# **ORIGINAL PAPER**

# Bone computed tomography mineral content evaluation in chickens: effects of substances in homeopathic concentration



Sergio Canello<sup>1</sup>, Gabriele Gasparini<sup>2</sup>, Patrizio Luisetto<sup>1</sup>, Alessandro Di Cerbo<sup>3,\*</sup> and Fabio Pomerri<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Homeopathic Medical School, Verona, Italy* 

<sup>2</sup>Neuroradiology Unit, Ospedale dell'Angelo, Mestre, Italy

<sup>3</sup>School of Specialization in Clinical Biochemistry, University of Chieti-Pescara, Chieti, Italy

<sup>4</sup>*Radiology Oncology Unit, Istituto Oncologico IOV, IRCCS, Padua, Italy* 

*Methods:* Ninety-six cobb race chickens were equally divided in 4 groups and randomly assigned to receive a standard treatment feed + homeopathic concentrations of *Symphytum (S.) officinalis* (9CH), or standard treatment feed + homeopathic concentrations of Tricalcarea (4CH), or standard treatment feed + homeopathic concentrations of *Calcarea (C.) carbonica* (30CH) or a placebo (the same feed but without any homeopathic compound) in order to assess the ability of the homeopathic compounds to increase the concentration of calcium hydroxyapatite in the sternal spongy bone tissue. We measured the concentration of calcium hydroxyapatite in the sternal spongy bone tissue of all chickens by means of a computed tomography (CT).

*Results:* 30%, 36% and 63% increase of sternum spongy-bone mineralization was observed after a 2 years period in the treatment groups with *S. officinalis* (9CH), Trical-carea (4CH) (\*P < 0.05) and *C. carbonica* (30CH) (\*\*\*P < 0.001) respectively.

*Conclusion:* Bone mineralization is usually low in battery chickens reared in commercial poultry-sheds, creating a weakness of the whole animal supporting apparatus. Homeopathic preparations with bone-tissue tropism may improve their health quality. *Homeopathy* (2016) **105**, 92–95.

**Keywords**: *Symphytum officinalis*; Tricalcarea; *Calcarea carbonica*; Spongy-bone mineralization

**Abbreviations**: dH = decimal potency; cH = centesimal potency; CT = computed tomography

#### Introduction

Bone strength of market age poultry, which often suffer from lameness and bone deformities, is of great importance in intensive farming because bone breakage and associated infections contribute to mortality, low productivity, and carcass condemnations.<sup>1-4</sup> Moreover, bone weakness and porosity is also correlated with leg weakness and osteoporosis in laying hens as well as with the incidence of bone fragments in deboned meat products, and with discoloration of meat adjacent to bone due to leaching of blood.<sup>5</sup> Among factors affecting leg abnormalities and bone quality of meat-type fowl growth rate is considered as a major contributor.<sup>1,6</sup> Thus, bone mineralization becomes an important factor reflecting the status of skeletal health where calcium holds a pivotal role in maintaining it.<sup>1</sup> Mazzuco et al. reported that bone mineral density decrease of the excised tibia of White Leghorns resulted in a bone breakage incidence increase.<sup>7</sup>

Based on such observations the role of nutritional factors, and even homeopathic medicine, might become a

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Alessandro Di Cerbo, School of Specialization in Clinical Biochemistry, University of Chieti-Pescara, Chieti, Italy.

E-mail: Sergio.canello.5@facebook.com, neurorad@ulss12.ve. it, patrizio.luisetto@gmail.com, Alessandro811@hotmail.it, fabio.pomerri@ioveneto.it

Received 22 January 2015; revised 26 March 2015; accepted 11 July 2015

valid alternative to conventional medicine in order to modulate poultry bone strength.

S. officinalis root belongs to the family Boraginaceae and is widely used in Western herbal medicine practice.<sup>8</sup> It contains carotene, essential oils, vitamin B12, zinc and allantoin which are known to have a healing capacity.<sup>9,10</sup> S. officinalis contains pyrroloizidine alkaloids which are associated with hepatic disease under certain conditions.<sup>11</sup> But homeopathic preparations of S. officinalis are said to accelerate bone healing, reducing the time to consolidate bone fractures.<sup>12</sup> Moreover literature reports have also ascribed to this root the ability to treat gastrointestinal tract ulcerations, tendon damage and joints disease.<sup>13</sup> Sakakura et al. also showed that homeopathic solution (6CH) of S. officinalis administration increased radiographic bone density and area of bone gain around titanium implants placed in the tibia of 48 rats at 14 and 7 weeks postsurgery.<sup>10</sup>

