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#### Abstract

The major objection to homeopathic medicine is that the doses of medicine prescribed in some cases are too dilute for any active ingredient to be present. The medicines would hence be rendered inactive, necessitating novel explanations for the action. A further examination of dilution in the light of the Langmuir equation shows that homeopathic medicines may not be as dilute as a simplistic application of Avogadro's Principle suggests, due to surface effects. Homeopathy (2014) 103, 143-146.
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## Introduction

Homeopathic medicines are in use in large parts of the world and evidence for their effects is well proven in-vitro. ${ }^{1}$ The major criticism to homeopathic medicine is that it employs dilutions like 60X (60D or 30C) where the concentration of the active ingredient is $10^{-60}$ times the original concentration. If one assumes the presence of 1 M of the active ingredient in the mother tincture then the probability that one molecule of the active ingredient will be present in a liter of $30 C$ solution would be $6 \times 10^{-37}$, which is fantastically dilute. Arnica 30C, the alcoholic extract of Arnica Montana (containing helenalin amongst other compounds as an active ingredient) diluted $10^{60}$ times is used for its anti-inflammatory and anti-tumor properties. Assuming that mother tincture contained 262.3 g of helenalin in a liter of alcohol, the probability to find one molecule of helenalin in 1 ml of Arnica 30C would be $6 \times 10^{-40}$.

Various attempts have been made to reconcile the action of the drugs at such super-Avogadro dilutions. ${ }^{2-12}$ Recently however, experimental evidences ${ }^{12,13}$ show that more than the expected amount of active materials are present at extreme dilutions in solutions

Here, a minimal mathematical model of dilutions has been presented, where the role of interfaces on dilution has been taken into account. Avogadro's law is not questioned, simply the role of surfaces on dilution is stressed. The Langmuir adsorption model ${ }^{14}$ is invoked, where a

[^0]monolayer of molecules is adsorbed in dynamical equilibrium with those in solution. The equilibrium between the adsorbed state and the dissolved state results in an initial linear rise in the adsorbed number with the concentration of the dissolved molecule, later reaching a saturation. The model is not specific to the type of the molecule of the active ingredient or the solvent. The goal of this model is to help reconcile some recent observations of unexpected higher concentrations at high dilutions.

## Dilutions

When a solution is recursively diluted, it involves the mixing of $v$ liter of the solution with concentration $c_{n-1}$ moles/liter and mixing $V$ liter of the solvent to give about $v+V$ liter of the solution with a concentration $c_{n}$. This process assumes the additivity of volumes, which is strictly valid for ideal solutions, is roughly valid for small concentrations $c_{n-1}$ of solute.

The molecules of the active ingredient would not only be dispersed through the bulk of the solvent (both homogeneously and/or by nanostructures) but would also be present on the free surface (solvent-vapor interface) and the sol-vent-solid interface. Molecules of the active ingredient are physiadsorbed onto the surface in equilibrium. ${ }^{14}$ The iterative-dilution could proceed in the following two ways: (a) the mixing container is not reused (Hahnemannian method of dilution), where a $v$ amount of solution is poured into $V$ volume of solvent, succussed and from it a volume $v$ is poured into the next container of $V$ volume of solvent and this process is repeated, or (b) the mixing container is reused (Korsakovian method of dilution), and in it Vamount of solvent is added to an initial volume $v$ of the solution, succussed and the a volume $V$ is poured off, and this process is
repeated. Equilibrium is assumed at all stages. The two processes are treated in the following two subsections.

## (a) Situation where the mixing container is not reused (Hahnemannian method of dilution)

In this process, where the glassware is changed at each dilutional step, assuming that the surface density of the active ingredient for the solvent-solid interface is $\sigma_{n}$ and that on the free surface it is $\tau_{n}$, we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}(v+V)+\tau_{n} a^{\prime}+\sigma_{n}(a+A)=c_{n-1} v+\tau_{n-1}\left(a^{\prime}+a^{\prime \prime}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $a$ is the original liquid-solid interface area, $A$ is the liquid-solid interface area after the solvent is added, $a^{\prime}$ is the solution-vapor surface area when at rest and $a^{\prime \prime}$ is the increase in the solution-vapor surface area when being poured.

