Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/intimp



Benzaldehyde suppresses murine allergic asthma and rhinitis $\stackrel{\scriptstyle \curvearrowleft}{\sim}$



Tae Young Jang ^{a,1}, Chang-Shin Park ^{b,1}, Kyu-Sung Kim ^a, Min-Jeong Heo ^a, Young Hyo Kim ^{a,*}

^a Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Inha University College of Medicine, Incheon, Republic of Korea

^b Department of Pharmacology, Hypoxia-Related Disease Research Center, Inha Research Institute for Medical Sciences, Inha University College of Medicine, Incheon, Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26 May 2014 Received in revised form 16 July 2014 Accepted 25 July 2014 Available online 5 August 2014

Keywords: Allergic rhinitis Asthma Benzaldehyde Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 Vascular endothelial growth factor

ABSTRACT

To evaluate the antiallergic effects of oral benzaldehyde in a murine model of allergic asthma and rhinitis, we divided 20 female BALB/c mice aged 8–10 weeks into nonallergic (intraperitoneally sensitized and intranasally challenged to normal saline), allergic (intraperitoneally sensitized and intranasally challenged to ovalbumin), and 200- and 400-mg/kg benzaldehyde (allergic but treated) groups. The number of nose-scratching events in 10 min, levels of total and ovalbumin-specific lgE in serum, differential counts of inflammatory cells in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid, titers of Th2 cytokines (IL-4, IL-5, IL-13) in BAL fluid, histopathologic findings of lung and nasal tissues, and expressions of proteins involved in apoptosis (Bcl-2, Bax, caspase-3), inflammation (COX-2), antioxidation (extracellular SOD, HO-1), and hypoxia (HIF-1 α , VEGF) in lung tissue were evaluated. The treated mice had significantly fewer nose-scratching events, less inflammatory cell infiltration in lung and nasal tissues, and lower HIF-1 α and VEGF expressions in lung tissue than the allergic group. The number of eosinophils and neutrophils and Th2 cytokine titers in BAL fluid significantly decreased after the treatment (P < 0.05). These results imply that oral benzaldehyde exerts antiallergic effects in murine allergic asthma and rhinitis, possibly through inhibition of HIF-1 α and VEGF.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND licenses (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

1. Introduction

Allergic asthma and rhinitis are caused by an IgE-mediated hypersensitivity reaction [1]. They worsen life quality and increase the economic burden [2]. The available medications, including antihistamines, mast cell stabilizers, and inhaled or systemic corticosteroids, have transient effects. Therefore, there is growing interest in research and development of more potent and long-acting therapeutic agents.

Benzaldehyde is an aromatic aldehyde commonly used in the cosmetic industry as a flavoring agent, fragrance, or denaturant [3]. In the United States and Europe, it is also used as a food additive. Previous research has suggested its effectiveness against some tumors [4], but only few studies have investigated its potential as an antiallergic drug. Moreover, Lacroix et al. have suggested that exposure to benzaldehydecontaining air decreases ovalbumin-induced allergic airway inflammation in mice with allergic asthma [5]. However, the effects of oral benzaldehyde have not been investigated.

E-mail address: inhaorl@inha.ac.kr (Y.H. Kim).

¹ These authors contributed equally to the work.

To elucidate the antiallergic effects of oral benzaldehyde, we evaluated the (i) number of nose-scratching events in 10 min, (ii) levels of total and ovalbumin-specific IgE in serum, (iii) differential counts of inflammatory cells in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid, (iv) titers of some Th2 cytokines (IL-4, IL-5 and IL-13) in BAL fluid, (v) histopathologic findings of lung and nasal tissues, and (vi) expressions of several proteins involved in apoptosis (Bcl-2, Bax, caspase-3), inflammation (COX-2), antioxidation (extracellular SOD, HO-1), and hypoxia (Hif-1 α , VEGF) in lung tissue using a murine model of allergic asthma and rhinitis.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

We used 20 female BALB/c mice (Orient Bio, Seongnam, Korea) aged 8–10 weeks and free from any murine-specific pathogens. They were raised in a well-controlled environment with a 12-h light–dark cycle and had unrestricted access to ovalbumin-free food and water. All mice were handled according to a protocol approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Inha University (INHA-140211-273).

2.2. Systemic sensitization and intranasal challenge

To induce allergic asthma and rhinitis, we adopted a published protocol with slight modifications [6–8]. Under pathogen-free conditions, 40-µg/kg ovalbumin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) diluted in sterile

1567-5769/© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

[†] The study was summarized as an Oral Presentation at the 25th Congress of the European Rhinologic Society and 32nd International Symposium of Infection & Allergy of the Nose, Amsterdam, Netherlands, June 22–26, 2014.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Inha University College of Medicine, 27 Inhang-ro, Junggu, Incheon 400-711, Republic of Korea. Tel.: + 82 32 890 2437; fax: + 82 32 890 3580.

saline and aluminum hydroxide gel (alum adjuvant, 40 mg/kg) was injected intraperitoneally on experimental days 1, 5, 14, and 21 for systemic sensitization. Thereafter, daily intranasal instillation of ovalbumin diluted in sterile saline ($20 \,\mu$ L of 25-mg/mL ovalbumin per mouse) was performed until experimental day 35 (14 challenges in total).

