### **ARTICLE IN PR**

Journal of Pharmacological and Toxicological Methods xx (2014) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Journal of Pharmacological and Toxicological Methods

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpharmtox



#### 1 Original article

### Reprint of "Safety pharmacology in 2014: New focus on non-cardiac 11 methods and models" $\stackrel{\frown}{\approx}$

#### Michael K. Pugsley<sup>a,\*</sup>, Jill A. Dalton<sup>b</sup>, Simon Authier<sup>c</sup>, Michael J. Curtis<sup>d</sup> 13

<sup>a</sup> Drug Safety Sciences, Janssen Research & Development, LLC., 1000 Route 202 South, Raritan, NJ, 00869, USA 14

<sup>b</sup> Safety Pharmacology, MPI Research, Inc., 54943 North Main St., Mattawan, MI 49071-9399, USA 15

<sup>c</sup> CiToxLAB Research Inc., 445 Armand Frappier, Laval, QC H7V 4B3, Canada 16

### <sup>d</sup> Cardiovascular Division, Rayne Institute, St Thomas' Hospital, London SE17EH, UK $\overset{17}{3}$

ARTICLE INFO

 $\mathbf{2}$ Article history: Received 5 August 2014 2 Accepted 6 August 2014 3 Available online 14 August 2014 4

3 Keywords:

4 Polysomnography

Stem cells 5Seizure liability 6

In silico models

### 21

1

5

### 1. Overview

2 As with the previous IPTM SPS themed issues, papers consider some exciting new applications with attempts to validate in vitro and in vivo 3 safety pharmacology models. Surprisingly (since historically safety 4 pharmacology method innovation has been focused on cardiac adverse 5 6 drug reactions (ADRs)), the majority of the articles in this issue probe 7 CNS drug safety methods. Topics range from the introduction of behavioral test methods into sub-chronic ( $\leq 3$  month) regulatory toxicology 8 studies to the characterization of the response of non-human primate 9 sleep architecture and EEG activity to known pharmacological agents 10 11 (caffeine, amphetamine and diazepam) using telemetry-based polysomnography. Likewise, the use of telemetry video electroencephalog-12 13 raphy (EEG) in rats, dogs and non-human primates is discussed as a 14 method in 'follow-up' safety pharmacology seizure liability assessments 15for new chemical entities (NCE). Finally, the utilization of both in vitro 16 (hippocampal slices) and in vivo (rats implanted with EEG electrodes

DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vascn.2014.08.004.

Corresponding author at: Global Safety Pharmacology, Janssen Research & Development, LLC, 1000 Route 202 South, Raritan, NI 00869, USA, Tel.: + 1 908 927 4148.

E-mail address: MPugsle2@its.jnj.com (M.K. Pugsley).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vascn.2014.10.002 1056-8719/© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

#### ABSTRACT

"What do you know about Safety Pharmacology?" This is the question that was asked in 2000 with the inception 8 of the Safety Pharmacology Society (SPS). There is now a widespread awareness of the role of safety pharmacol-9 ogy in drug discovery and increasing awareness among the wider community of methods and models used in the 10 assessment of the core battery required set of safety studies. However, safety pharmacology does not stop with 11 core battery studies. New methods are intensively sought in order to achieve a swifter and more reliable assess- 12 ment of adverse effect liability. The dynamics of the discipline and method expansion are reflected in the content 13 of this issue of the Journal of Pharmacological and Toxicological Methods (JPTM). We are into the second decade of 14 publishing on safety pharmacology methods and models, reflected by the annual themed issue in JPTM, and on 15 willingness of investigators to embrace new technologies and methodologies. This years' themed issue is derived 16 from the annual Safety Pharmacology Society (SPS) meeting, held in Rotterdam, The Netherlands, in 2013. 17 © 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. 18

20

for monitoring via telemetry) methods were complementary in charac-17 terizing the seizure potential of an NCE. Respiratory articles include a 18 review that discusses the value and utility of the methods and measure- 19 ment endpoints currently available for assessing respiratory function to 20 help optimize the design of respiratory safety pharmacology studies and 21 readers are introduced to airwave oscillometry, which appears to be a 22 promising non-invasive methodology to measure respiratory mechan- 23 ics in conscious animals. In the day and age of stem cells and their pos- 24 sible future application to drug safety assessment we include an article 25 that investigates the actions of E-4031, verapamil, dofetilide, pentami- 26 dine, terfenadine, quinidine and nifedipine on action potentials (AP) 27 and ion currents recorded from adult human induced pluripotent 28 stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes (hiPSC-CM). hiPSC-CM are shown to 29 display excellent sensitivity to ion channel blockers resulting in charac- 30 terized changes in the electrophysiology of the AP suggesting use as a 31 screening method for NCEs. Another article predicts the result of the 32 human clinical Thorough QT (TQT) study using in silico methods applied 33 to data derived from multiple ion channel screens. In silico AP simula- 34 tions were conducted using many models and findings suggest that 35 this approach is a useful complementary tool in cardiac safety assess- 36 ment. Lastly, also included in this issue is a comprehensive review on 37 cardiovascular pressure measurement and its application to safety as- 38 sessment studies. The article nicely highlights the technology require- 39 ments and discusses the potential errors that can be made from such 40 recordings. 41