As to Tricalcarea (a mix of C. fluorica (CaF<sub>2</sub>), C. phosphorica (Ca<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) and C. carbonica (CaCO<sub>3</sub>)), homeopathic solutions of these compounds given to 8 children with epiphysitis in the course of 3 years outdoor showed a very good therapeutic effect already after a 30 days treatment.<sup>14</sup> On the other hand, *C. carbonica* (calcium carbonate) is known to improve bone tissue tropism, even when homeopathically diluted, and clinic symptomatology caused by absorption deficiency or defective mobilisation of calcium.<sup>15–17</sup> As to C. phosphorica, is one of the homeopathic medicines prescribed for bone disease treatment, late bone callus formation, problems with fracture union, bone-deficient and abnormal growth, and for fractures followed by pain and paresis.<sup>18,19</sup> Further, Senra et al. observed that hypertensive castrated rats treated with C. phosphorica had a higher optical density in the bone repair area than with risedronate and C. fluorica.<sup>20</sup>

The present study evaluated the influence of a feed enriched in *S. officinalis*, Tricalcarea and *C. carbonica* in homeopathic dilutions (9CH, 4CH and 30CH, respectively), on the concentration of calcium hydroxyapatite in the sternal spongy bone tissue of cobb race chickens over a 2 years period.

Operative procedures and animal care were performed in compliance with the national and international regulations (Italian regulation D.L.vo 116/1992 and European Union regulation 86/609/EC). The protocol was examined and approved prior to the start of the study by the Veterinary Ethical Review Committee. The recommendations of the ARRIVE guidelines in animal research were also consulted and considered.<sup>21</sup>

#### Materials and methods

This evaluation was designed as a randomized, placebocontrolled clinical one. Over a period of two years we equally divided 96 newborn chickens in 4 groups (n = 24) which were randomly assigned to receive: 1) standard treatment feed [yellow corn (55–60%), soya (30–35%), glutin (2–3%), synthetic amino acids – lysine, methionine, choline -(0.2-0.7%), coccidiostatics (niacarbazine and natrum monensin), vitamin integrators and oligoelements (0.5%), animal and vegetal fats (5-8%)] + homeopathic concentrations of S. officinalis (9CH) (Laboratoires Boiron S.r.l., Milan, Italy, batch number X35826) or 2) standard treatment feed + homeopathic concentrations of Tricalcarea (4CH) (Laboratorio Sodini s.r.l., Florence, Italy, batch number OM1585I12) or 3) standard treatment feed + homeopathic concentrations of C. carbonica (30CH) (Laboratoires Boiron S.r.l., Milano, Italy, batch number V39462), and 4) standard treatment feed + water (control). The animals were reared in sheds with the same dimensions, internal temperatures, humidity and sun exposure. Details about baseline demographic characteristics of age, weight and bone density of each chicken at entry have been summarized in Table 1.

We carried out a total of 8 treatment cycles at different times. During a whole cycle (45 days), 2 L of solution were poured every 5 days in the 10-hectolitre drinking trough. The solutions were composed of 20 ml *S. officinalis* diluted and dynamized at 9CH  $(10^{-4})$  in 1980 ml of distilled water, 20 ml *C. carbonica* that had been diluted and dynamized at 30CH  $(1/10^{-60})$  in 1980 ml of distilled water and 20 ml Tricalcarea diluted and dynamized at 4CH  $(1/10^{-60})$  in 1980 ml distilled water, according to the homeopathic method. All the animals were allowed to drink homeopathic solutions and water *ad libitum*.

The chickens were then submitted to CT evaluation (Philips Tomoscan LX 800) at the beginning, after 1 year and at the end of the evaluation. Five different scans were performed for each animal and 4 out of 5 measurements were focused on the sternal spongy tissue. The measurements were obtained from CT images that simultaneously show axial sections of the chicken and the phantom used to calibrate bone mineral contents. Bone mineralization was evaluated by means of a software designed to calculate calcium hydroxyapatite concentration. The specific mineral contents of calcium hydroxyapatite were expressed as mg of calcium hydroxyapatite/ml.

# **Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). All data are presented as the means  $\pm$  standard error of the mean and were first checked for normality using the D'Agostino-Pearson normality test. Differences in calcium hydroxyapatite content at the end of treatment versus baseline between the four treatments were analyzed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a Tukey's multiple comparisons test.

# **Results**

In Figure 1 the overall improvement of calcium hydroxyapatite in the sternal spongy bone tissue of chickens belonging to all four groups, before and at the end of the 2 years evaluation, is shown (Figure 1). Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5865989

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5865989

Daneshyari.com