



Knowing what to expect, forecasting monthly emergency department visits: A time-series analysis



Jochen Bergs MSc, RN, (PhD Candidate)^{a,*}, Philippe Heerinckx MD, (Emergency physician)^b, Sandra Verelst MD, (Emergency physician)^c

^a Research group Economics and Public Policy, Faculty of Business Economics, Hasselt University, Belgium

^b Emergency Department, Heilig Hart Ziekenhuis Roeselare, Belgium

^c Emergency Department, University Hospitals Leuven, Belgium

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 March 2013

Received in revised form 26 July 2013

Accepted 4 August 2013

Keywords:

Emergency service

Hospital

Forecasting

Management

Emergency nursing

Organisation and administration

Time-Series analysis

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate an automatic forecasting algorithm in order to predict the number of monthly emergency department (ED) visits one year ahead.

Methods: We collected retrospective data of the number of monthly visiting patients for a 6-year period (2005–2011) from 4 Belgian Hospitals. We used an automated exponential smoothing approach to predict monthly visits during the year 2011 based on the first 5 years of the dataset. Several in- and post-sample forecasting accuracy measures were calculated.

Results: The automatic forecasting algorithm was able to predict monthly visits with a mean absolute percentage error ranging from 2.64% to 4.8%, indicating an accurate prediction. The mean absolute scaled error ranged from 0.53 to 0.68 indicating that, on average, the forecast was better compared with in-sample one-step forecast from the naïve method.

Conclusion: The applied automated exponential smoothing approach provided useful predictions of the number of monthly visits a year in advance.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Emergency departments (EDs) find themselves in challenging times. The increasing number of emergency department (ED) visits and ED crowding have a negative impact on quality of care. As a result potentially harmful events can occur (Collis, 2010). Threats regarding quality of care and patient safety make ED administrators eager to find solutions (Moskops et al., 2008, 2009). In 2003, Asplin et al. introduced a conceptual model of ED crowding, distinguishing three interdependent components: input, throughput, and output (Asplin et al., 2003). This model provides a framework for researchers and ED administrators in their quest to alleviate ED crowding. Initially, input factors were seen as the root cause of the problem. Recent research, however, strongly suggests that output factors should be seen as the root cause. Especially the inability to transfer ED patients to inpatient beds and the resulting boarding of admitted patients in the ED are the main problem (Moskops et al., 2009). Hence, a first step in finding solutions is to know what to expect. The ability to predict ED visits (input component) is crucial for both medical teams and ED administrators as

they could benefit from accurate predictions to optimise planning and supporting strategic decisions.

Several investigators have tried to accomplish this goal, with the most commonly used methods being (linear) regression models and time series analysis (Tandberg and Qualls, 1994; Milner, 1997; Champion et al., 2007; Jones et al., 2008; Schweigler et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2009; Wargon et al., 2009). When forecasting ED visits, a regression model can be very useful. It incorporates information about various predictors, and gives us an insight into the relation between these predictors. However, it can be very difficult to compose an accurate regression model, as the system underlying ED crowding is not fully understood. Moreover, even if it was understood, it might be extremely difficult to measure the relationships assumed to govern its behaviour. In addition, it is necessary to know or forecast the various predictors in order to be able to forecast the number of visiting patients, and this may be too difficult. Also, the main objective is often only to predict what will happen and not to know why it happens.

Time series analysis on the other hand is useful when one is forecasting something that is changing over time (e.g., the number of visiting patients). In essence, these models are used if one wants to estimate how the sequence of observations will continue into the future. Time series models use only information on the variable to be forecasted, and make no attempt to discover the factors

* Corresponding author. Address: Hasselt University, Agoralaan Building D, Room 54, 3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium. Tel.: +32 11 26 87 05.

E-mail address: Jochen.bergs@uhasselt.be (J. Bergs).

affecting its behaviour. They will extrapolate trends and seasonal patterns, but ignore all other information such as weather, flu outbreaks, and so on. Considering the barriers as mentioned above, the time series model may give more accurate forecasts than a regression model. Time series models used for forecasting include autoregressive moving average (ARIMA) models, exponential smoothing and structural models.