Ignoring $\sigma$ and $\tau$ one gets the usual exponential decay of concentration as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=c_{0} f^{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which for $f=0.1$ gives $c_{n}=c_{0} 10^{-n}$ as expected.
One simple model for $\sigma$ and $\tau$ would be to assume that the molecules on the surface are in dynamic equilibrium with those in the bulk of the solvent. When the solute molecules adsorbed on the surface are in dynamic equilibrium with those in solution, the adsorbed number density $(\sigma)$ of solute molecules and the dissolved concentration (c) are related by the Langmuir adsorption isotherm ${ }^{14}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{\sigma_{\max } b c}{1+b c} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is dependent on the temperature $T$. At very low concentrations (which is true for the dilutions discussed here) the Langmuir equation can be reduced to $\sigma=\sigma_{\max } b c /$ $(1+b c) \approx K c$, where $b$ is a function of temperature. The equilibrium constants can hence be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma_{n}}{c_{n}}=K(T) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tau_{n}}{c_{n}}=Q(T) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ and $Q$ are equilibrium constants, which are functions of temperature. Eq. (1) can hence be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}+Q c_{n} \lambda+K c_{n}(\alpha+\beta)=c_{n-1} f+Q c_{n-1} \lambda^{\prime} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=\frac{a}{v+V}, \quad \beta=\frac{A}{v+V}, \quad \lambda=\frac{a^{\prime}}{v+V}, \\
& \lambda^{\prime}=\frac{a^{\prime}+a^{\prime \prime}}{v+V}>\lambda \text { and } f=\frac{v}{v+V}<1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This enables one to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=c_{n-1}\left(\frac{f+Q \lambda^{\prime}}{1+Q \lambda+K(\alpha+\beta)}\right)=c_{n-1} \mu \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=c_{0} \mu^{n} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f<Q \lambda^{\prime} /(Q \lambda+K(\alpha+\beta))$ then $\mu>f$ and the dilution is slower than perceived. If one uses $v=1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, V=9 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$, $a=50.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}, A=4.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}, K=Q=0.07$ (value of $Q$ calculated from the data by Chang et al. ${ }^{15}$ for sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) in 0.5 M NaCl solution; it is assumed that $K=Q$ ), $a^{\prime}=50.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}, a^{\prime \prime}=0.02 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}, \alpha=5.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \beta=0.45 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $\lambda=5.027 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \lambda^{\prime}=5.028 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ one gets $\mu=0.26$. This would imply that a 30 C dilution is effectively a 17 C dilution.
(b) Situation where the mixing container is reused (Korsakovian method of dilution)
In this process, where a single piece of glassware is used throughout the process of dilution, assuming that the surface density of the active ingredient for the solvent-solid interface is $\sigma_{n}$ and that on the free surface it is $\tau_{n}$, we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{n}(v+V)+\tau_{n} a^{\prime}+\sigma_{n}(a+A) \\
& \quad=c_{n-1} v+\tau_{n-1}\left(a^{\prime}+a^{\prime \prime}\right)+\sigma_{n-1} a \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $a$ is the original liquid-solid interface area, $A$ is the liquid-solid interface area after the solvent is added, $a^{\prime}$ is the solution-vapor surface area when at rest and $a^{\prime \prime}$ is the increase in the solution-vapor surface area when being poured. This equation can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{n}= & c_{n-1} \frac{v}{v+V}+\frac{\tau_{n-1}\left(a^{\prime}+a^{\prime \prime}\right)}{v+V}-\frac{\tau_{n} a^{\prime}}{v+V}+\sigma_{n-1} \frac{a}{v+V} \\
& -\sigma_{n} \frac{(a+A)}{v+V} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=c_{n-1} f+\tau_{n-1} \lambda^{\prime}-\tau_{n} \lambda+\sigma_{n-1} \alpha-\sigma_{n}(\alpha+\beta) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=\frac{a}{v+V}, \quad \beta=\frac{A}{v+V}, \quad \lambda=\frac{a^{\prime}}{v+V}, \\
& \lambda^{\prime}=\frac{a^{\prime}+a^{\prime \prime}}{v+V}>\lambda \text { and } f=\frac{v}{v+V}<1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

One may rewrite Eq. (11) as

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{n}= & c_{0} f^{n}+\tau_{0} \lambda^{\prime} f^{n-1}+\left(\lambda^{\prime}-f \lambda\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tau_{k} f^{n-1-k}-\tau_{n} \lambda \\
& +\sigma_{0} \alpha f^{n-1}+(\alpha-(\alpha+\beta) f) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sigma_{k} f^{n-1-k} \\
& -\sigma_{n}(\alpha+\beta) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be solved, if the values of $\sigma$ and $\tau$ are known. From the Langmuir adsorption isotherm at high dilutions as in the previous case, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{n}(v+V)+Q c_{n} a^{\prime}+K c_{n}(a+A) \\
& \quad=c_{n-1} v+Q c_{n-1}\left(a^{\prime}+a^{\prime \prime}\right)+K c_{n-1} a \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$
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