<sup>ightarrow</sup> This article is a reprint of a previously published article. For citation purposes, please use the original publication details; Journal of Pharmacological and Toxicological Methods 70 (2014) 170-174.

2

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

### 42 2. Methods used to assess CNS function in the safety pharmacology 43 assessment of a new chemical entity (NCE)

44 When compared to the cardiovascular system the other required 45vital core battery components, the CNS and respiratory organ systems, 46 albeit important, are much less intensely explored in the context of 47 methodological innovation. The predominance of the cardiac system 48 in forums and scientific literature on safety pharmacology is at least par-49tially attributable to the high impact of rare but lethal events related to 50this system (Curtis & Pugsley, 2012; Valentin & Hammond, 2008). Inter-51estingly, therefore, this issue contains a variety of articles that highlight 52innovative methods used to assess CNS, respiratory and systems rele-53vant to safety assessment of an NCE.

54As per ICHS7A (US FDA, 2001), non-clinical safety pharmacology 55 studies generally evaluate a single dose of test article or doses ranging from efficacy to low multiples above efficacy, which impinge upon but 5657do not result in overt end organ toxicity (as this can limit interpretation 58of the drug-induced pharmacological effects on cardiovascular (CV), re-59spiratory and CNS function). Safety pharmacology studies are conduct-60 ed in accordance with good laboratory practice (GLP) and use the most 61 relevant state of the art methods to assess CV changes, respiratory func-62 tion or CNS liability while ensuring that optimal study conditions are 63 considered (Guth et al., 2009; Pugsley, Authier, & Curtis, 2008). The assessment of CV, respiratory and CNS effects of an NCE in toxicology 64 65 studies, however, is limited. Because toxicology studies (acute, sub-66 chronic and chronic) are critical in assessing the end organ toxicological 67 response of an NCE, a conservative stance has existed with respect to 68 modification of study design and application of new technologies and 69 methodologies (see Authier, Vargas, Curtis, Holbrook, & Pugsley, 2013 70 for SPS survey of best practices on this topic). Toxicology studies do 71not usually involve the use of animals instrumented for telemetry mon-72itoring of CV function because CV assessment is ancillary when the focus 73 is on toxicity. However, Golozoubova et al. (2014) incorporated a safety 74pharmacology behavioral (i.e., modified Irwin screen) test into a stan-75dard sub-chronic (3 month) toxicology study with a view to reduction 76 of animal use (3 Rs principles) by replacing stand-alone safety pharma-77 cology experiments in the assessment of an NCE. The authors used two 78 strains of rat (Wistar and Sprague-Dawley), of both genders, and found 79 little differences in response; however, they observed that with time, in-80 dividual animal variability actually increased with repeated measure-81 ments. The authors suggest that researchers conducting such studies 82 need to consider study design and animal group size if they are to inte-83 grate safety pharmacology endpoints into toxicology studies (see article 84 by Pugsley, Towart, Authier, Gallacher, & Curtis, 2010). This resonates 85 with other emerging wider pharmacological methodological guidance 86 (Curtis et al., 2013).

87 Authier et al. (2014a, 2014b) applied the clinical diagnostic method 88 of polysomnography, i.e., the comprehensive recording of brain 89 (electroencephalogram, EEG), eye movements (electro-oculogram, 90 EOG) and muscle activity (electromyogram, EMG) during sleep to 91 nonhuman primates (NHP). Use of such methods is hoped to en-92 hance the translational potential of drug-induced changes in sleep 93 architecture in non-clinical species to patients. The authors character-94ized the responses of known pharmacological agents (d-amphetamine, 95diazepam and caffeine) that affect sleep structure and EEG activity in 96 NHP (Macaca fascicularis) using telemetry-based polysomnography. 97 Animals in the study were instrumented with telemetry transmitters 98 for continuous recording of EEG, EOG and EMG monitoring (combined 99 with video). At the doses tested all observations were similar to those previously reported for the pharmacological effects observed in humans 100 suggesting that telemetry monitoring of EEG, EOG and EMG in the NHP 101 could be a useful non-clinical approach with which to investigate drugs 102with the potential to induce sleep disturbance. 103