Although time series models provide an acceptable performance (Wargon et al., 2009), their implementation and practical use can be difficult. For instance, the required statistical software packages may not be available. Moreover, even if software is available, most clinicians and ED managers are no experts at fitting time series models. As a result, EDs often depend on (external) experts to generate predictions. Therefore, the availability of an automatic forecasting algorithm could be an essential tool for clinicians and ED managers. This allows them to immediately make predictions when needed and update the model when new data are available. Additionally, most experts cannot beat the best automatic algorithms. In order to be useful for ED staff and management, algorithms should determine an appropriate time series model, estimate the parameters and compute the forecasts. In addition, they must be robust to unusual patterns, and applicable to large numbers of series without user intervention (Hyndman and Khandakar, 2008). We therefore aimed to study the use of an automatic time series algorithm in order to forecast monthly ED visits one year ahead.

Methods

Design and setting

This was a retrospective study, conducted at the EDs of 4 Belgian hospitals. The participating hospitals included one university hospital and three regional hospitals scattered throughout Belgium's Flemish region. Characteristics of these hospitals are provided in Table 1. Selection of these hospitals was based on informal collaboration meetings and informal contact between the involved study sites.

Data collection

Monthly ED census was extracted from the databases of the study sites, which are registered to the Belgian Data Protection Authority for medical and research purposes. Each site provided a data sheet containing the total number of visiting patients per month for a 6-year period, from 1 January 2005 to 31 December 2011.

Forecast method

We used the exponential smoothing approach proposed by Hyndman et al. to predict the monthly ED visits for the year

2011 (Hyndman et al., 2002). This approach is based on an extended range of exponential smoothing methods and introduced a state space framework that subsumes all the exponential smoothing models. State space models are defined by two equations: an observation equation that defines what is being observed and a state equation that defines the evolution of the process through time. This approach provides exponential smoothing forecasts that are equivalent to forecasts from a state space model and allows the computation of prediction intervals, likelihood and model selection criteria. This method has been demonstrated by applying it to the data from the M3-competition (Makridakis and Hibon, 2000). The method provided forecast accuracy comparable to the best methods in the competitions. It seems to perform especially well for short forecast horizons with seasonal data, particularly monthly data. On the other hand, it seems to perform rather poorly on annual, non-seasonal time series. This method is available in R, a free software programming language and an open source software environment for statistical computing and graphics (R Development Core Team, 2012). More specific, we used the automated exponential smoothing algorithm function "ets()" from the 'forecast' package created by Hyndman et al. (2012). We applied this function using the first 5 years of data for each study site (2005–2010) as a training set for the model in order to predict the following 12 months (2011).

Forecast accuracy measures

Forecast accuracy was measured in two ways. First, in-sample model goodness of fit was assessed visually in combination with in-sample diagnostics. The primary outcome of interest was the post-sample forecast accuracy. We compared the predicted number of visits for 2011 and the number of visits actually observed. Several forecast accuracy measures were calculated. These measures serve to aggregate the magnitudes of the errors in predictions for various times into a single measure of predictive power. The *mean absolute error (MAE)* is an average of the absolute (without considering their direction) errors/differences between values predicted by a model and the values actually observed. The *mean absolute percentage error (MAPE)* is an average percentage of the absolute errors/differences between values predicted by a model and the values actually observed. As the MAPE is being scale-independent, it is frequently used to compare forecast performance between different data sets. A disadvantage is that MAPE puts a heavier penalty on positive errors than on negative errors. In case one of the observed values in the training set is close to zero or negative, the MAPE will have extreme values. The *mean absolute scaled error (MASE)* is a scale-free error metric that can be used to compare different forecast methods on a single data set on the one hand and to compare forecast accuracy between data sets with different scales on the other hand. It will never give infinite or undefined values except in the irrelevant case where all historical data are equal. This measure is easily interpretable: values of MASE greater than one indicate on average worse forecasts as compared to in-sample one-step forecasts from the naïve method. Naïve forecasts are the most cost-effective objective forecasting models, and provide a benchmark that more sophisticated models can be compared with. For stationary time series data, this approach claims that the forecast for any period equals the historical average. For time series data that are stationary in terms of first differences, the naïve forecast equals the previous period's actual value.

Ethical considerations

This study only employed the summed numbers of ED visits. Since no personal patient information was used, it was not possible

Table 1
Study site characteristics.

Study site	Type	Number of beds	Annual census Mean	Monthly census		
				Mean	Min	Max
ED 1	University hospital	1472	52546.86	4378.90	3755	5020
ED 2	Regional hospital	270	17896.43	1491.37	1057	1728
ED 3	Regional hospital	266	17688.43	1474.04	1133	1865
ED 4	Regional hospital	355	15889.43	1324.12	1032	1599

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5863338>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5863338>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)