo Denki, Japan, λ = 254 nm). Light irradiances inside the tubes at 15 cm from the lamps were measured by potassium ferrioxalate actinometry [22] and found equal to 10.7 μEinstein/s and 18.9 μEinstein/s for the UV–vis and UVC lamps, respectively. Samples of 0.75–1 mL were periodically taken from each tube to monitor E1, E2 or EE2 concentration.

2.2. Photodegradation kinetics of E1, E2 and EE2

UV irradiation tests were performed in 10 mL glass tubes as described above. Aliquots of 10 mL of solutions of E1, E2 and EE2 at either 2 mg/L or 10 mg/L in acetone were irradiated for 24 h. Samples of 0.75–1 mL were periodically taken from each tube to determine the concentration of the estrogens by HPLC.

2.3. Polymer synthesis

A MIP with E2 as template was prepared according to Dong et al. [23]: 272.4 mg of E2, 0.68 mL of methacrylic acid, 4.7 mL of ethylene glycol dimethacrylate and 100 mg of α,α′-azoisobutyronitrile were dissolved in 8 mL of acetonitrile in a dried 30 mL glass test tube. The solution was sonicated for 5 min and purged with nitrogen for 5 min. The tube was then sealed and the mixture heated at 43 °C for 20 h. The resulting polymer monolith was recovered, ground in a mortar, sieved (38–106 μm) and washed four times with methanol. The particles of polymers in methanol were kept under the fume hood until complete evaporation of the methanol. The particles were transferred into a Soxhlet extractor for continuous washing with methanol and kept overnight. The washed polymer was finally dried at room temperature. Non-imprinted polymers (NIPs) were synthesized simultaneously under the same conditions but without adding the template.

2.4. Recovery of E2-loaded MIPs using acetone

Six-millilitre glass Solid Phase Extraction (SPE) columns (Supelco) were packed with 100 mg of MIPs or NIPs. The packed columns were washed three times with 4 mL of methanol:acetic acid (4:1, v/v) to remove any traces of E2. Two liters of 2 μg/L E2 aqueous solution was then percolated through each column using a vacuum manifold (Supelco Visiprep™ SPE) and the columns were eluted once with 4 mL of acetone or methanol:acetic acid (4:1, v/v). The concentration of E2 in each extract was determined by HPLC [13]. In order to reuse the polymers after each extraction, these were washed with methanol:acetic acid (4:1, v/v) until the concentration of E2 in the solvent mixture was below detection limit (0.1 mg/L).

2.5. Simultaneous extraction/photodegradation of E2

Two liters of a 3 μg/L E2 aqueous solution was percolated through five SPE columns packed with the MIPs as described above. The loaded polymers were then transferred into glass test tubes and mixed with 6 mL of acetone. Three tubes were irradiated under UV–vis for 10 h, the other two tubes being kept under darkness under agitation to serve as controls. The tubes were then centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min each time and portions of the supernatants were sampled for HPLC analysis. The remaining solvent was removed from each tube and the polymers were extracted again with fresh acetone to determine the remaining quantity of E2 in the polymer. This experiment was repeated twice.

2.6. Acetone regeneration and reuse

MIPs and NIPs were loaded with E2, mixed with 8 mL of acetone and irradiated as described above. After 10 h of irradiation, the acetone was removed from the tubes and saved at 4 °C. The polymers were then dried, transferred into new SPE columns and loaded again with 2 L of a 3 μg/L E2 aqueous solution. The loaded polymers were then transferred to new glass test tubes, extracted with irradiated-acetone previously used and exposed to UV light for 10 h. Controls with loaded MIPs or NIPs were kept in total darkness for the same duration and the acetone was reused in the same manner.

2.7. Analyses

Estrogen concentrations were determined in a Waters 2690 HPLC equipped with a UV photodiode array detector (Waters 996) for E1 detection at 280 nm and a scanning fluorescence detector (Waters 474) for E2 and EE2 detection. Samples were eluted through a C18 column (Ascentis C18, Supelco) using an acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) mixture as mobile phase. The injection volume was 20 μL and the flow rate was 1 mL/min. External standards were used to enable quantitative determination of the estrogens. The limit of quantification in organic solvents using HPLC was 2 mg/L for E1 and 0.1 mg/L for E2 and EE2.

3. Results and discussion

Following the selective removal of estrogens from aqueous samples using MIPs, a method was developed to simultaneously regenerate the polymers by solvent extraction and destroy the pollutants by photodegradation. First, the most suitable solvent for the photodestruction of E1, E2, and EE2 was selected and the photodegradation kinetics of these compounds in acetone were further studied. Second, a MIP was synthesized with E2 as template and E2 recovery from loaded polymers using acetone extraction was quantified. Finally, the simultaneous extraction/photodegradation of E2 from loaded polymer using acetone was tested. All kinetics rates are given with a confidence interval at the 95% probability level.