The mice were divided into four equal groups (n = 5 mice/group). Negative control animals were exposed to normal saline (nonallergic group), while positive control animals were exposed to ovalbumin (allergic group). The remaining allergic animals received either 200-or 400-mg/kg benzaldehyde (Premier Botanicals Ltd, Independence, OR) by oral gavage feeding 30 min before the intranasal challenges.

2.3. Enumeration of nose-scratching events

Twenty-four hours after the last intranasal challenge, each mouse was intranasally exposed to ovalbumin. The number of nose-scratching events in 10 min was immediately recorded by two blind, independent observers.

2.4. Serum and BAL fluid collection

We used an aortic puncture technique to collect serum. BAL fluid was collected by normal saline lavage (~4 mL) through an intratracheal tube [7]. The fluid was filtered through wet gauze and centrifuged at 150 g for 10 min. The resultant pellet was suspended immediately in 4-mL saline. We determined the total cell numbers in duplicate with a hemocytometer. Then, a 100- μ L aliquot was centrifuged (Cytospin 2 cytocentrifuge; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) and cell viability and total cell count were evaluated using the trypan blue exclusion test. Using centrifuged preparations stained with Diff-Quik (Baxter Scientific, Miami, FL), we determined differential cell counts in 500 cells per animal at 1000× magnification.

2.5. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

We evaluated the levels of total and ovalbumin-specific IgE in serum by ELISA as described previously [9]. Total IgE was measured and compared with a known concentration of mouse IgE standard (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA). We used the optical density at 450 nm instead of calculating the concentration with a standard solution. The titers of IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 were measured using individual ELISA kits (BioSource International, Camarillo, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.6. Histopathology

After fixation in a 10% formalin solution for 3 weeks, lung and nasal tissues were embedded in paraffin according to standard methods, and 4- μ m-thick sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin to detect cellular infiltration. The number of infiltrated cells around a single bronchiole and in 1 mm² of the lamina propria of the nasal mucosa was counted in 10 random high-power (400×) fields.

2.7. Western blot analysis

Lung tissue (left whole lung) from each animal was homogenized in lysis buffer using a Teflon homogenizer. We removed cellular debris of the homogenates by centrifuging at 1000 g for 10 min. Total protein contents were determined using a bicinchoninic acid protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL). SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was used for separating aliquots of protein extracts (20–60 μ g). We transferred them electrophoretically onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes in a transfer buffer containing 25-mM Tris–HCl, 192-mM glycine, and 10% methanol. The membranes were blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin in Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20 and incubated with specific primary antibodies. They were then incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies. Immunoreactive bands were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (Pierce) and analyzed using Bio-1D software (SIM International Group, Newark, DE).

2.8. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS version 19.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY). We used the Kruskal–Wallis test and Mann–Whitney *U*-test for intergroup comparisons. P < 0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Nose-scratching events and serum IgE levels

In comparison with the nonallergic group, the allergic group showed a significantly increased number of nose-scratching events in 10 min after intranasal ovalbumin instillation. They also had significantly higher levels of total and ovalbumin-specific IgE in serum (P < 0.001). The treated mice showed significantly fewer nose-scratching events than the allergic animals (P < 0.01, Fig. 1), but they had no significant decrease in serum IgE levels (P > 0.05; Fig. 2).

3.2. Inflammatory cell counts in BAL fluid

The ovalbumin challenge significantly increased the counts of inflammatory cells such as eosinophils, neutrophils, and lymphocytes in BAL fluid compared with the saline challenge (P < 0.001). After benzaldehyde treatment, a significant decrease in the eosinophil and neutrophil counts was observed (P < 0.05; Fig. 3). The number of lymphocytes also decreased, although no significance difference was noted between the treated and the allergic groups.

3.3. Th2 cytokine titers in BAL fluid

The allergic group showed significantly increased IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 levels in BAL fluid when compared with the nonallergic group



Fig. 1. Effect of oral benzaldehyde on murine nose-scratching behavior. The number of nose-scratching events in 10 min after the last intranasal challenge was compared among the nonallergic, allergic, and 200- and 400-mg/kg benzaldehyde groups. Data represent medians and ranges (n = five mice/group). ***P < 0.001 compared with the nonallergic group (Kruskal–Wallis test and Mann–Whitney *U*-test).

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5832723

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5832723

Daneshyari.com