



Review

Invited review: Diagnosis of zearalenone (ZEN) exposure of farm animals and transfer of its residues into edible tissues (carry over)



Sven Dänicke*, Janine Winkler

Institute of Animal Nutrition, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institute (FLI), Federal Research Institute for Animal Health, Braunschweig, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 April 2015

Received in revised form

27 July 2015

Accepted 10 August 2015

Available online 12 August 2015

Keywords:

Farm animals

Zearalenone

Metabolism

Kinetics

Carry over

ABSTRACT

The aim of the review was to evaluate the opportunities for diagnosing the zearalenone (ZEN) exposure and intoxication of farm animals by analyzing biological specimens for ZEN residue levels. Metabolism is discussed to be important when evaluating species-specific consequences for the overall toxicity of ZEN. Besides these toxicological facts, analytics of ZEN residues in various animal-derived matrices requires sensitive, matrix-adapted multi-methods with low limits of quantification, which is more challenging than the ZEN analysis in feed.

Based on dose–response experiments with farm animals, the principle usability of various specimens as bio-indicators for ZEN exposure is discussed with regard to individual variation and practicability for the veterinary practitioner.

ZEN residue analysis in biological samples does not only enable evaluation of ZEN exposure but also allows the risk for the consumer arising from contaminated foodstuffs of animal origin to be assessed. It was compiled from literature that the tolerable daily intake of 0.25 µg ZEN/kg body weight and day is exploited to approximately 8%, when a daily basket of animal foodstuffs and associated carry over factors are assumed at reported ZEN contamination levels of complete feed.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	226
2. Occurrence in feed	227
3. Toxicokinetics	228
3.1. Metabolism	228
3.1.1. Pre-absorptive (ingestive) level	228
3.1.2. Absorptive (mucosal) and post-absorptive (metabolic) level	229
3.2. Liberation from the feed matrix, absorption, distribution, elimination and bioavailability	231
4. Comparative toxicity of RALs	234
4.1. Free forms	234
4.2. Phase I metabolites	236
4.3. Phase II metabolites (conjugated forms)	237
5. Analytical aspects	237
6. Consequences of toxicokinetics for diagnostic opportunities	239
6.1. General assumptions	239
6.2. Prediction of ZEN exposure	240
6.3. Particular suitability of various specimens	241
7. Carry over	242
8. Conclusions	245

* Corresponding author. Institute of Animal Nutrition, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institute (FLI), Federal Research Institute for Animal Health, Bundesallee 50, D-38116 Braunschweig, Germany.

E-mail address: sven.daenicke@fli.bund.de (S. Dänicke).

Conflicts of interest	245
Transparency document	245
References	245

Abbreviations

α -ZAL	α -zearalanol	LC	liquid chromatography
β -ZAL	β -zearalanol	LLE	liquid–liquid extraction
α -ZEL	α -zearalenol	LOD	limit of detection
β -ZEL	β -zearalenol	LOQ	limit of quantification
AUC	area under the curve	MIP	molecularly imprinted polymer
b.w.	body weight	MS	mass spectrometry
CEC	European Commission	NEL	net energy lactation
COMT	catechol- <i>O</i> -methyltransferase	OTA	ochratoxin A
DAD	diode array detector	PBMC	peripheral blood mononuclear cells
DM	dry matter	RAL	resorcylic acid lactone
DON	deoxynivalenol	RSD	residual standard deviation
E2	estradiol	SA	steroid sulfatase
EFSA	European Food Safety Authority	SPE	solid phase extraction
ELISA	enzyme linked immunosorbent assay	TDI	tolerable daily intake
F.	<i>Fusarium</i>	TLC	thin layer chromatography
GC	gas chromatography	TMR	total mixed ration
HPLC	high-performance liquid chromatography	UV	ultraviolet
HSD	hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase	ZAN	zearalanone
IAC	immunoaffinity column	ZEN	zearalenone
		ZEN14G	zearalenone-14- β -D-glucopyranoside
		ZEN16G	zearalenone-16- β -D-glucopyranoside

1. Introduction

The phytopathology, epidemiology and the genetic background of *Fusarium* infection and the consequences for the efficiency of crop production have been reviewed repeatedly and the economic impact of yield losses has often been addressed (e.g. Glenn, 2007; Miedaner, 1997; Parry et al., 1995). Not only the direct harvest losses, especially those of cereal grains, are important food security issues, but also the potential contamination by a number of chemically diverse *Fusarium* toxins with different toxicological effects might be of concern for food and feed safety. Especially adverse *Fusarium* toxin-related animal health effects might significantly compromise feed safety as some of these toxins frequently occur in feedstuffs at toxicological relevant concentrations. For this reason the Commission of the European Communities released recommendations for critical feedstuff concentrations for the most important *Fusarium* toxins and for ochratoxin A (OTA) to avoid animal health problems and foodstuff contamination (carry over aspect; CEC, 2006). Among the *Fusarium* toxins, deoxynivalenol (DON) and zearalenone (ZEN) are of special importance in animal nutrition as they often co-occur in feedstuffs (e.g., Döll and Dänicke, 2011; Binder et al., 2007; Placinta et al., 1999). Although their modes of action are different, they are relevant because especially pigs respond quite sensitively to both DON and ZEN (e.g., EFSA, 2004a,b; Döll and Dänicke, 2011). While the analysis of feed for mycotoxin contamination is an important prerequisite for risk evaluation and management to protect animals in general, it might be insufficient for diagnosing exposure and intoxication on an individual basis. The challenge for an intravital exposure diagnosis is that not only the parent toxin has to be considered and analyzed, but also the metabolites, which evolve at the pre-absorptive (i.e.,

gastro-intestinal or luminal-ingestive), the absorptive (i.e., intestine mucosal) and post-absorptive level with the liver as the most important site. Therefore, kinetics and metabolism are strongly inter-related and these aspects need to be considered when interpreting analyzed residue levels as a part of diagnostic procedures. The complex was recently reviewed for DON (Dänicke and Brezina, 2013) and will be addressed for ZEN in the current review.

ZEN is produced by various species of the genus *Fusarium* including *F. graminearum* (*Gibberella zeae*), *F. culmorum*, *F. cerealis*, *F. equiseti* and *F. semitectum* and is chemically defined as 6-(10-hydroxy-6-oxo-trans-1-undecenyl)- β -resorcylic acid lactone (C₁₈H₂₂O₅, MW: 318.36, CAS 17924-92-4); (EFSA, 2004b). It is mainly formed pre-harvest but its synthesis might continue under poor storage conditions (Rohweder et al., 2011). Therefore, the climatic conditions during plant development prior to harvest are the major determinants for the ZEN contamination level of cereal grains used for food and feed. Further metabolites of ZEN are potentially possible as indicated by a detailed HPLC-DAD-MS analysis of an organic extract from a 40-day culture of *F. graminearum*, which resulted in the identification of novel metabolites such as an aliphatic epoxide of ZEN and the corresponding dihydrodiol. Moreover, several cyclization products of the dihydrodiol were characterized (Pfeiffer et al., 2010b).

In a recent publication (Metzler et al., 2010; Metzler, 2011) reviewed the discovery history of zearalenone, including the derivation of its common name which combines its frequent occurrence in maize (*Zea mays*) with chemical characteristics such as 'ral' for the resorcylic acid lactone, 'en' for the olefinic double bond, and 'one' for the keto group. They also discussed the nomenclature of related compounds including a suggestion for their abbreviation. Within the present review, we follow this suggestion and

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5849500>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5849500>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)