104Bassett, Troncy, Pouliot, et al. (2014a) examined non-clinical seizure105liability using intravenous pentylenetetrazole (PTZ) in combination106with pharmacological agents that alter seizure thresholds and induce

clonic convulsions in EEG telemetered Cynomolgus monkeys, Beagle 107 dogs and Sprague-Dawley rats. The authors outline and evaluate the 108 premonitory clinical signs in each species that include altered physical 109 activity, enhanced tremors, ataxia, emesis and myoclonus. Drugs tested 110 included amphetamine, caffeine, yohimbine and phenobarbital and 111 the results provided a clear template for identifying pro- and anti- 112 convulsant activity that may be useful in CNS safety assessment of 113 an NCE. Importantly, the authors emphasize that when seizure liability 114 investigations are conducted, rats typically represent the 'first-line' 115 model whereas Beagle dogs are generally overly sensitive to seizure 116 susceptibility (Edmonds et al., 1979) (and are typically only used if 117 required by regulatory authorities). 118

Markgraf et al. (2014) described the use of in vitro and in vivo non- 119 clinical models in the evaluation of the seizure potential of a CNS- 120 targeted NCE. They used Org 306039, a potent and selective 5-HT2c ag- 121 onist that was in development for obesity as a positive control in both 122 types of assays. The 5-HT2c receptor is associated with excitatory neu- 123 rotransmission (i.e., the binding of serotonin to the receptor subtype in- 124 hibits dopamine and norepinephrine release) in the prefrontal cortex, 125 hippocampus, hypothalamus and striatal brain regions. These receptors 126 are reported to modulate mood and anxiety and have been in develop- 127 ment as an ensemble target for anti-obesity and antidepression. The 128 authors used rat hippocampal slice preparations and male Sprague- 129 Dawley rats implanted with telemetry EEG recording electrodes to 130 characterize responses to the seizure causing standard, Org 306039. An- 131 imals received either vehicle or Org 306039 (100 mg/kg, po) daily for 132 10 days. Org 306039 elicited a concentration-dependent increase in 133 population spike area and number recorded from hippocampal CA1 134 cells, indicative of an increase in potential seizurogenic activity. Repeat 135 dosing of Org 306039 was associated with the appearance of 'seizure-136 related' behaviors (such as shivering, tremors and head shakes) and 137 pre-seizure waveforms on the EEG with the observation of an overt sei- 138 zure in one animal. Findings from both the hippocampal slice prepara- 139 tions and *in vivo* models appear complementary to one another when 140 used in the characterization of the seizure potential of CNS-targeted 141 compounds. 142

# **3. Recommendations and novel methods used to assess**143respiratory function144

Core battery safety pharmacology respiratory studies focus on drug 145 effects on basic pulmonary function (Pugsley et al., 2008). The standard 146 model utilizes whole body plethysmography recording in conscious ro- 147 dents (Murphy, 2005). In this issue of the Journal, Murphy (2014) de- 148 scribes, in a comprehensive review article, the variety of methods and 149 pulmonary functional endpoints that can be used in the evaluation of 150 an NCE for effects on respiratory function. In order to conform to the 151 ICH S7A guidelines, respiratory safety pharmacology studies generally 152 are conducted using conscious animal models and usually assess pul- 153 monary ventilation including respiratory rate (RR), tidal volume (Vt) 154 and arterial blood gases - standard variables. These measures describe 155 pulmonary ventilation (or minute volume, MV), frequency of breathing 156 (RR), and depth of breathing (Vt) and are surrogates for adequate gas 157 exchange and tissue oxygenation (Murphy, 2013). However, as Murphy 158 discusses, other variables that can be used to provide mechanistic in- 159 sight or identify site of drug action, should also be considered for incor- 160 poration. These variables include inspiratory and expiratory times, 161 flows (and pauses) as well as apneic time. However, measures of pul- 162 monary ventilation only assess drug effects on the respiratory pumping 163 apparatus and do not assess other components of the respiratory system 164 such as exchange. These are best characterized by measuring drug ef- 165 fects on airway patency, gas diffusion capacity and lung elastic recoil. 166 These additional measures are not commonly determined in standard 167 safety pharmacology respiratory studies. It is useful to consult the 168 Lindgren et al. (2008) survey that benchmarked safety pharmacology 169 best practice for use in regulatory package submissions. When 170

Please cite this article as: Pugsley, M.K., et al., Reprint of "Safety pharmacology in 2014: New focus on non-cardiac methods and models", *Journal of Pharmacological and Toxicological Methods* (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vascn.2014.10.002

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5840686

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5840686

Daneshyari.com